

BUREAU OF INTEGRITY
AND
PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS



2025
ANNUAL REPORT

Bureau of Integrity and Professional Standards 2025 Annual Report

Mission Statement.....3

Internal Affairs Division:

2025 Overview4

Complaint Procedures.....5

Complaint Classification6

Complaint Disposition Definitions.....7

Special Complaint Dispositions.....8

IAD Investigations and Supervisory Resolutions by Source.....9

Charts and Graphs.....10-15

Systems and Process Review Division:

2025 Overview 16

Early Intervention Program.....18

Random Drug Testing Program.....19

Bureau Mission Statement

Ensure integrity and productivity are maintained throughout the Department by:

Promoting voluntary compliance to Department Rules, Regulations, and Policies;

Investigating allegations of misconduct promptly, thoroughly, and fairly;

Overseeing periodic inspections and conducting reviews of all Department facilities, records, equipment, and personnel;

Guaranteeing the public is served by a well-disciplined, responsive, and efficient State Police force.

Internal Affairs Division 2025 Overview

During calendar year 2025, the Bureau of Integrity and Professional Standards, Internal Affairs Division (IAD), processed 2,955 complaints. This number is comprised of citizen complaints; internally initiated complaints by Department personnel, which alleged a violation of Department Regulations; use of force, weapon discharge, or legal interventions as required by Department Regulation; and civil litigation involving Department personnel.

Of these 2,955 complaints, 293 investigations were conducted, and 92 were handled as Supervisory Resolutions. This number represents a decrease from the 346 investigations, and an increase from the 87 Supervisory Resolutions conducted during calendar year 2024.

The remaining complaints were processed as Information Only. In those instances, no investigation was necessary based upon the information provided by the complainant. This information either identified someone other than Pennsylvania State Police personnel involved in the alleged misconduct, and, as such, the complaint was referred to another agency; a determination was made that no discernible misconduct, in violation of Pennsylvania State Police policies or procedures, was identified; the complaint was previously investigated; or the issues raised in the complaint are pending court proceedings.

COMPARISON OF CITIZEN COMPLAINTS VERSUS CITIZEN CONTACTS

Of the 2,955 complaints processed in 2025, 1,692 were initiated by citizens. Of that number, 28 resulted in an IAD investigation being conducted. The remaining citizen-generated complaints were classified as Information Only or handled as Supervisory Resolutions.

Comparison of the total number of statewide Trooper – citizen contacts in 2025, 1,026,390 contacts (548,052 assigned police incidents, plus 478,338 traffic-related contacts), to the 28 citizen complaints resulting in an investigation, revealed a ratio of one citizen complaint investigation for approximately every 36,657 citizen contacts. In 2024, this ratio was one citizen complaint investigation for every 21,760 citizen contacts.

COMPLAINT PROCEDURES

The Pennsylvania State Police, Internal Affairs Division thoroughly investigates all allegations of personnel (enlisted or civilian) misconduct.

There are several methods for citizens to file complaints alleging misconduct by Department personnel. Complaints can be filed at any Department installation, 24 hours a day, 7 days a week, either in person, telephonically, or in writing. This includes filing complaints directly with the Internal Affairs Division by calling the toll-free line, 866-426-9164, or by downloading, completing, and mailing a Complaint Verification Form located on the Pennsylvania State Police Website at www.psp.state.pa.us. In addition to the above methods, Public Portal was installed in 2023 to the Pennsylvania State Police website to allow a citizen to file an electronic complaint. The Public Portal submissions also allow citizens to attach electronic files and media files to their complaint.

ANONYMOUS COMPLAINTS

Anonymous complaints have been a challenging issue since the inception of the Bureau of Integrity and Professional Standards. However, anonymous complaints continue to have minimal impact upon the total number of complaint investigations conducted. Of the 85 anonymous complaints received in 2025, 2 met the criteria for investigation. The 85 anonymous complaints accounted for less than one (1) percent of the complaints processed by the Internal Affairs Division.

IAD INVESTIGATION TYPES

For reporting purposes, investigations conducted pursuant to an IAD complaint are classified as either an IAD Investigation or a Supervisory Resolution.

IAD Investigations are conducted as a result of a misconduct allegation which, if founded, would give rise to formal discipline (written reprimand, suspension, demotion, transfer, or termination from employment). IAD Investigations also consist of those incidents which automatically require an investigation due to Department Regulations. This would include legal intervention, weapon discharge, use of force whereby the actor receives an injury requiring medical treatment, and civil litigation involving Department personnel.

Supervisory Resolutions are conducted for minor complaints or performance inadequacies best addressed through supervisory intervention rather than a formal Internal Affairs Division investigation. The Supervisory Resolution process is intended to afford Troop Commanders/Division Directors a mechanism by which minor complaints against members can be expeditiously resolved at the Troop/Bureau level, without the need to enter the complaints into the formal discipline system. Addressing and resolving minor complaints or performance inadequacies is a function of supervision and the chain of command.

COMPLAINT CLASSIFICATION CATEGORY DEFINITIONS

Complaints are categorized by classification, sub-classification and specific allegation(s). The following are classifications used by IAD.

Bias-Based Profiling: Allegations involving the detention, interdiction, or other disparate treatment of any person on the basis of their racial or ethnic status rather than on the basis of reasonable suspicion.

Code of Conduct: Allegations involving general duty requirements not specifically covered in the other categories.

Differential Treatment: Allegations involving discrimination and hostile work environment.

Domestic Violence: Allegations involving the participation of Department personnel in Domestic Violence incidents including those served with a Protection From Abuse (PFA) Order.

Sexual Impropriety: Allegations involving sexual harassment or sexual misconduct against Department personnel. Sexual misconduct includes any uninvited or unwelcome sexual touching, sexual contact, or conduct of a sexual nature which victimizes another. Sexual misconduct also includes those types of conduct (whether or not criminally charged) which are described in the sexual offenses subchapter of the Pennsylvania Crimes Code as well as sections: 5901, Open lewdness; 6301, Corruption of Minors (but only as it relates to acts of a sexual nature); and, equivalent offenses committed (whether or not criminally charged) in other jurisdictions.

Technology: Allegations involving inappropriate use of Department computers or misuse of network resources.

Unlawful Conduct: Allegations involving Crimes Code, Vehicle Code, or miscellaneous law violations.

Use of Force: Allegations involving excessive use of force, or incidents involving force which results in death, serious bodily injury, or bodily injury to any involved individual, other than the member/enforcement officer.

Vehicle Pursuit: A pursuit in which legal intervention is employed or involves a crash resulting in serious injury or death.

Weapon Discharge: Incidents involving Department personnel discharging a firearm or explosive device or being present when a firearm is discharged.

An additional classification, *Legal*, encompasses those investigations requested by the Office of Chief Counsel as a result of pending or anticipated civil litigation against Department personnel.

COMPLAINT DISPOSITION DEFINITIONS

The following complaint dispositions are used specifically with the bias-based profiling, code of conduct, differential treatment, domestic violence, sexual impropriety, technology, and unlawful conduct investigation classifications.

Sustained: Investigation indicates misconduct did actually occur.

Not Sustained: Investigation failed to conclusively prove or disprove the allegation.

Unfounded: Indicates the incident did not or could not have occurred as alleged.

Policy Void: Indicates the action taken by involved personnel was not inconsistent with existing Department policy, but the complainant still suffered harm.

The following dispositions are used specifically with the use of force, vehicle pursuit, and weapon discharge investigation classifications.

Justified: The action taken was within the guidelines for the use of force, under the existing circumstances, as established by the Department.

Improper: The action taken exceeded the limits defined by the Department or by law for the use of force.

SUPERVISORY RESOLUTION DETERMINATION DEFINITIONS

No Issue: The Supervisor found that the actions in question were within the guidelines of PSP Regulations.

Performance Issue: The Supervisor found that the actions in question were not within the guidelines of PSP Regulations.

IAD Investigation Warranted: The Supervisor found that the actions in question should be addressed through an IAD Investigation.

BIAS-BASED PROFILING, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, AND SEXUAL IMPROPRIETY

Due to the significance of *Bias-Based Profiling, Domestic Violence, and Sexual Impropriety* incidents, specific statistical information from 2023 - 2025 has been isolated in the following charts:

2023 / 2024 / 2025 BIAS-BASED PROFILING, DOMESTIC VIOLENCE, AND SEXUAL IMPROPRIETY COMPLAINT TOTALS					
YEAR	Bias-Based Profiling	Domestic Violence (PFA issued)	Domestic Violence Related (no PFA issued)	Sexual Impropriety (Sexual Harassment)	Sexual Impropriety (Sexual Misconduct)
2023	19	6	7	1	2
2024	24	7	7	3	4
2025	20	9	3	2	3


Category	Year	Sustained	Not Sustained	Unfounded	Information Only	Pending
Bias-Based Profiling	2023	0	6	12	1	0
	2024	0	8	11	4	1
	2025	0	4	5	10	1
Domestic Violence (PFA issued)	2023	0	2	1	2	1
	2024	1	3	0	0	3
	2025	1	2	1	2	3
Domestic Violence Related (no PFA issued)	2023	0	2	1	4	0
	2024	1	4	1	1	0
	2025	0	2	0	1	0
Sexual Impropriety (Sexual Harassment)	2023	1	0	0	0	0
	2024	1	1	1	0	0
	2025	0	1	0	0	1
Sexual Impropriety (Sexual Misconduct)	2023	0	0	1	1	0
	2024	1	2	1	0	0
	2025	0	0	2	1	0

IAD Investigations and SUPERVISORY RESOLUTION BY Source


The following chart provides statistical information for each Troop showing the number of IAD Investigations and Supervisory Resolutions conducted in 2025, based on the complainant source.

2025 IAD INVESTIGATIONS AND SUPERVISORY RESOLUTIONS COMPLAINANT SOURCE				
TROOPS	IAD Investigations		Supervisory Resolutions	
	Internally Initiated	Citizen Complaint	Internally Initiated	Citizen Complaint
A	15	5	6	2
B	16	2	7	2
C	6	3	1	1
D	13	1	1	2
E	12	2	4	1
F	13	5	4	1
G	7	0	4	0
H	28	4	6	3
J	26	3	5	3
K	20	0	10	2
L	13	1	6	0
M	19	1	4	2
N	9	0	2	1
P	6	0	4	1
R	7	0	2	1
T	8	0	2	0
Bureaus/Offices	47	1	2	0


Internal Affairs Investigations by Complaint Source 2024 versus 2025




2025 Internal Affairs Investigations Troop/Bureau/Office




Supervisory Resolutions 2025 Troop/Bureau/Office




Complaint Designations for 2025 Calendar Year




IAD Investigations, Supervisory Resolutions, and Information Only(s) 2023 – 2025 (Calendar Year)



2025 Internal Affairs Investigations By Incident Type - Complaint




2025 Internal Affairs Investigations By Incident Type - Firearm Discharge




*The Death of Actor statistics includes incidents involving Actor suicide.

*Some investigations may contain more than one injured member or civilian.




- *Some investigations contain more than one Use of Force Type or Allegation.
- *Does not include Firearm Discharge Incidents or Death of Actor from Suicide.
- *Some investigations contain more than one injured Actor per incident.

2025 Internal Affairs Investigations Dispositions



2025 Early Intervention Program Notification By Force



*Some Early Intervention Program Notifications contain more than one Use of Force Type or Allegation.

Systems and Process Review Division 2025 Overview

The Systems and Process Review Division conducted 67 reviews of Department locations during 2025. Each review encompassed an in-depth inspection of facilities, vehicles, equipment, personnel, records, reports, and, when applicable, secured property. Allocation and utilization of resources, adherence to Department goals and strategies, operational efficiency, and the administration of police services were also evaluated. Where appropriate, operations were divided into the following functions: Patrol, Crime, Staff, Property Management System, Unit, Bureau, Office, Task Force, and Strike Force. Each function was critically assessed for performance, effectiveness, and compliance with existing regulations. Based upon their levels of achievement and comparison to other locations within the Department, ratings of Exceptional, Commendable, Satisfactory, Needs Improvement, or Unsatisfactory were assigned to each function. Also, the Systems and Process Review Division conducted four Specialty Reviews during 2025.

Of the 67 total reviews conducted, 63 were scheduled reviews, which included 10 Troop Headquarters, 21 Stations, three Bureau Headquarters, 11 Bureau locations, 10 Unit locations, two Office locations, five Strike Forces, and one Task Force location. The remaining four reviews were Specialty Reviews.

The majority of the functions were deemed Satisfactory, Commendable, or Exceptional. Of the 174 total individual functions rated, none received an Unsatisfactory rating. As a result of their exemplary administration, 72 functions earned Exceptional ratings and merit recognition as follows:

Bureau of Criminal Investigation, Northcentral Strike Force: Strike Force Function

Bureau of Criminal Investigation, Northwest Strike Force: Strike Force Function

Bureau of Criminal Investigation, Southwest Strike Force: Strike Force Function

Bureau of Criminal Investigation, Western Interdiction Unit: Unit Function

Bureau of Criminal Investigation Headquarters: Bureau Function and Staff Function

Bureau of Criminal Investigation, Central Interdiction Unit: Unit Function

Bureau of Criminal Investigation Unit Central Auto Theft Task Force: Task Force
Function

Bureau of Criminal Investigation Eastern Interdiction Unit: Unit Function

Bureau of Emergency and Special Operations Headquarters: Bureau Function and
Staff Function

Bureau of Emergency and Special Operations, Hazardous Device and Explosive
Section, West: Unit Function

Bureau of Emergency and Special Operations, Aviation Patrol Unit # 1 Reading: Unit
Function

Bureau of Emergency and Special Operations, Aviation Patrol Unit # 2 Avoca: Unit
Function

Bureau of Emergency and Special Operations, Aviation Patrol Unit # 3 Harrisburg:
Unit Function

Bureau of Emergency and Special Operations, Aviation Patrol Unit # 5: Unit Function

Bureau of Gaming Enforcement Headquarters: Bureau Function

Bureau of Gaming Enforcement, Harrah's Chester: Crime Function and Staff
Function

Bureau of Gaming Enforcement, Mohegan Sun: Crime Function, Staff Function,
and Property Function

Bureau of Gaming Enforcement, Mount Airy: Crime Function, Staff Function, and
Property Function

Bureau of Gaming Enforcement, Parx Bensalem: Crime Function and Staff Function

Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement, District Office #7, Punxsutawney: Office
Function and Property Management Function

Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement, District Office #8, Erie: Office Function and
Property Management Function

Bureau of Liquor Control Enforcement, District Office # 9 Allentown: Office Function
and Property Management Function

Bureau of Training and Education, Academy: Bureau Function

Bureau of Training and Education, Northeast Training Center: Staff Function

Bureau of Patrol Headquarters: Bureau Function and Staff Function

Troop B, Washington: Property Management Function

Troop B, Waynesburg: Property Management Function and Staff Function

Troop C, Dubois: Patrol Function and Staff Function

Troop C, Marienville: Crime Function and Patrol Function

Troop D, Butler: Property Management Function

Troop E, Meadville: Property Management Function

Troop F, Emporium: Crime Function and Patrol Function

Troop F, Mansfield: Patrol Function and Staff Function

Troop G, Bedford: Crime Function and Staff Function

Troop G, Hollidaysburg: Staff Function

Troop G, Lewistown: Crime Function, Staff Function, and Property Management
Function

Troop H, Carlisle: Patrol Function

Troop H, Gettysburg: Patrol Function and Property Function

Troop H, Newport: Crime Function and Property Function

Troop L, Frackville: Patrol Function and Property Function

Troop L, Reading: Staff Function

Troop N, Hazelton: Crime Function, Patrol Function, Staff Function, and Property
Function

Troop N, Lehighton: Patrol Function, Crime Function, and Staff Function

Troop P, Wilkes Barre: Property Function

RISK MANAGEMENT SECTION

Early Intervention Program

The Risk Management Officer oversees the Department's Early Intervention Program (EIP). The purpose of the EIP is to aid supervisors in identifying members/enforcement officers who may be having difficulty managing stress or are exhibiting a pattern of conduct that may be of concern to the Department. The goal of the EIP is to divert members/enforcement officers from the disciplinary system.

At the onset of 2025, there were six members in the EIP. Four entered the program as a result of Supervisory Nominations, and two entered as a result of Database Nominations. During the calendar year 2025, four more Members entered the program as a result of Supervisory Nominations. Three Members remained in the program for less than one year; they were each removed for different reasons (promotion, change in duty status, and retirement). Three Members demonstrated sufficient improvement in their work performance and successfully completed the program.

In 2025, 471 Members reached the predetermined threshold indicators in IAPro and were actively monitored for potential inclusion. Through 2025, Members were constantly monitored utilizing the IAPro software. After careful review of the EIP

thresholds and associated indicators, 10 Members were nominated to their respective Troop Commanders or Bureau/Office Directors for inclusion. Of those, three Members were accepted into the program. Seven nominations were rejected for inclusion as Troop Commanders or Bureau/Office Directors opted to manage their respective Members at the Troop/Bureau level. There are currently eight members enrolled in the EIP.

Members were also monitored during 2025 for EIP inclusion due to Sick Leave Notices and/or Restrictions, as detailed by the Pennsylvania State Police Human Resources Office. One member was issued a Sick Leave Restriction during this reporting period.

As part of the EIP, members were monitored in 2025 for inclusion into the program because their Member Performance Evaluation (MPE) contained ratings of "Needs Improvement." For those notifications received, 22 members were given a "Needs Improvement" rating on their Annual/Interim MPE, and a Member Performance Improvement Plan was also initiated.

Blue Team Entries cleared as EIP are also reviewed by the Risk Management Office. Minor issues identified during these incidents are often found to be isolated and promptly addressed to prevent future problems. During 2025, 54 incidents involving 105 Members were discussed with Troop Commanders/Bureau Office Directors and cleared as EIP.

Random Drug Testing Program

During the 2025 calendar year, 464 tests were conducted in accordance with Field Regulations (FR) 3-5. Of those, 13 tests were performed on Liquor Enforcement Officers. Of the 464 tests conducted, 451 had negative results, 10 had negative-dilute results, two were rejected, and one tested positive. RecoveryTrek was selected as the vendor to oversee the program.

In compliance with the United States Department of Health and Human Services and the United States Department of Transportation, 49 CFR Part 40, Federal Testing Standards for Random Drug Testing, expanded at the onset of 2018 to include testing for synthetic opioids. Effective August 2020, an agreement was reached in cooperation with the Pennsylvania State Troopers Association to expand the previous testing panel. The current panel includes Marijuana Metabolite (THC), Cocaine metabolites, Opiate metabolites, Phencyclidine (PCP), Amphetamines, Barbiturates, 6-Acetylmorphine, Methadone, Fentanyl, and four semi-synthetic opioids: Hydrocodone, Oxycodone, Hydromorphone, and Oxymorphone.