

ADDENDUM II
Determination of Effects Report
for Above Ground Resources
State College Area Connector
Project



Pennsylvania
Department of Transportation



U.S. Department of Transportation
Federal Highway Administration

July 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	ii
1.1 Ruble Family Farm (Map Key ID T).....	1
Application of the Definition of Effect.....	1
Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect.....	2
1.2 166 Taylor Hill Road (Map Key ID U).....	4
Application of the Definition of Effect.....	4
Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect.....	5
1.3 207 Taylor Hill Road (Map Key ID X).....	7
Application of the Definition of Effect.....	8
Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect.....	8
1.4 163 Wagner Road (Map Key ID R).....	10
Application of the Definition of Effect.....	11
Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect.....	11
2.0 Summary	13
3.0 Resources Cited	20

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: All study area resources in all alternatives	ii
Table 2: Count of Effects by Alternative	13
Table 3: Summary of Effects by Resource	13

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Map of Alternative Locations.....	vi
---------------------------------------------	----

Abstract

This July 2025 second Addendum to the Determination of Effects Report includes information about minor proposed changes to the Central Alternative alignment and modifications to a stormwater management area along the North Alternative for the State College Area Connector (SCAC) project. The addendum also reevaluates the potential effects of the realigned Central Alternative and modified North Alternative on above ground cultural resources within the vicinity of the proposed design changes. Specifically, this second addendum report reevaluates the effects on the Ruble Family Farm, 166 Taylor Hill Road, 207 Taylor Hill Rd, and 163 Wagner Rd, as these are the only four previously identified historic resources within the project alternative Area of Potential Effects (APE) that have the potential to be impacted by the proposed changes. The proposed realigned Central Alternative includes a minor shift to the south that will avoid the baseball fields of the Potter Township Athletic Complex and several buildings on the historic building complex known as the Ruble Family Farm. It also includes the removal of a stormwater pond formerly proposed on the south side of US-322 between 166 Taylor Hill Rd and 207 Taylor Hill Rd. The proposed change to the North Alternative includes the removal of a stormwater detention pond formerly proposed on the southern portion of the parcel corresponding to 163 Wagner Road; there are no proposed changes to the alignment itself. There are no proposed changes to the South Alternative.

The removal of the stormwater pond from the North Alternative resulted in a reevaluation and change to the Section 106 effects determination for 163 Wagner Road from Adverse Effect to *No Adverse Effect*. No changes were made to the previous Section 106 determinations of Adverse Effect related to the impacts of the Central and South Alternatives on 166 Taylor Hill Road, the Ruble Family Farm, and 207 Taylor Hill Road.

The project is located in Potter and Harris Townships, Centre County, Pennsylvania. US-322 (Boal Avenue/General Potter Highway) is a main traffic corridor which provides connections between Potters Mills and Boalsburg through Harris and Potter Townships. The roadway exhibits congested conditions, with level of service (LOS) rated as D (rural only), E and F on the US 322 roadway and intersections. PennDOT is evaluating options to alleviate congestion and improve safety on this major thoroughfare. The options include three alignment alternatives, the North, Central, and South Alternatives. The Area of Potential Effects (APE) for the project, which encompasses all alternatives and their potential for direct and indirect effects, contains 69 resources that are eligible for or listed in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). Based on the definitions and guidance in 36 CFR 800.5 and 800.16, JMT found that all three Alternatives have the potential to adversely affect historic resources. The evaluation is summarized in the following table:

Table 1: All study area resources in all alternatives. Properties featured in this Addendum Report are highlighted in yellow.

Resource Name	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
Village of Tusseyville Historic District	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Tressler-Meyer Farm	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Michael Jack Estate	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect

Resource Name	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
Nease House	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
Henry Meyer Farm	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	No Adverse Effect
Tait Farm	No Effect	No Effect	Adverse Effect
Kuhns Tree Farm	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	No Effect
2114 Boal Avenue	Not in APE	Not in APE	No Effect
2296 Boal Avenue	Not in APE	Not in APE	Adverse Effect
North Side Boal Avenue	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Not in APE
Peter Ruble Farmstead	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
126 Vernon Way	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Not in APE
120 Vernon Way	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Not in APE
John Brisbin Farmstead	No Effect	No Adverse Effect	Not in APE
131 Stave Mill Road	No Adverse Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
2215 Earlystown Road	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Durst Farm	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Wagner-Homan Farm	No Adverse Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
163 Wagner Road	No Adverse Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
Tusseysink Schoolhouse	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
Ruble Family Farm	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
166 Taylor Hill Road	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
234 Taylor Hill Road	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Love, O.	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
207 Taylor Hill Road	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
Spangler-Runkle House	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
2214 General Potter Highway	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
2165 General Potter Highway	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Joseph Jordan Farm	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Boal, William	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE

Resource Name	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
136 Neff Road	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Evangelical Church	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Bethany Church & Cemetery	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Kerr, Rev. D., Estate, Site	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Frederick Seltzer Farm	Not in APE	No Effect	No Effect
Lawrence Grossman Farm	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
Fisher-Fortney Farm	No Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
105 Addleman Circle	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
109 Addleman Circle	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
2414 General Potter Highway	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
105 Young Lane	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Michael Ulrich Farmstead	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
Jacob Keller Farm	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
159 Cider Press Road	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
2571 General Potter Highway	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
2601 General Potter Highway	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
2606 General Potter Highway	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
2668 General Potter Highway	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
397 Mountain Back Road	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Thomas J. Stiver Farm	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Samuel Royer Farmstead	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
127 Zuck Road	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Miller Farm	No Adverse Effect	No Adverse Effect	No Adverse Effect
Old Lewistown Pike Cemetery	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Wm W. Love House	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
Jacob Strohm House	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
John Lichty House	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect

Resource Name	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
S.M. Swartz House	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
Swartz General Store/ Tusseyville Post Office	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
James Moyer House	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
Henry Rossman House	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
F. D. Hosterman Tenant House	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
George and Myra Ishler House	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Maggie Love House	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
Emmanuel Union Church School	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Emmanuel Union Church	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
Emmanuel Union Cemetery	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Jonas From Farm	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
OVERALL EFFECTS SUMMARY	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
ADVERSE EFFECT COUNT	25	19	16
NO ADVERSE EFFECT COUNT	5	2	2
NO EFFECT COUNT	17	25	26
TOTAL PROPERTIES IN APE	47	46	44

ADDENDUM II: Map of Alternative Locations

7/15/2025




Figure 1. Map of Alternative Locations.

1.1 Ruble Family Farm (Map Key ID T)

The following description is adapted from the Historic Resource Survey Form (HRSF) for the Ruble Family Farm (2023RE06539).

Description of Resource

The Ruble Family Farm is a 30-acre farm property that dates from the 19th century located at 110 Tussey Sink Road in Potter Township, Centre County. The resource includes a ca. 1870 house with a ca. 1960 addition, a ca. 1870 barn with a ca. 1985 shed roof addition, a ca. 1920 milk house, two ca. 1950 silos, a ca. 1955 stable, a ca. 1960 garage, a ca. 1985 machine shed, and a ca. 2010 barn. The house is a two and a half story, side gabled vernacular dwelling clad in vinyl siding with replacement windows and a two-story, flat roofed rear addition dating from ca. 1960. The ca. 1860 banked barn has a T-shaped plan and is clad in vertical board and vinyl siding. **Photographs 42-43** in **Appendix C** provide representation of the historic resources.

National Register Evaluation

The resource is eligible as a contributing resource to the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (RHD).

Period of Significance

The Ruble Family Farm is significant as a contributing element within the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (RHD), which has a POS from ca. 1800 – ca. 1940.

Aspects of Integrity

The Ruble Family Farm has retained its integrity of location, setting, and association because the property has not been relocated and is still extant within a rural, agricultural context. Significant material alterations to historic age buildings have resulted in a diminished integrity of design, workmanship, materials, and feeling at the Ruble Family Farm.

National Register Boundary

As determined in consultation with the PHMC, the boundary for the resource is the existing tax parcel boundary (**Figure 28** in **Appendix C**).

Application of the Definition of Effect

RESULTS OF EFFECT EVALUATION FOR Ruble Family Farm	
Definition of Effect	Evaluation
An effect may occur when an undertaking results in alteration of characteristics which qualify a historic property for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, as defined in §800.16(i).	<p>The Ruble Family Farm is located at a distance greater than 500 feet from the North Alternative and is therefore not in the established APE for the Alternative.</p> <p>The South Alternative and the Central Alternative would result in alterations to the resource, specifically, the loss of a portion of the farmland and the introduction of a new roadway to the setting.</p>
FINDING: The South Alternative and the Central Alternative results in a recommendation of <i>Historic Property Affected</i> for the Resource.	

Relationship of Proposed Action to the Resource

The Ruble Family Farm is over 500 feet from the nearest boundary of the North Alternative. The Central Alternative would require approximately 4.91 acres or 16.37% of the property and would be acquired and converted from agricultural to transportation use. Construction of the alternative would also bisect the farm, separating the buildings from a large portion of the farmland. The South Alternative would require approximately 16.59 acres or 55.3% of the property, would be acquired and converted from agricultural to transportation use.

Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect

APPLICATION OF CRITERIA OF ADVERSE EFFECT FOR Ruble Family Farm	
<p>Criteria of adverse effect § 800.5 (a)(1): An adverse effect is found when an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association. Consideration shall be given to all qualifying characteristics of a historic property, including those that may have been identified subsequent to the original evaluation of the property's eligibility for the National Register. Adverse effects may include reasonably foreseeable effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance or be cumulative.</p>	
<p>Examples of adverse effects § 800.5 (a)(2): Adverse effects on historic properties include, but are not limited to:</p>	<p>Evaluation</p>
<p>(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property;</p>	<p>The Central Alternative would require the destruction of approximately 4.91 acres or 16.37% of the property. Construction of the alternative would bisect the farm, separating the buildings from a large portion of the farmland. Although the Central Alternative would not result in the demolition of any of the buildings, it would directly alter the property by dividing it with a roadway. This change would diminish the integrity of design, setting, feeling, and association of the historic property.</p> <p>The South Alternative would require the destruction of approximately 16.59 acres or 55.3% of the property. Although the South Alternative would not require demolition of any of the buildings, it would directly alter the property by reducing the farmland by more than half. It would diminish the integrity of design, setting, feeling, and association of the historic property.</p>
<p>(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines;</p>	<p>The property will not be altered in a way that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines as part of this project.</p>
<p>(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location;</p>	<p>The resource will not be removed from its historic location.</p>

<p>(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance;</p>	<p>As a result of construction of the Central Alternative, a portion of land from the central portion of the parcel totaling approximately 4.91 acres would be changed from agricultural to transportation use. The reduction in area of farmland and construction of the roadway would bisect the farm. This would likely not allow the property to continue its historic function as a farm. The loss of this function and the change to the immediate setting would diminish the resource's integrity of design, feeling, and association in a way that would jeopardize its eligibility for the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p> <p>As a result of the South Alternative, a portion of land totaling 16.59 acres from the southern portion of the parcel would be changed from agricultural to transportation use. Although no buildings would be impacted, the alternative would reduce the farmland by more than half and likely negate its ability to continue its historic function as a farm. The loss of this function and the change to the immediate setting by the construction of the roadway would diminish the resource's integrity of design, feeling, and association in a way that would jeopardize its eligibility for the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p>
<p>(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features;</p>	<p>As a result of the Central Alternative, a new roadway would be constructed within the center of the historic property, which would introduce visual and audible elements to the historic property. Visual and audible elements would be in close proximity to the contributing buildings of the farmstead, and the farmstead would be disconnected from its historically associated land. The aspects of integrity important for conveying this significance of the resource would be diminished to an extent that would jeopardize its eligibility for listing in the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p> <p>As a result of the South Alternative, a new roadway would be constructed within the southern half of the historic property, which would introduce visual and audible elements to the historic property. Visual and audible elements would be in close proximity to the contributing buildings of the farmstead, in an area which has not been altered since the POS. The aspects of integrity important for conveying this significance of the resource would be diminished to an extent that would jeopardize its eligibility for listing in the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p>

(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization;	The resource would not be neglected as a result of this project.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance.	The resource will not be transferred, leased, or sold out of Federal ownership or control as part of this project.
Other:	None.
FINDING: The Central Alternative and the South Alternative results in a recommendation of <i>Historic Property Adversely Affected for the resource.</i>	

1.2 166 Taylor Hill Road (Map Key ID U)

The following description is adapted from the Historic Resource Survey Form (HRSF) for 166 Taylor Hill Road (2023RE06517).

Description of Resource

The resource is an early 20th century farm of approximately 69 acres. The resource contains a ca. 2000 dwelling, a ca. 2000 garage, a ca. 1950 L-shaped stable, a ca. 1960 silo, a ca. 2000 front gable frame barn, and a ca. 2010 loafing shed. The stable and silo are the only historic age buildings that were retained at the property. The earliest portion of the stable was constructed ca. 1950 and ran parallel to an existing barn that is no longer extant. The later portion of the barn runs parallel to Taylor Hill Road. It and the associated silo were constructed ca. 1960. The stable is constructed of concrete block and has a gabled roof. **Photographs 44-46** in **Appendix C** provide representation of the historic resources.

National Register Evaluation

The resource is eligible as a contributing resource to the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (RHD).

Period of Significance

The resource is significant as a contributing element within the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (RHD), which has a POS from ca. 1800 – ca. 1940.

Aspects of Integrity

The resource conveys its significance and eligibility for the NRHP as a contributing resource within the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (2002RE02814) through its intact aspects of integrity of location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, and association in the northern portion of the parcel.

National Register Boundary

As determined in consultation with the PHMC, the boundary for the resource is the existing tax parcel boundary (**Figure 29** in **Appendix C**).

Application of the Definition of Effect

RESULTS OF EFFECT EVALUATION FOR 166 Taylor Hill Road

Definition of Effect	Evaluation
<p>An effect may occur when an undertaking results in alteration of characteristics which qualify a historic property for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, as defined in §800.16(i).</p>	<p>166 Taylor Hall Road is located at a distance greater than 500 feet from the North Alternative and is therefore not in the established APE for the Alternative.</p> <p>The South Alternative and the Central Alternative would result in alterations to the resource, specifically, the loss of a portion of the farmland and the introduction of a new roadway to the setting.</p>
<p>FINDING: The Central Alternative and the South Alternative results in a recommendation of <i>Historic Property Affected</i> for the Resource.</p>	

Relationship of Proposed Action to the Resource

The LOD of the North Alternative does not interact with the resource. The Central Alternative would require approximately 4.10 acres or 5.94% of the property and would necessitate the demolition of the historic-age buildings on the property. The South Alternative would require approximately 5.88 acres or 8.6% of the property and would necessitate the demolition of the historic-age buildings on the property.

Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect

<p style="text-align: center;">APPLICATION OF CRITERIA OF ADVERSE EFFECT FOR 166 Taylor Hall Road</p>	
<p>Criteria of adverse effect § 800.5 (a)(1): An adverse effect is found when an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association. Consideration shall be given to all qualifying characteristics of a historic property, including those that may have been identified subsequent to the original evaluation of the property's eligibility for the National Register. Adverse effects may include reasonably foreseeable effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance or be cumulative.</p>	
<p>Examples of adverse effects § 800.5 (a)(2): Adverse effects on historic properties include, but are not limited to:</p>	<p>Evaluation</p>
<p>(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property;</p>	<p>The Central Alternative would require the destruction of approximately 4.10 acres or 5.94% of the property and would necessitate the demolition of all of the extant historic buildings on the property. The Central Alternative would directly alter the property through the destruction of all its contributing features and would diminish the integrity of materials, workmanship, design, setting, feeling, and association of the historic property.</p> <p>The South Alternative would require the destruction of approximately 5.88 acres or 8.6% of the property and would necessitate the demolition of all of the extant historic buildings on the property. The South Alternative would directly alter the property through the destruction of all its contributing features and would diminish</p>

	the integrity of materials, workmanship, design, setting, feeling, and association of the historic property.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines;	The property will not be altered in a way that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines as part of this project.
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location;	The resource will not be removed from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance;	<p>As a result of the construction of the Central Alternative, a portion of land from the central portion of the parcel totaling approximately 4.10 acres would be changed from agricultural to transportation use. The reduction in area of farmland and construction of the roadway would result in the demolition of all of the existing contributing buildings. This would not allow the property to continue its historic function as a farm. The loss of this function and the change to the immediate setting would diminish the resource's integrity and negate its eligibility for the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p> <p>As a result of the South Alternative, a portion of land totaling 5.88 acres from the southern portion of the parcel would be changed from agricultural to transportation use. As a result, all of the contributing buildings would be demolished and the farmland reduced. This would negate its ability to continue its historic function as a farm. The loss of this function and the change to the immediate setting by the construction of the roadway would negate its eligibility for the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p>
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features;	<p>As a result of the Central Alternative, a new roadway would be constructed within the northern portion of the historic property, which would introduce visual and audible elements to the historic property and cause the destruction of all historic-age buildings on the property. The aspects of integrity important for conveying this significance of the resource would be diminished to an extent that would jeopardize its eligibility for listing in the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p> <p>As a result of the South Alternative, a new roadway would be constructed within the northern portion of the historic property, which would introduce visual and audible elements to the historic property and cause the destruction of all historic-age buildings on the property. The aspects of integrity important for conveying this</p>

	significance of the resource would be diminished to an extent that would jeopardize its eligibility for listing in the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization;	The resource would not be neglected as a result of this project.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance.	The resource will not be transferred, leased, or sold out of Federal ownership or control as part of this project.
Other:	None.
FINDING: The Central Alternative and the South Alternative results in a recommendation of <i>Historic Property Adversely Affected</i> for the resource.	

1.3 207 Taylor Hill Road (Map Key ID X)

The following description is adapted from the Historic Resource Survey Form (HRSF) for 207 Taylor Hill Road (2023RE06538).

Description of Resource

The resource is a 20th century farmstead. **Photographs 20-51** in **Appendix C** provide representation of the historic resources.

National Register Evaluation

The resource is eligible as a contributing resource to the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (RHD).

Period of Significance

The property is significant as a contributing element within the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (RHD), which has a POS from ca. 1800 – ca. 1940.

Aspects of Integrity

The resource conveys its significance and eligibility for the NRHP as a contributing resource within the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (2002RE02814) through its intact aspects of integrity of setting, association, and location.

National Register Boundary

As determined in consultation with the PHMC, the boundary for the resource is the existing tax parcel boundary (**Figure 32** in **Appendix C**).

Application of the Definition of Effect

RESULTS OF EFFECT EVALUATION FOR 207 Taylor Hill Road	
Definition of Effect	Evaluation
An effect may occur when an undertaking results in alteration of characteristics which qualify a historic property for inclusion in the	207 Taylor Hill Road is located at a distance greater than 500 feet from the North

National Register of Historic Places, as defined in §800.16(i).	Alternative and is therefore not in the established APE for the Alternative.
	The Central Alternative and the South Alternative would result in alterations to the resource, specifically, the loss of a portion of the farmland and the introduction of a new roadway to the setting.
FINDING: The Central Alternative and the South Alternative results in a recommendation of <i>Historic Property Affected</i> for the Resource.	

Relationship of Proposed Action to the Resource

The LOD of the North Alternative does not interact with the resource. The Central Alternative would require a portion of land from the north side of the parcel totaling approximately 8.22 acres or 24.31% of the property. The South Alternative would require the destruction of approximately 8.73 acres or 25.04% of the property.

Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect

APPLICATION OF CRITERIA OF ADVERSE EFFECT FOR 207 Taylor Hill Road	
Criteria of adverse effect § 800.5 (a)(1): An adverse effect is found when an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association. Consideration shall be given to all qualifying characteristics of a historic property, including those that may have been identified subsequent to the original evaluation of the property's eligibility for the National Register. Adverse effects may include reasonably foreseeable effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance or be cumulative.	
Examples of adverse effects § 800.5 (a)(2): Adverse effects on historic properties include, but are not limited to:	Evaluation
(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property;	The Central Alternative would require the destruction of approximately 8.22 acres or 24.31% of the property. Although no buildings will be impacted, the Central Alternative would directly alter the property through the destruction over 20% of its agricultural land and would diminish the integrity of setting, feeling, and association of the historic property. The South Alternative would require the destruction of approximately 8.73 acres or 25.04% of the property. Although no buildings will be impacted, the South Alternative would directly alter the property through the destruction over 25% of its agricultural land and would diminish the integrity of setting, feeling, and association of the historic property.
(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of	The property will not be altered in a way that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines as part of this project.

Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines;	
(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location;	The resource will not be removed from its historic location.
(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance;	<p>As a result of construction of the Central Alternative, a portion of land from the central portion of the parcel totaling approximately 8.22 acres would be changed from agricultural to transportation use. The reduction in area of farmland and construction of the roadway would likely not allow the property to continue its historic agricultural function. The loss of this function and the change to the immediate setting would diminish the resource's integrity and negate its eligibility for the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p> <p>As a result of construction of the South Alternative, a portion of land from the central portion of the parcel totaling approximately 8.73 acres would be changed from agricultural to transportation use. The reduction in area of farmland and construction of the roadway would likely not allow the property to continue its historic agricultural function. The loss of this function and the change to the immediate setting would diminish the resource's integrity and negate its eligibility for the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p>
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features;	<p>As a result of the Central Alternative, a new roadway would be constructed within the northern portion of the historic property, which would introduce visual and audible elements to the historic property. Although the roadway would be enlarged in this location, it is in an area that is already occupied by the existing roadway. As a result, the aspects of integrity important for conveying this significance of the resource would not be diminished to an extent that would jeopardizes its eligibility for listing in the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p> <p>As a result of the South Alternative, a new roadway would be constructed within the northern portion of the historic property, which would introduce visual and audible elements to the historic property. Although the roadway would be enlarged in this location, it is in an area that is already occupied by the existing roadway. As a result, the aspects of integrity important for conveying this significance of the resource would not be diminished to an extent that would jeopardizes its eligibility for listing in the NRHP as a contributor to the RHD.</p>
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a	The resource would not be neglected as a result of this project.

property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization;	
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance.	The resource will not be transferred, leased, or sold out of Federal ownership or control as part of this project.
Other:	None.
FINDING: The Central Alternative and the South Alternative result in a recommendation of <i>Historic Property Adversely Affected</i> for the resource.	

1.4 163 Wagner Road (Map Key ID R)

The following description is adapted from the Historic Resource Survey Form (HRSF) for 163 Wagner Road (2023RE06546).

Description of Resource

The resource at 163 Wagner Road is a 19th century farm located on the west side of Wagner Road. **Photographs 38-39** in **Appendix C** provide representation of the historic resources.

National Register Evaluation

The resource is eligible as a contributing resource to the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (RHD).

Period of Significance

The property at 163 Wagner Road is significant as a contributing element within the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (RHD), which has a POS from ca. 1800 – ca. 1940.

Aspects of Integrity

The property at 163 Wagner Road conveys its significance and eligibility for the NRHP as a contributing resource within the Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District (2002RE02814) through its intact aspects of integrity of feeling, setting, association, and location.

National Register Boundary

As determined in consultation with the PHMC, the boundary for the resource is the existing tax parcel boundary (**Figure 26** in **Appendix C**).

Application of the Definition of Effect

RESULTS OF EFFECT EVALUATION FOR 163 Wagner Road	
Definition of Effect	Evaluation
An effect may occur when an undertaking results in alteration of characteristics which qualify a historic property for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places, as defined in §800.16(i).	<p>The North Alternative would result in alterations to the resource, specifically, the loss of a portion of the farmland and the introduction of a new roadway to the setting.</p> <p>The resource is located at a distance greater than 500 feet from both the Central Alternative and the South Alternative and is therefore not in the established APE for either Alternative.</p>

FINDING: The **North Alternative** results in a recommendation of *Historic Property Affected* for the Resource.

Relationship of Proposed Action to the Resource

The North Alternative would alter a portion of the property at the southeastern corner of the resource, totaling approximately 0.10 acres or 0.66% of the property, would be acquired and converted from agricultural to transportation use. The LODs of the Central and South Alternative do not interact with the resource.

Application of Criteria of Adverse Effect

APPLICATION OF CRITERIA OF ADVERSE EFFECT FOR 163 Wagner Road	
<p>Criteria of adverse effect § 800.5 (a)(1): An adverse effect is found when an undertaking may alter, directly or indirectly, any of the characteristics of a historic property that qualify the property for inclusion in the National Register in a manner that would diminish the integrity of the property's location, design, setting, materials, workmanship, feeling, or association. Consideration shall be given to all qualifying characteristics of a historic property, including those that may have been identified subsequent to the original evaluation of the property's eligibility for the National Register. Adverse effects may include reasonably foreseeable effects caused by the undertaking that may occur later in time, be farther removed in distance or be cumulative.</p>	
<p>Examples of adverse effects § 800.5 (a)(2): Adverse effects on historic properties include, but are not limited to:</p>	<p>Evaluation</p>
<p>(i) Physical destruction of or damage to all or part of the property;</p>	<p>The North Alternative would result in the destruction of a portion of a strip of farmland totaling approximately 0.10 acres or 0.66% of the property from the southeastern corner of the parcel.</p> <p>Although the loss of this portion of the parcel would directly alter the property, it would alter less than an acre of farmland at the southeastern boundary of the resource. This would not affect the contributing buildings or the function of the property, which remains agricultural in use. The farm would retain the majority of its land. This would not diminish the setting, feeling, or association of the historic property or compromise its eligibility for listing in the NRHP as a contributing resource to the RHD.</p>
<p>(ii) Alteration of a property, including restoration, rehabilitation, repair, maintenance, stabilization, hazardous material remediation and provision of handicapped access, that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines;</p>	<p>The property will not be altered in a way that is not consistent with the Secretary's Standards for the Treatment of Historic Properties (36 CFR part 68) and applicable guidelines as part of this project.</p>
<p>(iii) Removal of the property from its historic location;</p>	<p>The resource will not be removed from its historic location.</p>
<p>(iv) Change of the character of the property's use or of physical features within the property's setting that contribute to its historic significance;</p>	<p>The North Alternative would change a portion of land from the southeastern corner of the parcel totaling approximately 0.10 acres from agricultural to transportation use and a new roadway will be introduced to the setting.</p>

	As a result of the Alternative, the historic resource will retain its original and historic use as a farm. Although the new roadway will be constructed at the resource's southeastern boundary, it will not significantly affect the property setting and will not diminish the integrity of setting to the extent that the property's historic significance will be compromised. The property will retain its historic function as a farm and no buildings will be affected by the alternative.
(v) Introduction of visual, atmospheric or audible elements that diminish the integrity of the property's significant historic features;	A new roadway would be constructed at the southeastern boundary of the historic property. Although this would introduce visual and audible elements to the historic property, such elements will be at a great enough distance that they would not affect the integrity of the property's significant historic features: its farmstead and farmland. The aspects of integrity important for conveying this significance will not be diminished to an extent that jeopardizes its eligibility for listing in the NRHP.
(vi) Neglect of a property which causes its deterioration, except where such neglect and deterioration are recognized qualities of a property of religious and cultural significance to an Indian tribe or Native Hawaiian organization;	The resource would not be neglected as a result of this project.
(vii) Transfer, lease, or sale of property out of Federal ownership or control without adequate and legally enforceable restrictions or conditions to ensure long-term preservation of the property's historic significance.	The resource will not be transferred, leased, or sold out of Federal ownership or control as part of this project.
Other:	None.
FINDING: The North Alternative results in a recommendation of <i>Historic Property Not Adversely Affected for the resource</i> .	

2.0 Summary

This report evaluated the potential effects of the State College Area Connector Project on cultural resources within the vicinity of the proposed project. Three alternatives are currently under consideration:

1. North Alternative
2. Central Alternative
3. South Alternative

There are 69 historic properties in the study area for the proposed project. Based on the definitions for effect and adverse effect provided in 36 CFR 800, the recommended determinations of effect for each property and alternative are summarized in the chart below.

The following table summarizes the results of the effects evaluation for each alternative.

Table 2: Count of Effects by Alternative

APE Count	No Effect	No Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
-----------	-----------	-------------------	----------------

North Alternative	47	17	5	25
Central Alternative	46	25	2	19
South Alternative	44	26	2	16

The following table summarizes the results of the effects evaluation for each resource.

Table 3: Summary of Effects by Resource. Properties featured in this Addendum Report are highlighted in yellow.

Map Key ID	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Eligibility	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
--	2002RE02814	Penns Valley & Brush Valley Rural Historic District	Eligible	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
--	2024RE00580	Village of Tusseyville Historic District	Eligible	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
A	2023RE06503	Tressler-Meyer Farm	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
B	1981RE00409	Michael Jack Estate	Individually Eligible	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
C	2023RE06505	Nease House	Individually Eligible	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
D	2023RE06502	Henry Meyer Farm	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	No Adverse Effect
E	2023RE06506	Tait Farm	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	Adverse Effect
F	2023RE06507	Kuhns Tree Farm	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	No Effect
G	2023RE06508	2114 Boal Avenue	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	No Effect
H	2023RE06510	2296 Boal Avenue	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Adverse Effect

Map Key ID	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Eligibility	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
I	2023RE06515	North Side Boal Avenue	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Not in APE
J	2023RE06676	Peter Ruble Farmstead	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
K	2023RE06512	126 Vernon Way	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Not in APE
L	2023RE06511	120 Vernon Way	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Not in APE
M	2023RE06514	John Brisbin Farmstead	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Adverse Effect	Not in APE
N	2023RE06549	131 Stave Mill Road	Contributes to RHD	No Adverse Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
O	2023RE06550	2215 Earlstown Road	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
P	2023RE06548	Durst Farm	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
Q	2023RE06547	Wagner-Homan Farm	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	No Adverse Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
R	2023RE06546	163 Wagner Road	Contributes to RHD	No Adverse Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
S	2023RE06516	Tusseysink Schoolhouse	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
T	2023RE06539	Ruble Family Farm	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
U	2023RE06517	166 Taylor Hill Road	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
V	2023RE06537	234 Taylor Hill Road	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
W	1995RE24674	Love, O.	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
X	2023RE06538	207 Taylor Hill Road	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect

Map Key ID	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Eligibility	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
Y	2023RE06573	Spangler-Runkle House	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
Z	2023RE06572	2214 General Potter Highway	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
AA	2023RE06574	2165 General Potter Highway	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
BB	2023RE06532	Joseph Jordan Farm	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
CC	1995RE24675	Boal, William	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
DD	2023RE06531	136 Neff Road	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
EE	1995RE24682	Evangelical Church	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
FF	1995RE24683	Bethany Church & Cemetery	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
GG	1995RE24681	Kerr, Rev. D., Estate, Site	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
HH	2023RE06534	Frederick Seltzer Farm	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	No Effect	No Effect
II	2023RE06530	Lawrence Grossman Farm	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
JJ	2023RE06571	Fisher-Fortney Farm	Contributes to RHD	No Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
KK	2023RE06569	105 Addleman Circle	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect

Map Key ID	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Eligibility	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
LL	2023RE06568	109 Addleman Circle	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
MM	2023RE06567	2414 General Potter Highway	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
NN	2023RE06564	105 Young Lane	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
OO	2023RE06560	Michael Ulrich Farmstead	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
PP	2023RE06563	Jacob Keller Farm	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
QQ	2023RE06552	159 Cider Press Road	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
RR	2023RE06553	2571 General Potter Highway	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
SS	2023RE06641	2601 General Potter Highway	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
TT	2023RE06555	2606 General Potter Highway	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
UU	2023RE06557	2668 General Potter Highway	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
VV	2023RE06500	397 Mountain Back Road	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
WW	2023RE06501	Thomas J. Stiver Farm	Contributes to RHD	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
XX	2023RE06498	Samuel Royer Farmstead	Contributes to RHD	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect	Adverse Effect
YY	2023RE06580	127 Zuck Road	Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
ZZ	2023RE06496	Miller Farm	Contributes to RHD	No Adverse Effect	No Adverse Effect	No Adverse Effect

Map Key ID	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Eligibility	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
AB	2013RE01590	Old Lewistown Pike Cemetery	Individually Eligible Contributes to RHD	No Effect	No Effect	No Effect
T1	2023RE06525	Wm W. Love House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
T2	2023RE06524	Jacob Strohm House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
T3	2023RE06523	John Lichty House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
T4	2023RE06522	S.M. Swartz House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
T5	2023RE06521	Swartz General Store/ Tusseyville Post Office	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
T6	2023RE06737	James Moyer House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
T7	2023RE06526	Henry Rossman House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
T8	2023RE06527	F. D. Hosterman Tenant House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect

Map Key ID	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Eligibility	North Alt.	Central Alt.	South Alt.
T9	2023RE06528	George and Myra Ishler House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
T10	2023RE06529	Maggie Love House	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect
T11	2023RE06675	Emmanuel Union Church School	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
T12	2023RE06518	Emmanuel Union Church	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	No Effect	Not in APE	Not in APE
T13	2023RE06519	Emmanuel Union Cemetery	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Not in APE	Not in APE	Not in APE
T14	2023RE06520	Jonas From Farm	Contributes to RHD Contributes to Tusseyville	Adverse Effect	No Effect	No Effect

3.0 Resources Cited

Centre County. Public Parcel Viewer. Basemap imagery from 2020, 1995, 1971, 1957, 1938. <https://gissites4.centrecountypa.gov/java/publicparcelviewer/>. Accessed March and April 2023.

Google Earth. Current and historic aerial imagery. Desktop software. 2025.

Leggio, Christine. *Historic Resources Reconnaissance Survey, State College Area Connector Project*. Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson. 2023.

--. *Village of Tusseyville Historic District*. Resource No. 2023RE06860. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

Leggio, Christine, Alexandria Martz, and Samantha Smith. *Bethany Church & Cemetery*. Resource No. 1995RE24683. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Durst Farm*. Resource No. 2023RE06548. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Emmanuel Union Cemetery*. Resource No. 2023RE06519. Historic Cemetery Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Fisher Fortney Farm*. Resource No. 2023RE06519. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *John Lichty House*. Resource No. 2023RE06523. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Emmanuel Union Cemetery*. Resource No. 2023RE06519. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Joseph Jordan Farm*. Resource No. 2023RE06532. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Michael Jack Estate*. Resource No. 1981RE00409. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Michael Ulrich Farmstead*. Resource No. 2023RE06560. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Nease House*. Resource No. 2033RE06505. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Peter Ruble Farmstead*. Resource No. 2023RE06676. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Ruble Family Farm*. Resource No. 2023RE06539. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Samuel Royer Farmstead*. Resource No. 2023RE06498. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Spangler-Runkle House*. Resource No. 2023RE06573. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Tussey Sink School*. Resource No. 2023RE06516. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Wagner-Homan Farm*. Resource No. 2023RE06532. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

Melander, J., S. McMurray, B. Alexander, and B. Ricker. "Penns/Brush Valley Pennsylvania Historic Resource Survey Form." February 2002.

National Park Service. "How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation," National Register Bulletin. 2002.

--. "How to Complete the National Register Registration Form," National Register Bulletin. 1997.

Nationwide Environmental Title Research (NETR). Historic Aerials database. <https://www.historicaerials.com/>. Accessed March and April 2025.

Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation Office (PA SHPO). "Agricultural Resources of Pennsylvania, c1960-1980: An Era of Specialization and Expanded Amish Presence." Prepared by Sally McMurry. Issued May 2019.

Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation Office (PA SHPO). "Central Valley Diversified Farming, c. 1830-1960" agricultural context.

Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation Office (PA SHPO). *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. Existing files on previously documented resources. <https://share.phmc.pa.gov/pashare/landing>. Accessed February - March 2025.

Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation Office (PA SHPO). "Statement on Integrity, November 2019 Update."

Pennsylvania State Historic Preservation Office (PA SHPO). "2019 Updates to PA's Agricultural History Project: Additional Guidance for Using Pennsylvania's Agricultural Context." Updated November 2019.

Ross, Alison. *Frederick Seltzer Farm*. Resource No. 2033RE06534. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Henry Meyer Farm*. Resource No. 2033RE06502. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Jacob Keller Farm*. Resource No. 2033RE06563. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *John Brisbin Farmstead*. Resource No. 2033RE06514. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Jonas From Farm*. Resource No. 2033RE06520. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Lawrence Grossman Farm*. Resource No. 2033RE06530. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Tait Farm*. Resource No. 2033RE06506. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Thomas J. Stiver Farm*. Resource No. 2033RE06501. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

--. *Tressler-Meyer Farm*. Resource No. 2033RE06503. Historic Resource Survey Form. Available in *Pennsylvania's State Historic and Archaeological Resource Exchange (PA-SHARE)*. 2023.

United States Geological Survey (USGS) and ESRI. *USGS Historical Topographic Map Explorer*. <https://livingatlas.arcgis.com/topoexplorer/index.html>. Accessed February 2021.


"Bellefonte, PA" 1:62,500 scale series. 1908, 1909.

"Centre Hall, PA" 1:24,000 scale series. 1966, 1973, 1977, 1984, 1998.


"Centre Hall, PA" 1:62,500 scale series. 1924, 1929.

"State College, PA" 1:24,000 scale series. 1962, 1971, 1977, 1987.

"State College, PA" 1:100,000 scale series. 1988.




Village of Tusseyville Historic District




Legend

- Rural Historic District
- North Alt. APE
- Eligible
- Contributing
- Study Area
- North Alt. LOD
- Central Alt. APE
- Central Alt. LOD
- South Alt. APE
- South Alt. LOD




Tusseyville Historic District			
Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address
T1	2023RE06525	Wm W. Love House	172 Neff Road
T2	2023RE06524	Jacob Strohm House	176 Neff Road
T3	2023RE06523	John Lichty House	110 Black Walnut Lane
T4	2023RE06522	S.M. Swartz House	180 Neff Road
T5	2023RE06521	Swartz General Store/ Tusseyville Post Office	97 Tusseyville Road
T6	2023RE06737	James Moyer House	100 Tusseyville Road
T7	2023RE06526	Henry Rossman House	81 Tusseyville Road
T8	2023RE06527	F. D. Hosterman Tenant House	72 Tusseyville Road
T9	2023RE06528	George and Myra Ishler House	66 Tusseyville Road
T10	2023RE06529	Maggie Love House	62 Tusseyville Road
T11	2023RE06675	Emmanuel Union Church School	120 Tusseyville Road
T12	2023RE06518	Emmanuel Union Church	120 Tusseyville Road
T13	2023RE06519	Emmanuel Union Cemetery	120 Tusseyville Road
T14	2023RE06520	Jonas From Farm	108 Tusseyville Road

Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address	Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address
A	2023RE06503	Tressler-Meyer Farm	770 Linden Hall Road	BB	2023RE06532	Joseph Jordan Farm	112 Neff Road
B	1981RE00409	Michael Jack Estate	1301 Boal Avenue	CC	1995RE24675	Boal, William	305 Taylor Hill Road
C	2023RE06505	Nease House	1302 Boal Avenue	DD	2023RE06531	136 Neff Road	136 Neff Road
D	2023RE06502	Henry Meyer Farm	2051 Boal Avenue	EE	1995RE24682	Evangelical Church	201 Church Hill Road
E	2023RE06506	Tait Farm	121 Tait Road	FF	1995RE24683	Bethany Church & Cemetery	208 Church Hill Road
F	2023RE06507	Kuhns Tree Farm	2105 Boal Avenue	GG	1995RE24681	Kerr, Rev. D., Estate, Site	246 Church Hill Road
G	2023RE06508	2114 Boal Avenue	2114 Boal Avenue	HH	2023RE06534	Frederick Seltzer Farm	246 Fohringer Lane
H	2023RE06510	2296 Boal Avenue	2296 Boal Avenue	II	2023RE06530	Lawrence Grossman Farm	2348 General Potter Highway
I	2023RE06515	North Side Boal Avenue	Boal Avenue	JJ	2023RE06571	Fisher-Fortney Farm	2381 General Potter Highway
J	2023RE06676	Peter Ruble Farmstead	2130 Earlostown Road	KK	2023RE06569	105 Addleman Circle	105 Addleman Circle
K	2023RE06512	126 Vernon Way	126 Vernon Way	LL	2023RE06568	109 Addleman Circle	109 Addleman Circle
L	2023RE06511	120 Vernon Way	120 Vernon Way	MM	2023RE06567	2414 General Potter Highway	2414 General Potter Highway
M	2023RE06514	John Brisbin Farmstead	1901-1905 General Potter Highway	NN	2023RE06564	105 Young Lane	105 Young Lane
N	2023RE06549	131 Stave Mill Road	131 Stave Mill Road	OO	2023RE06560	Michael Ulrich Farmstead	2452 General Potter Highway
O	2023RE06550	2215 Earlostown Road	2215 Earlostown Road	PP	2023RE06563	Jacob Keller Farm	329 Dogtown Road
P	2023RE06548	Durst Farm	116 Rimmey Road	QQ	2023RE06552	159 Cider Press Road	159 Cider Press Road
Q	2023RE06547	Wagner-Homan Farm	242 Wagner Road	RR	2023RE06553	2571 General Potter Highway	2571 General Potter Highway
R	2023RE06546	163 Wagner Road	163 Wagner Road	SS	2023RE06641	2601 General Potter Highway	2601 General Potter Highway
S	2023RE06516	Tusseysink Schoolhouse	2076 General Potter Highway	TT	2023RE06555	2606 General Potter Highway	2606 General Potter Highway
T	2023RE06539	Rubble Family Farm	110 Tussey Sink Road	UU	2023RE06557	2668 General Potter Highway	2668 General Potter Highway
U	2023RE06517	166 Taylor Hill Road	166 Taylor Hill Road	VV	2023RE06500	397 Mountain Back Road	397 Mountain Back Lane
V	2023RE06537	234 Taylor Hill Road	234 Taylor Hill Road	WW	2023RE06501	Thomas J. Stiver Farm	347 Mountain Back Road
W	1995RE24674	Love, O.	251 Taylor Hill Road	XX	2023RE06498	Samuel Royer Farmstead	411 Mountain Back Road
X	2023RE06538	207 Taylor Hill Road	207 Taylor Hill Road	YY	2023RE06580	127 Zuck Road	127 Zuck Road
Y	2023RE06573	Spangler-Runkle House	102 Tussey Meadow Lane	ZZ	2023RE06496	Miller Farm	112 Miller Road
Z	2023RE06572	2214 General Potter Highway	2214 General Potter Highway	AB	2013RE01590	Old Lewistown Pike Cemetery	Old Lewistown Pike
AA	2023RE06574	2165 General Potter Highway	2165 General Potter Highway				




Village of Tusseyville Historic District



Village of Tusseyville Historic District			
Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address
T2	2023RE06524	Jacob Strohm House	176 Neff Road
T3	2023RE06523	John Lichty House	110 Black Walnut Lane
T7	2023RE06526	Henry Rossman House	81 Tusseyville Road
T8	2023RE06527	F. D. Hosterman Tenant House	72 Tusseyville Road
T9	2023RE06528	George and Myra Ishler House	66 Tusseyville Road
T10	2023RE06529	Maggie Love House	62 Tusseyville Road
T14	2023RE06520	Jonas From Farm	108 Tusseyville Road




Legend

- Rural Historic District
- Tusseyville HD
- Eligible
- Contributing
- Central Alt. APE
- Central Alt. LOD




Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address
A	2023RE06503	Tressler-Meyer Farm	770 Linden Hall Road
B	1981RE00409	Jack, Michael, Estate	1301 Boal Avenue
C	2023RE06505	Nease House	1302 Boal Avenue
D	2023RE06502	Henry Meyer Farm	2051 Boal Avenue
E	2023RE06506	Tait Farm	121 Tait Road
F	2023RE06507	Kuhns Tree Farm	2105 Boal Avenue
I	2023RE06515	North Side Boal Avenue	Boal Avenue
K	2023RE06512	126 Vernon Way	126 Vernon Way
L	2023RE06511	120 Vernon Way	120 Vernon Way
M	2023RE06514	John Brisbin Farmstead	1901-1905 General Potter Highway
T	2023RE06539	Rubble Family Farm	110 Tussey Sink Road
U	2023RE06517	166 Taylor Hill Road	166 Taylor Hill Road
X	2023RE06538	207 Taylor Hill Road	207 Taylor Hill Road
Y	2023RE06573	Spangler-Runkle House	102 Tussey Meadow Lane
Z	2023RE06572	2214 General Potter Highway	2214 General Potter Highway
AA	2023RE06574	2165 General Potter Highway	2165 General Potter Highway
BB	2023RE06532	Joseph Jordan Farm	112 Neff Road
DD	2023RE06531	136 Neff Road	136 Neff Road
HH	2023RE06534	Frederick Seltzer Farm	246 Fohringer Lane

Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address
II	2023RE06530	Lawrence Grossman Farm	2348 General Potter Highway
JJ	2023RE06571	Fisher-Fortney Farm	2381 General Potter Highway
KK	2023RE06569	105 Addleman Circle	105 Addleman Circle
LL	2023RE06568	109 Addleman Circle	109 Addleman Circle
MM	2023RE06567	2414 General Potter Highway	2414 General Potter Highway
NN	2023RE06564	105 Young Lane	105 Young Lane
OO	2023RE06560	Michael Ulrich Farmstead	2452 General Potter Highway
PP	2023RE06563	Jacob Keller Farm	329 Dogtown Road
QQ	2023RE06552	159 Cider Press Road	159 Cider Press Road
RR	2023RE06553	2571 General Potter Highway	2571 General Potter Highway
SS	2023RE06641	2601 General Potter Highway	2601 General Potter Highway
TT	2023RE06555	2606 General Potter Highway	2606 General Potter Highway
UU	2023RE06557	2668 General Potter Highway	2668 General Potter Highway
VV	2023RE06500	397 Mountain Back Road	397 Mountain Back Lane
XX	2023RE06498	Samuel Royer Farmstead	411 Mountain Back Road
YY	2023RE06580	127 Zuck Road	127 Zuck Road
ZZ	2023RE06496	Miller Farm	112 Miller Road
AB	2013RE01590	Old Lewistown Pike Cemetery	Old Lewistown Pike




Legend

-  Central Alt. LOD
-  Central Alt. APE
-  Individually Eligible
-  Contributing to RHD




Village of Tusseyville Historic District



Village of Tusseyville Historic District			
Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address
T1	2023RE06525	Wm W. Love House	172 Neff Road
T2	2023RE06524	Jacob Strohm House	176 Neff Road
T3	2023RE06523	John Lichty House	110 Black Walnut Lane
T4	2023RE06522	S.M. Swartz House	180 Neff Road
T5	2023RE06521	Swartz General Store/ Tusseyville Post Office	97 Tusseyville Road
T6	2023RE06737	James Moyer House	100 Tusseyville Road
T7	2023RE06526	Henry Rossman House	81 Tusseyville Road
T8	2023RE06527	F. D. Hosterman Tenant House	72 Tusseyville Road
T9	2023RE06528	George and Myra Ishler House	66 Tusseyville Road
T10	2023RE06529	Maggie Love House	62 Tusseyville Road
T12	2023RE06518	Emmanuel Union Church	120 Tusseyville Road
T14	2023RE06520	Jonas From Farm	108 Tusseyville Road





Legend

- Rural Historic District
- Eligible
- Contributing
- North Alt. APE
- North Alt. LOD



Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address
A	2023RE06503	Tressler-Meyer Farm	770 Linden Hall Road
B	1981RE00409	Jack, Michael, Estate	1301 Boal Avenue
C	2023RE06505	Nease House	1302 Boal Avenue
D	2023RE06502	Henry Meyer Farm	2051 Boal Avenue
E	2023RE06506	Tait Farm	121 Tait Road
F	2023RE06507	Kuhns Tree Farm	2105 Boal Avenue
I	2023RE06515	North Side Boal Avenue	Boal Avenue
M	2023RE06514	John Brisbin Farmstead	1901-1905 General Potter Highway
N	2023RE06549	131 Stave Mill Road	131 Stave Mill Road
Q	2023RE06547	Wagner-Homan Farm	242 Wagner Road
R	2023RE06546	163 Wagner Road	163 Wagner Road
S	2023RE06516	Tusseysink Schoolhouse	2076 General Potter Highway
AA	2023RE06574	2165 General Potter Highway	2165 General Potter Highway
BB	2023RE06532	Joseph Jordan Farm	112 Neff Road
DD	2023RE06531	136 Neff Road	136 Neff Road
II	2023RE06530	Lawrence Grossman Farm	2348 General Potter Highway
JJ	2023RE06571	Fisher-Fortney Farm	2381 General Potter Highway

Map Key	SHPO ID	Resource Name	Resource Address
KK	2023RE06569	105 Addleman Circle	105 Addleman Circle
LL	2023RE06568	109 Addleman Circle	109 Addleman Circle
MM	2023RE06567	2414 General Potter Highway	2414 General Potter Highway
NN	2023RE06564	105 Young Lane	105 Young Lane
OO	2023RE06560	Michael Ulrich Farmstead	2452 General Potter Highway
PP	2023RE06563	Jacob Keller Farm	329 Dogtown Road
QQ	2023RE06552	159 Cider Press Road	159 Cider Press Road
RR	2023RE06553	2571 General Potter Highway	2571 General Potter Highway
SS	2023RE06641	2601 General Potter Highway	2601 General Potter Highway
TT	2023RE06555	2606 General Potter Highway	2606 General Potter Highway
UU	2023RE06557	2668 General Potter Highway	2668 General Potter Highway
VV	2023RE06500	397 Mountain Back Road	397 Mountain Back Lane
XX	2023RE06498	Samuel Royer Farmstead	411 Mountain Back Road
YY	2023RE06580	127 Zuck Road	127 Zuck Road
ZZ	2023RE06496	Miller Farm	112 Miller Road
AB	2013RE01590	Old Lewistown Pike Cemetery	Old Lewistown Pike



Legend

- North Alternative LOD
- North Alternative APE
- Individually Eligible
- Contributing to RHD