FEDERAL TRANSIT BUS TEST

Performed for the Federal Transit Administration U.S. DOT In accordance with 49 CFR, Part 665

Manufacturer: BraunAbility / The Braun Corporation

Model: Chrysler Pacifica / Voyager Commercial Wheelchair Accessible Vehicle w/ Side-Entry Manual Foldout Ramp

Tested in Service-Life Category 4 Year / 100,000 Miles

November 2020

Report Number: LTI-BT-R2020-03

The Thomas D. Larson Pennsylvania Transportation Institute 201 Transportation Research Building The Pennsylvania State University University Park, PA 16802 (814) 865-1891

Bus Testing and Research Center 2237 Plank Road Duncansville, PA 16635 (814) 695-3404



LTI BUS RESEARCH AND TESTING CENTER

FEDERAL TRANSIT BUS TEST

Performed for the Federal Transit Administration, U.S. DOT 1200 New Jersey Avenue, SE Washington, DC 20590

In accordance with 49 CFR Part, 665

Manufacturer: BraunAbility / The Braun Corporation Manufacturer's address: 631 W. 11th Street Winamac, IN 46996

Model: Chrysler Pacifica / Voyager Commercial Wheelchair Accessible Vehicle w/ Side-Entry Manual Foldout Ramp

Tested in Service-Life Category 4 Year / 100,000 Miles

Report Number: LTI-BT-R2020-03



David Klinikowski

Quality Authorization

Director, Bus Research and Testing Center

November 20th 2020

Title

Date

2020-03 Page **2** of **101**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page</u>
E	XECUTIVE S	SUMMARY	4
Α	BBREVIATIO	ONS AND ACRONYMS	7
В	US CHECK-I	N	8
1.	MAINTAIN	ABILITY	
	1.1 1.2	ACCESSIBILITY OF COMPONENTS AND SUBSYSTEMSSERVICING, PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE DURING TESTING	26
	1.3	REPLACEMENT AND/OR REPAIR OF SELECTED SUBSYSTEMS	29
2.	RELIABILI	тү	32
3.	SAFETY		
	3.1 3.2	DOUBLE-LANE CHANGE (OBSTACLE AVOIDANCE TEST)	34
4.	PERFORM	ANCE	43
5.	STRUCTUE	RAL INTEGRITY	
	5.1 5.2	STRUCTURAL SHAKEDOWN – DISCONTINUED TEST STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS - STRUCTURAL DISTORTION	47
	5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6 5.7	STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS - STATIC TOWING STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS - DYNAMIC TOWING STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS- JACKING STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS- HOISTING STRUCTURAL DURABILITY	59 60 63
6.	FUEL ECO	NOMY	77
7.	NOISE		
	7.1 7.2	INTERIOR NOISE AND VIBRATION TESTS	
8.	EMISSIONS		96

2020-03 Page **3** of **101**

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

TEST HIGHLIGHTS

The information in this report pertains only to this specific bus, as received from the manufacturer for testing.

The Check-In section of the report provides a description of the bus and specifies its major components. The following table gives the salient specifications.

Manufacturer	BraunAbility / The Braun Corporation
Model	Chrysler Pacifica / Voyager Commercial
	Wheelchair Accessible Vehicle w/Side-
	Entry Manual Foldout Ramp
Chassis Make/Model	Chrysler Pacifica / Voyager
Chassis Modified	Yes
Length	16 feet, 8 ½ inches
Fuel	Gasoline
Service Life	4 Years / 100,000 miles
Number of Seats (including driver)	5 and 1 wheelchair
Manufacturer-Designated Standing Passenger Capacity	No standing passengers
Gross Vehicle Weight used for testing	5,830 lb.
Gross Vehicle Weight Rating	6,055 lb.
Mileage at Delivery	37
Test Start Date	March 19, 2020
Test Completion Date	October 07, 2020

The measured curb weight was 2,520 lb. for the front axle and 1,940 lb. for the rear axle. These combined weights provided a total measured curb weight of 4,460 lb. There are 5 seats including the driver and one wheelchair position. Since this vehicle is a minivan, it is not possible to accommodate standing passengers. Therefore, the gross load represents seated passengers only, for a total of 6 passengers. Gross load is calculated as $(150 \text{ lb. } \times 5) + (600 \text{lb. } \times 1) = 1,350 \text{ lb.}$ At full declared capacity, the measured gross vehicle weight was 5,830 lb.

BUS TESTING BACKGROUND

On August 1, 2016, FTA announced a final rule for bus testing for improving the process of ensuring the safety and reliability of new transit buses. The rule satisfies requirements in MAP-21 to establish minimum performance standards, a standardized scoring system, and a pass-fail threshold based on the score.

FTA's Bus Testing Program (often referred to as "Altoona Testing" due to the location of the main testing center) tests new transit bus models for:

- Maintainability
- Reliability

2020-03 Page 4 of 101

- Safety
- Performance (including Braking Performance)
- Structural Integrity (including Structural Durability)
- Fuel Economy (Energy Efficiency and Range, for electric buses)
- Noise
- Emissions

Bus models that fail to meet one or more minimum performance standards will "fail" their test and thus be ineligible for purchase with FTA funds until the failures are resolved and validated through further testing. FTA will use this authority to make sure defects are corrected before a bus model can be acquired with FTA funding.

In each application to FTA for the purchase or lease of any new bus model, or any bus model with a major change in configuration or components to be acquired or leased with funds obligated by the FTA, the recipient shall certify that it has received the appropriate full Bus Testing Report and any applicable partial testing report(s) before final acceptance of the first vehicle. In dealing with a bus manufacturer or dealer, the recipient shall be responsible for determining whether a vehicle to be acquired requires full testing or partial testing or has already satisfied the requirements of this part. A bus manufacturer or recipient may request guidance from FTA in making these determinations.

The purpose of the testing is intended set a "Pass/Fail" standard and grade the performance of the buses in order to provide performance information to the transit authorities that can be used in their purchase or lease decisions. The intent of this report is to provide the grantee a relative measure of the performance of a particular model of transit bus against a standard of performance. The passing of this test should ensure a vehicle has a high probability of meeting its service life in the category it was tested.

The data included in this test report and other applicable reports should be reviewed to choose the most suitable bus for a grantee's operation. A higher scoring bus is not necessarily the best bus for a given application. For example, a bus with a powerful engine may score well because of its performance and gradeability, but another bus with a smaller and more fuel-efficient engine could be a better choice for applications in mostly flat areas. It is the responsibility of the grantee to ensure the proper test report or applicable partial report is in their possession and has been thoroughly reviewed.

The score sheet for the subject vehicle of this test report is provided below. **This** bus passed the Altoona test, with an aggregate score of 92.5.

2020-03 Page **5** of **101**

		Bus 2020-03 BraunAbility	sraunAbility						
Test	Test category	Standard	Base Pts. Bonus Pts.	Sonus Pts.	Range	Range	Test Data	Score	FAIL
1. Maintainability	Unscheduled maint.	< 125 hours	2	14	0	125	0.25	15.97	
2. Reliability	# Class 2 failures	< 2 Uncorrected	2	9	0	2	0	8.00	
	Hazards	No uncorrected Class 1	10	0	۵	ш	Ь	10.00	
	Stability	Lane change, 45 mph?	2.5	0	۵	ш	Ь	2.50	
3. Safety		< 158 feet at 45mph	0.5	2	80	158	92.6	2.36	
	Braking	Holds Lane, Split coeffient	2.5	0	۵	ш	Ь	2.50	
		Parking brake, 20% grade	2.5	0	۵	ш	Ь	2.50	
	Acceleration 0-30 mph	less than 30 sec	1.5	0	۵	ш	Ь	1.50	
4. Performance	Gradeability 2.5%	more than 40 mph	1.5	0	۵	ш	Ь	1.50	
	Gradeability 10%	more than 10 mph	2	0	۵	ш	Ь	2.00	
	Distortion	Exits are operational	1	0	۵	ш	Ь	1.00	
	Static Towing	No significant deformation	1	0	۵	ш	Ь	0.00	
Christian	Dynamic Towing	Towable with std. wrecker	1	0	۵	ш	Ь	1.00	
o. structural	Jacking	Liftable with std. jack	1	0	۵	ш	Ь	1.00	
hindeling	Hoisting	Stable on jacks	1	0	۵	ш	Ь	1.00	
	Durability-Structural	No uncorrected failures	13	0	۵	ш	Ь	13.00	
	Durability-Powertrain	No uncorrected failures	12	0	۵	ш	Ь	12.00	
	Liquid fuels	1-13mpg			1	13	12.7	6.85	
S Errol Fromount	CNG	10-50 scf/mi		ď	10	20	DATA	0.00	
o. ruel Economy	Hydrogen	15-98 cf/mi	1	0	15	86	DATA	0.00	
	Electric	1-3 kWh/mi			1	m	DATA	0.00	
7 Moiso	Int. Noise (0-35 mph)	less than 80 db	0.5	8	30	80	8.62	0.51	
/· Noise	Ext. Noise (0-35 mph)	less than 83 db	0.5	3	20	83	6.97	1.05	
	CO ₂	0-4000 g/mi		4	0	4000	661	4.34	
	8	0-20 g/mi		0.4	0	20	1.16	0.38	
9 Emissions	Total hydrocarbon	0-3 g/mi		0.4	0	m	0.19	0.37	
	NMHC	0-3 g/mi	1	0.4	0	က	0.05	0.39	
	Nitrogen oxides	0-3 g/mi		0.4	0	2	0	0.40	
	Particulates	0-0.1 g/m		0.4	0	0.1	0	0.40	
Total			09	40				92.5	

Note: The use of the scoring system is not mandatory for procurement. It is only necessary that the bus being procured has received a passing score.

2020-03 Page 6 of 101

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

ABS - anti-skid braking system

ABTC - Altoona Bus Test Center

A/C - air conditioner, or air conditioning

AC - alternating current

ADA - American Disability Act

CDCTS - chassis dynamometer test control system

CVS - constant volume sampling

 curb weight (bus weight including maximum fuel, oil, and coolant; but without passengers or driver)

dB(A) - decibels with reference to 0.0002 microbar as measured on the "A" scale

DC - direct current

DIR - test director

DR - bus driver

EPA - Environmental Protection Agency

GAWR - gross axle weight rating

GVL - gross vehicle load (150 lb. for every designed passenger seating

position, for the driver, and for each 1.5 sq ft of free floor space)

GVWR - gross vehicle weight rating

HD-UDDS – Heavy Duty-Urban Dynamometer Driving Schedule

GVW - gross vehicle weight (curb weight plus gross vehicle load)

LTI - Larson Transportation Institute

mpg - miles per gallonmph - miles per hour

PM

mpii imes pei noui

PSTT - Penn State Test Track rpm - revolutions per minute

SAE - Society of Automotive Engineers

- Preventive maintenance

SCF - Standard cubic foot

SCH - test scheduler
SA - staff assistant

SLW - seated load weight (curb weight plus 150 lb. for every designed passenger seating

position and for the driver)

TD - test driver

TECH - test technician
TM - track manager
TP - test personnel

Wh - Watt hour

2020-03 Page 7 of 101

TEST BUS CHECK-IN

I. OBJECTIVE

The objective of this task is to log in the test bus, assign a bus number, complete the vehicle data form, and perform a safety check.

II. TEST DESCRIPTION

The test consisted of assigning a bus test number to the bus, cleaning the bus, completing the vehicle data form, obtaining any special information and tools from the manufacturer, determining a testing schedule, performing an initial safety check, and performing the manufacturer's recommended preventive maintenance. The bus manufacturer certified that the bus meets all Federal regulations.

III. DISCUSSION

The check-in procedure is used to identify in detail the major components and configuration of the bus.

The test bus consisted of a BraunAbility / The Braun Corp., Chrysler Pacifica / Voyager Commercial Wheelchair Accessible Vehicle w/Side-Entry Manual Foldout Ramp bus model. The bus has a front driver's door and a front passenger door behind the front axle, and two rear passenger doors forward of the rear axle. The curbside rear passenger door is equipped with a Braun model 509U91DSA manual fold out ramp. Power is provided by a gasoline fueled, Mopar FUA US LLC 3.6L engine coupled to Chrysler, OEM 948TE, transmission.

The measured curb weight was 2,520 lb. for the front axle and 1,940 lb. for the rear axle. These combined weights provided a total measured curb weight of 4,460 lb. There are 5 seats including the driver and one wheelchair position. Since this vehicle is a minivan, it is not possible to accommodate standing passengers. Therefore, the gross load represents seated passengers only, for a total of 6 passengers. Gross load is calculated as (150 lb. x 5) + (600 lb. x 1) = 1,350 lb. At full declared capacity, the measured gross vehicle weight was 5,830 lb.

2020-03 Page **8** of **101**

Page 1 of 7

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date of Check-In: 03/19/2020
Bus Manufacturer: BraunAbility/The Braun Corp.	Vehicle Identification Number (VIN): 2C4RC1CG6LR120814
Model Number: Chrysler Pacifica / Voyager	Chassis Mfr./Mod. #: Chrysler Pacifica / Voyager
Personnel: T.S. & E.D.	Starting Odometer Reading: 37 miles

WEIGHT:

Individual Wheel Reactions:

Weights	Front	: Axle	Middle	e Axle	Rear	Axle
(lb.)	Curb	Street	Curb	Street	Curb	Street
CW	1,230	1,290	N/A	N/A	1,000	940
SLW	1,390	1,500	N/A	N/A	1,480	1,460
GVW	1,390	1,500	N/A	N/A	1,480	1,460

Total Weight Details:

Weight (lb.)	CW	SLW	GVW	GAWR
Front Axle	2,520	2,890	2,890	2,950
Middle Axle	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Rear Axle	1,940	2,940	2,940	3,200
Total	4,460	5,830	5,830	Manufacturer specified GVWR: 6,055

Dimensions:

Difficiolofis.	
Length (ft/in)	16 / 8 ½
Width (in)	77 3/4
Height (in)	78
Front Overhang (in)	39
Rear Overhang (in)	39 ½
Wheelbase (in)	122
Wheel Track (in)	Front: 68.2
, ,	Middle: N/A
	Rear: 68.8

2020-03 Page 9 of 101

Page 2 of 7

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 03/19/2020

CLEARANCES:

Lowest Point Outside Front Axle	Location: Frame	Clearance(in): 7.1
Lowest Point Outside Rear Axle	Location: Exhaust	Clearance(in): 8.3
Lowest Point between Axles	Location: Exhaust	Clearance(in): 6.1
Ground Clearance at the center (in)	6.1	
Front Approach Angle (deg)*	10.3	
Rear Approach Angle (deg)*	19.2	
Ramp Clearance Angle (deg)	5.7	
Aisle Width (in)	N/A	
Inside Standing Height at Center Aisle (in)	60	

^{*}measurements used to calculate approach and departure angles are taken from the centerline of the axles.

BODY DETAILS:

SOUT DETAILS.					
Body Structural Type	Integral / Unibody				
Frame Material	Steel				
Body Material	Steel				
Floor Material	Steel				
Roof Material	Steel				
Windows Type	□ Fixed	■ Movable			
Window Mfg./Model No.	Mopar / 43R-001565 DOT459 FYG M540 AS2 19				
Number of Doors	_2_Front	2 Middle	<u>1</u> Rear		
Mfr. / Model No.	All doors are OEM t	o Mopar			
Dimension of Each Door (in)	Front Driver – 42.1 x 29.2 Front Passenger – 42.7 x 29.4 Middle Left – 56.8 x 27.7 Middle Right – 56.6 x 31.4 Rear – 37.6 x 44.9				
Passenger Seat Type	☐ Cantilever	■ Pedestal	☐ Other (explain)		
Driver Seat Type	□ Air	■ Spring	☐ Other (explain)		
Mfr. / Model No.	Chrysler / OEM				
Number of Seats (including Driver)	5 + 1 wheelchair				

2020-03 Page **10** of **101**

Page 3 of 7

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 0	3/19/2020		
BODY DETAILS (Contd.)				
Free Floor Space (ft²)	N/A			
Height of Each Step at Normal	Front 1. <u>10</u>	2. 23.3 3. N/A	4. <u>N/A</u>	
Position (in)	Middle 1. 12.4	2. <u>N/A</u> 3. <u>N/A</u>	4. <u>N/A</u>	
	Rear 1. <u>N/A</u>	2. <u>N/A</u> 3. <u>N/A</u>	4. <u>N/A</u>	
Step Elevation Change - Kneeling (in)	N/A			
ENGINE				
Туре	□ C.I.	☐ Alternate Fuel		
	■ S.I. □ Other (explain)			
Mfr. / Model No.	Mopar FUA US LL	C / 3.6L		
Location	☐ Front	□ Rear	☐ Other (explain)	
Fuel Type	■ Gasoline	□ CNG	☐ Methanol	
	☐ Diesel	□ LNG	☐ Other (explain)	
Alternator (Generator) Mfr./Model No.	Denso / P56029732AB			
Maximum Rated Output (Volts / Amps)	12 / 180			
Air Compressor Mfr. / Model No.	N/A			
Maximum Capacity (ft³ / min)	N/A	T	T	
Starter Type	■ Electrical	□ Pneumatic	□ Other (explain)	
Starter Mfr. / Model No.	Mopar / 68348376	AC		

2020-03 Page 11 of 101

Page 4 of 7

	Т		
Bus Number: 2020-03	Date	e: 03/19/2020	
TRANSMISSION			
Transmission Type	☐ Manual	■ Automatic	☐ Load Sensing Adaptive
Mfr. / Model No.	Chrysler / OEM 9	948TE	
Control Type	☐ Mechanical	■ Electrical	☐ Other
Integral Retarder Mfr. / Model No.	□ Yes	■ No	
SUSPENSION			
Number of Axles	2		
Front Axle Type	■ Independent	☐ Beam Axle	
Mfr. / Model No.	Chrysler / OEM		
Axle Ratio (if driven)	Chrysler / OEM		
Suspension Type	□ Air	■ Spring	☐ Other (explain)
No. of Shock Absorbers	2		
Mfr. / Model No.	ZF Sach / REG 0)01650/2018	
Middle Axle Type	☐ Independent	☐ Beam Axle	
Mfr. / Model No.	N/A		
Axle Ratio (if driven)	N/A		
Suspension Type	☐ Air	☐ Spring	☐ Other (explain)
No. of Shock Absorbers	N/A		
Mfr. / Model No.	N/A		
Rear Axle Type	■ Independent	☐ Beam Axle	
Mfr. / Model No.	Chrysler / OEM		
Axle Ratio (if driven)	N/A		
Suspension Type	□ Air	■ Spring	☐ Other (explain)
No. of Shock Absorbers	2		
Mfr. / Model No.	Sach ZF / REG 0	01649/2018	

2020-03 Page **12** of **101**

Page 5 of 7

Bus Number: 2020-03			Da	Date: 03/19/20		
WHEELS 8	K TIRES					
Front	Wheel Mfr./ Model No.	Chrysler /	OEM			
	Tire Mfr./ Model No.			id S34 235/65R1	7	
Rear	Wheel Mfr./ Model No.	Chrysler /				
	Tire Mfr./ Model No.			d S34 235/65R1	7	
BRAKES						
	e Brakes Type	☐ Cam		■ Disc	☐ Other (explain)	
Mfr. / Mo		Mopar / Of	EM			
	kle Brakes Type	☐ Cam		☐ Disc	☐ Other	
Mfr. / Model No.		N/A				
Rear Axle Brakes Type		□ Cam		■ Disc	☐ Other (explain)	
Mfr. / Model No.		Mopar / O	Mopar / OEM			
HVAC						
Heating System Type		☐ Air		■ Water	☐ Other	
Capacity (Btu/hr)		Chrysler /	OEN	Л		
Mfr. / Model No.		Chrysler /	OEN	Л		
Air Conditioner		■ Yes		□ No		
Location		Front				
Capacity (Btu/hr)		Chrysler /	OEN	Л		
A/C Con	mpressor Mfr. / Model No.	FCA US L	LC /	68225206AD		
STEERING	·					
Steering Gear Box Type		Electric / F	Electric / Hydraulic Rack & pinion			
Mfr. / Model No.		Chrysler / OEM				
Steering Wheel Diameter		15.1"				
Number c	of turns (lock to lock)	3				
Control T	vne	■ Flectric		■ Hydraulic	☐ Other (explain)	

2020-03 Page **13** of **101**

Page 6 of 7

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 03/19/2020

OTHERS

Wheelchair Ramps	Location: Right side middle	Type: Manual Fold out
Wheelchair Lifts	Location: N/A	Type: N/A
Mfr. / Model No.	Braun / 509491DSA	
Emergency Exit	Location: N/A	Number: N/A

CAPACITIES

Fuel Tank Capacity (gallons)	19
Engine Crankcase Capacity (quarts)	5
Transmission Capacity (pints)	12.7
Differential Capacity (quarts)	N/A
Cooling System Capacity (gallons)	Chrysler / OEM
Power Steering Fluid Capacity (quarts)	Chrysler / OEM

2020-03 Page **14** of **101**

Page 7 of 7

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 03/19/2020

List all spare parts, tools and manuals delivered with the bus.

Part Number	Description	Qty.
N/A	N/A	N/A

2020-03 Page **15** of **101**

COMPONENT/SUBSYSTEM INSPECTION FORM

Page 1 of 1

Bus Number: 2020-03 Date: 03/19/2020

Subsystem	Checked	Initials	Comments
Subsystem	Checked		Comments
Air Conditioning Heating and Ventilation	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Body and Sheet Metal	✓	E.D.	Floor underneath bus is scraped & gouged
Frame	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Steering	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Suspension	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Interior/Seating	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Axles	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Brakes	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Tires/Wheels	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Exhaust	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Fuel System	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Power Plant	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Accessories	✓	E.D.	None noted.
ADA Accessible Lift System	N/A	E.D.	N/A
ADA Accessible Ramp System	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Interior Fasteners	✓	E.D.	None noted.
Batteries	✓	E.D.	None noted.

2020-03 Page **16** of **101**

CHECK - IN




BRAUNABILITY / THE BRAUN CORP. CHRYSLER PACIFICA / VOYAGER COMMERCIAL WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE VEHICLE W/SIDE-ENTRY MANUAL FOLDOUT RAMP



2020-03 Page **17** of **101**

CHECK - IN CONT.



BRAUNABILITY / THE BRAUN CORP.
CHRYSLER PACIFICA / VOYAGER COMMERCIAL
WHEELCHAIR ACCESSIBLE VEHICLE W/SIDE-ENTRY
MANUAL FOLDOUT RAMP



2020-03 Page **18** of **101**



BRAUN MANUAL FOLDOUT RAMP MODEL 509U91DSA




OPERATOR'S AREA

2020-03 Page **19** of **101**




REAR SEATS



VIN TAG

2020-03 Page **20** of **101**



CHRYSLER VIN TAG



ENGINE COMPARTMENT

2020-03 Page **21** of **101**



SCRAPES AND GOUGES ON UNDERSIDE OF BUS

2020-03 Page **22** of **101**

1. MAINTAINABILITY

1.1 ACCESSIBILITY OF COMPONENTS AND SUBSYSTEMS

1.1-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to check the accessibility of components and subsystems.

1.1-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

Accessibility of components and subsystems was checked, and where accessibility was restricted the subsystem was noted along with the reason for the restriction.

1.1-III. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

Accessibility, in general, was adequate. Components covered in Section 1.3 (repair and/or replacement of selected subsystems), along with all other components encountered during testing, were found to be generally accessible and no restrictions were noted. However, the engine and transmission had to be removed as one due to the impediment of the front subframe. The front seats and interior panel under the dash had to be removed to access the subframe bolts.

2020-03 Page **23** of **101**

ACCESSIBILITY DATA FORM

Page 1 of 2

Bus Number: 2020-03 Date: 10/07/2020

Commonant	Chaskad	Comments
Component	Checked	Comments
ENGINE:		
Oil Dipstick	✓	None noted.
Oil Filler Hole	✓	None noted.
Oil Drain Plug	✓	None noted.
Oil Filter	✓	None noted.
Fuel Filter	✓	None noted.
Air Filter	✓	None noted.
Belts	✓	None noted.
Coolant Level	✓	None noted.
Coolant Filler Hole	✓	None noted.
Coolant Drain	✓	None noted.
Spark / Glow Plugs	✓	None noted.
Alternator	✓	None noted.
Diagnostic Interface Connector	✓	None noted.
TRANSMISSION:		
Fluid Dipstick	✓	None noted.
Filler Hole	✓	None noted.
Drain Plug	✓	None noted.
SUSPENSION:		
Bushings	✓	None noted.
Shock Absorbers	✓	None noted.
Air Springs	✓	None noted.
Leveling Valves	✓	None noted.
Grease Fittings	✓	None noted.

2020-03 Page **24** of **101**

ACCESSIBILITY DATA FORM

Page 2 of 2

Bus Number: 2020-03 Date: 10/07/2020

Component	Checked	Comments
HVAC:		
A/C Compressor	✓	None noted.
Filters	✓	None noted.
Fans	✓	None noted.
ELECTRICAL SYSTEM:		
Fuses	✓	None noted.
Batteries	✓	None noted.
Voltage regulator	N/A	In the alternator
Voltage Converters	N/A	None noted.
Lighting	✓	None noted.
MISCELLANEOUS:		
Brakes	✓	None noted.
ADA Accessible Lifts/Ramps	✓	Fold out ramp
Instruments	✓	None noted.
Axles	✓	None noted.
Exhaust	✓	None noted.
Fuel System	✓	None noted.
OTHERS:		
		·

2020-03 Page **25** of **101**

1.2 SERVICING, PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE, AND REPAIR AND MAINTENANCE DURING TESTING

1.2-I. <u>TEST OBJECTIVE</u>

The objective of this test is to collect maintenance data about the servicing, preventive maintenance, and repair.

1.2.-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

The test was conducted by operating the bus and collecting the following data on work order forms and a driver log.

- 1. Scheduled Maintenance
 - a. Bus number
 - b. Date
 - c. Mileage
 - d. Results of scheduled inspections
 - e. Description of malfunction (if any)
 - f. Repair action and parts used (if any)
 - g. Man-hours required
- 2. Unscheduled Maintenance
 - a. Bus number
 - b. Date
 - c. Mileage
 - d. Description of malfunction
 - e. Place and time of malfunction (e.g., in service or undergoing inspection)
 - f. Repair action and parts used
 - g. Man-hours required

The bus was operated in accelerated durability service. While typical items are given below, the specific service schedule was that specified by the manufacturer.

- A. Service
 - 1. Fueling
 - 2. Consumable checks
 - 3. Interior cleaning
- B. Preventive Maintenance
 - 1. Brake adjustments
 - 2. Lubrication
 - 3. 3,000 mi (or manufacturer recommended) inspection

2020-03 Page **26** of **101**

- 4. Oil and filter change inspection
- 5. Major inspection
- 6. Tune-up

C. Periodic Repairs

- 1. Brake reline*
- 2. Transmission change
- 3. Engine change*
- 4. Windshield wiper motor change
- 5. Stoplight bulb change*
- 6. Towing operations
- 7. Hoisting operations

*These items are attended to if found necessary, while the others in the list are removed/replaced/tested for all buses undergoing a full test.

1.2-III. DISCUSSION

Servicing and preventive maintenance were performed at manufacturer-specified intervals. The following Scheduled Maintenance Form lists the mileage, items serviced, the service interval, and amount of time required to perform the maintenance.

The Unscheduled Maintenance List along with related photographs is included in Section 5.7, Structural Durability. This list supplies information related to failures that occurred during the durability portion of testing. The Unscheduled Maintenance List includes the date and mileage at which the malfunction was detected, a description of the malfunction and repair, and the time required to perform the repair.

2020-03 Page **27** of **101**

(Page 1 of 1)
SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE
BraunAbility / The Braun Corporation Bus# 2020-03

DATE	TEST MILES	SERVICE	ACTIVITY	DOWN	LABOR HOURS
07/16/20	1,164	P.M./Inspection	Steering linkage, tie rods, universals/u-joints all checked; all fluids checked. Inspected frame, body and suspension.	4.00	4.00
07/22/20	2,313	P.M./Inspection	Steering linkage, tie rods, universals/u-joints all checked; all fluids checked. Inspected frame, body and suspension.	4.00	4.00
07/28/20	3,168	P.M./Inspection	Steering linkage, tie rods, universals/u-joints all checked; all fluids checked. Inspected frame, body and suspension.	4.00	4.00
09/23/20	3,514	P.M./Inspection Fuel Economy	Steering linkage, tie rods, universals/u-joints all checked; all fluids checked. Inspected frame, body and suspension. Oil changed. Oil, fuel, and air filters changed. Transmission oil and filter changed.	8.00	8.00

2020-03 Page **28** of **101**

1.3 REPLACEMENT AND/OR REPAIR OF SELECTED SUBSYSTEMS

1.3-I. <u>TEST OBJECTIVE</u>

The objective of this test is to establish the time required to replace and/or repair selected subsystems.

1.3-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

The test involved components that may be expected to fail or require replacement during the service life of the bus. In addition, any component that failed during testing of the bus was added to this list. Components to be included are:

- 1. Transmission
- 2. Alternator
- 3. Starter
- 4. Batteries
- 5. Windshield wiper motor

1.3-III. DISCUSSION

At the end of the test, the items on the list were removed and replaced. The transmission assembly took 8.75 labor-hours (2 persons @ 4.38 hrs.) to remove and replace. The engine and transmission had to be removed as one due to the impediment of the front subframe. The front seats and interior panel under the dash had to be removed to access the subframe bolts. The time required for repair/replacement of the other four components is given on the following Repair and/or Replacement Form.

REPLACEMENT AND/OR REPAIR FORM

Subsystem	Replacement Time
Transmission	8.75 labor hours
Wiper Motor	0.50 labor hours
Starter	1.00 labor hours
Alternator	1.25 labor hours
Batteries	0.50 labor hours

During the test, additional components were removed for repair or replacement and the details are available in Section 5.7 in Unscheduled Maintenance.

2020-03 Page **29** of **101**

1.3 REPLACEMENT AND/OR REPAIR OF SELECTED SUBSYSTEMS



TRANSMISSION REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT (8.75 LABOR HOURS)



WIPER MOTOR REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT (0.50 LABOR HOURS)

2020-03 Page **30** of **101**

1.3 REPLACEMENT AND/OR REPAIR OF SELECTED SUBSYSTEMS CONT.



STARTER REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT (1.00 LABOR HOURS)



ALTERNATOR REMOVAL AND REPLACEMENT (1.25 LABOR HOURS)

2020-03 Page **31** of **101**

2. RELIABILITY - DOCUMENTATION OF BREAKDOWN AND REPAIR TIMES DURING TESTING

2-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to document unscheduled breakdowns, repairs, down time, and repair time that occur during testing.

2-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

Using the driver log and unscheduled work order forms, all significant breakdowns, repairs, labor-hours to repair, and hours out of service were recorded on the Reliability Data Form.

CLASS OF FAILURES

Classes of failures are described below:

- (a) Class 1: Physical Safety. A failure that could lead directly to Injury, a crash and/or significant physical damage.
- (b) <u>Class 2: Road Call</u>. A failure resulting in an en-route interruption of revenue service. Service is discontinued until the bus is replaced or repaired at the point of failure.
- (c) <u>Class 3:</u> <u>Bus Change</u>. A failure that requires removal of the bus from service during its assignments. The bus is operable to a rendezvous point with a replacement bus.
- (d) <u>Class 4: Bad Order</u>. A failure that does not require removal of the bus from service during its assignments but does degrade coach operation. The failure shall be reported by driver, inspector, or hostler.

2-III. DISCUSSION

A listing of breakdowns and unscheduled repairs was accumulated during the Structural Durability Test. The following Reliability Data Form lists all unscheduled repairs under classes as defined above.

The classification of repairs according to subsystem is intended to emphasize those systems which had persistent minor or more serious problems. There were no Class 1, Class 2, or Class 4 failures. There was only one Class 3 failure, which affected the electrical system. This failure is available for review in the Unscheduled Maintenance List, located in Section 5.7 Structural Durability.

This bus passed the Structural and Powertrain Durability sections of the test.

2020-03 Page **32** of **101**

RELIABILITY DATA FORMS

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 10/08/2020
Personnel: B.L.	

	Failure Type					
	Class 4 Bad Order	Class 3 Bus Change	Class 2 Road Call	Class 1 Physical Safety		
Subsystems	Mileage	Mileage	Mileage	Mileage	Labor Hours	Down Time
Electrical		742			0.25	0.25

2020-03 Page **33** of **101**

3.1 SAFETY - A DOUBLE-LANE CHANGE (OBSTACLE AVOIDANCE)

3.1-I. <u>TEST OBJECTIVE</u>

The objective of this test is to determine handling and stability of the bus by measuring speed through a double lane change test.

3.1-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

The Safety Test consisted of an obstacle avoidance maneuver to evaluate the handling and stability of the bus. The test was conducted at the LTI test track on the vehicle dynamics pad. The bus was driven through a double-lane change course at increasing speeds until the test was determined to be unsafe or a speed of 45 mph is reached. The test is determined unsafe if vehicle handling becomes unstable or if any of the tires lose contact with the pavement.

The layout of the test course was defined by placing pylons along painted guidelines that delineated the course. The guidelines marked off two 12-foot center-to-center lanes. Each lane had two 80 foot long gates with a spacing distance of 80 feet between them. The bus entered the test course in one lane, crossed over to the other lane within the 80 foot gate, traveled for 80 feet, and then returned back into the original lane within the next 80 foot gate. This maneuver was repeated from 20 mph with speed increasing in increments of 5 mph. The test was performed starting from both the right and left lanes.

A test run is considered valid if the bus is able to perform the maneuver at a constant speed without deviating from the test course or striking pylons. If the bus is not able to successfully complete the maneuver due to vehicle instability, the test will be terminated. The highest speed at which the maneuver can be successfully performed up to a maximum speed of 45 mph is recorded on the Safety Data Form.

3.1-III. DISCUSSION

The double-lane change was performed in both right-hand and left-hand directions. The bus was able to safely negotiate the test course in both the right-hand and left-hand directions up to the maximum test speed of 45 mph, and therefore, passed this portion of the test.

2020-03 Page **34** of **101**




Figure 3.1. Double lane change test course.

2020-03 Page **35** of **101**

SAFETY DATA FORM

Page 1 of 1

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/20/2020
Personnel: E.D., T.G. & F.T.	

Temperature (°F): 81	Humidity (%): 69
Wind Direction: West	Wind Speed (mph): 9
Barometric Pressure (inHg): 29.99	

SAFETY TEST: DOUBLE LANE CHANGE					
Maximum safe speed tested for double-lane change to left	45 mph				
Maximum safe speed tested for double-lane change to right	45 mph				
Comments of the position of the bus during the lane change:					
Bus maintained upright position during test.					
Comments of the tire/ground contact patch:					
Tire maintained contact with ground throughout test.					
, and the second					

2020-03 Page **36** of **101**

3.1 SAFETY



RIGHT - HAND APPROACH



LEFT - HAND APPROACH

2020-03 Page **37** of **101**

3.2 Safety - Braking

3.2 I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to provide, for comparison purposes, braking performance data on transit buses produced by different manufacturers.

3.2 II. <u>TEST DESCRIPTION</u>

The testing was conducted at the LTI Test Track skid pad area. Brake tests were conducted after completion of the GVW portion of the vehicle durability test. At this point in testing the brakes have been subjected to a large number of braking snubs and will be considered well burnished. For buses that have not completed Durability Testing, the brakes will be burnished according to the test procedure. Testing was performed when the bus was fully loaded at its GVW. All tires on each bus were representative of the tires on the production model vehicle and inflated to the bus manufacturer's specified pressures.

The brake testing procedure is comprised of three phases:

- 1. Stopping distance tests
 - i. Dry surface (high-friction, Skid Number within the range of 70-76)
 - ii. Wet surface (low-friction, Skid Number within the range of 30-36)
- 2. Stability tests
- 3. Parking brake test

3.2-III. DISCUSSION

The results of the Stopping Distance phase of the Brake Test are available in table 3.2-2. There was no deviation from the test lane during the performance of the Stopping Distance phase. The bus passed this portion of the test.

During the Stability phase of Brake Testing the test bus experienced no deviation from the test lane during both approaches to the Split Friction Road surface.

The Parking Brake phase was completed with the test bus maintaining the parked position for the full five-minute period with no slip or roll observed in both the uphill and downhill positions.

This bus passed all three phases of the Safety –Braking Test.

2020-03 Page **38** of **101**

Table 3.2-1. Braking Test Data Forms

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/27/2020
Personnel: S.R., T.G. & E.D.	
Amb. Temperature (°F): 85	Wind Speed (mph): 10
Wind Direction: W	Pavement Temp (°F) Start: 105 End:126

TIRE INFLATION PRESSURE	(psi):
-------------------------	--------

Tire Type: Front: Yokohama Avid S34 235 65 R17 Rear: Yokohama Avid S34 235 65 R17

<u> </u>				
	Left Tire(s)		Right Tire	e(s)
Front	36		36	
	Inner Outer		Inner	Outer
Middle	N/A N/A		N/A	N/A
Rear	N/A	36	N/A	36

AXLE LOADS (lb.)				
Left Right				
Front	1500	1390		
Middle	N/A	N/A		
Rear	1460	1480		

2020-03 Page 39 of 101

Table 3.2-2. Stopping Distance Test Results Form (longest stopping distance in each test condition in bold)

Stopping Distance (ft)					
Vehicle Direction	CW	CW	CCW	CCW	
Speed (mph)	Stop 1	Stop 2	Stop 3	Stop 4	Average
20 (dry)	20.59	21.48	18.40	19.87	20.09
30 (dry)	42.93	44.60	47.42	41.00	43.99
40 (dry)	62.71	66.48	67.83	71.34	67.09
45 (dry)	80.78	90.39	81.08	90.03	85.57
20 (wet)	20.72	20.23	21.12	23.45	21.38

Table 3.2-3. Stability Test Results Form

Stability Test Results (Split Friction Road surface)				
Vehicle Direction	Attempt Did test bus stay in 12' Attempt lane? (Yes/No) Comments			
Driver side on	1	Yes	None noted.	
high friction	2	Yes	None noted.	
Driver side on	1	Yes	None noted.	
low friction 2 Yes		None noted.		

2020-03 Page **40** of **101**

Table 3.2-4. Parking Brake Test Form

PARKING BRAKE (GVW) – GRADE HOLDING						
Vehicle Direction	Attempt	Hold Time (min)	Slide (in)	Roll (in)	Did Hold	No Hold
	1	5:00	0	0	✓	
Front up	2	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	3	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	1	5:00	0	0	✓	
Front down	2	N/A	N/A	N/A		
401111	3	N/A	N/A	N/A		

Table 3.2-5. Record of All Braking System Faults/Repairs.

Date	Fault/Repair	Description
07/27/2020	None noted	None noted

2020-03 Page **41** of **101**

3.2 Safety - Bus Braking



PARKING BRAKE TEST
PARKING BRAKE HELD FOR 5 MINUTES IN
BOTH 20% UP AND 20% DOWN POSITIONS



2020-03 Page **42** of **101**

4. PERFORMANCE - AN ACCELERATION, GRADEABILITY, AND TOP SPEED TEST

4-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to determine the acceleration, gradeability, and top speed capabilities of the bus.

4-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

In this test, the bus was operated at SLW on a chassis dynamometer. The procedure dictates that the test bus be accelerated to a maximum "power-limited"/"governed" or maximum "safe" speed not exceeding 80 mph. The maximum power-limited/governed speed, if applicable, is the top speed as limited by the engine control system. The maximum safe speed is defined as the maximum speed that the dynamometer, the tires or other bus components are limited to. The test vehicle speed was measured using a speed encoder built in the chassis dynamometer. The time intervals between 10 mph increments were recorded using a Data Acquisitions System. Time-speed data and the top speed attained were recorded on the Performance Data Form. The recorded data was used to generate a percent grade versus speed table and a speed versus time curve. All the above are available in the following pages.

4-III. DISCUSSION

This test consisted of three runs from standstill to full throttle on the chassis dynamometer. Speed versus time data was obtained for each run and results are averaged to minimize test variability. The test was performed up to a maximum safe speed of 81.4 mph. The calculated gradeability results are attached. The average time to reach 30 mph was 3.2 seconds. The maximum gradeability at 10 mph was 41.8% and at 40 mph was 22.8%. This bus passed this section of the test.

2020-03 Page **43** of **101**

PERFORMANCE DATA FORM

Page 1 of 1

	Page 1 of 1				
Bus Number: 2020-03		Date: 09/28/2020			
Personnel: M.R. & S.	l.				
Temperature (°F): 80		Humidity (%): 56.6			
Barometric Pressure (inHg): 28.6				
			INITIALS:		
Air Conditioning - OFF	=	<u>✓</u> Checked	M.R.		
Heater pump motor - (OFF	<u>✓</u> Checked	M.R.		
Defroster - OFF		✓ Checked	M.R.		
Exterior and interior lig	ghts - ON	✓ Checked	M.R.		
Windows and doors - CLOSED		✓ Checked	M.R.		
	ACCELERATION, GRA	ADEABILITY, TOP SPE	ED		
	Recorded	Interval Times	_		
Speed	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3		
10 mph	2.1	1.9	1.8		
20 mph	3.2	3.1	3.1		
30 mph	4.5	4.4	4.5		
40 mph	6.1	6.1	6.2		
50 mph	8.2	8.2	8.4		
60 mph	10.7	10.8	11.0		
70 mph	14.3	14.4	14.6		

Maximum Speed (mph): 81.4(maximum safe dynamometer speed reached)

2020-03 Page **44** of **101**

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY SHEET

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 09/28/2020
Personnel: M.R. & S.I.	


Test Conditions:

Temperature (°F): 80	Humidity (%): 56.6
Barometric Pressure (inHg): 28.6	

Test Results:

Vehicle Speed (MPH)	Time (SEC)	Acceleration (FT/SEC^2)	Max. Grade (%)
1.0	0.9	7.64	23.7
5.0	1.5	12.61	39.2
10.0	1.9	13.46	41.8
15.0	2.5	12.42	38.6
20.0	3.1	11.65	36.2
25.0	3.8	11.24	34.9
30.0	3.2	11.55	35.9
35.0	3.6	11.25	34.9
40.0	6.1	7.35	22.8
45.0	7.2	7.00	21.7
50.0	8.2	6.81	21.1
55.0	9.3	5.97	18.5
60.0	10.8	4.36	13.5
65.0	12.6	4.07	12.6
70.0	14.4	3.77	11.7
75.0	16.5	3.52	10.9
80.0	18.6	2.84	8.8
81.4	19.7	Maximu	ım Speed

2020-03 Page **45** of **101**



2020-03 Page **46** of **101**

5.2 STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS - STRUCTURAL DISTORTION

5.2-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to observe the operation of the bus subsystems when the bus is placed in a longitudinal twist simulating operation over a curb or through a pothole.

5.2-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

With the bus loaded to GVW, each wheel of the bus was raised (one at a time) to simulate operation over a curb and the following were inspected:

- 1. Body
- 2. Windows
- 3. Doors
- 4. Roof vents
- 5. Special seating
- 6. Undercarriage
- 7. Engine
- 8. Service doors
- 9. Escape hatches
- 10. Steering mechanism

Each wheel was then lowered (one at a time) to simulate operation through a pothole and the same items inspected.

5.2-III. DISCUSSION

The test sequence was repeated ten times. The first and last test is with all wheels level. The other eight tests are with each wheel 6 inches higher and 6 inches lower than the other three wheels

All doors, windows, escape mechanisms, engine, steering and ADA accessible devices operated normally throughout the test. The undercarriage and body indicated no deficiencies. No water leakage was observed during the test. The results of this test are indicated on the following data forms. This bus passed this section of the test.

2020-03 Page **47** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 1 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., T.G. & E.L.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)		
All wheels level	■ before	□ after
Left front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right rear	☐ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Left rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower
Right center	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower
Left center	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower

-	
	Comments
Windows	No deficiencies.
Front Doors	No deficiencies.
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.
Engine	No deficiencies.
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.
Service Doors	No deficiencies.
Body	No deficiencies.
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.

2020-03 Page **48** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 2 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)		
All wheels level	□ before	□ after
Left front	■ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower
Right front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower
Left rear	☐ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right center	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower
Left center	☐ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower

	Comments
Windows	No deficiencies.
Front Doors	No deficiencies.
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.
Engine	No deficiencies.
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.
Service Doors	No deficiencies.
Body	No deficiencies.
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.

2020-03 Page **49** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 3 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R. F.I. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)		
All wheels level	□ before	□ after
Left front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right front	■ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right rear	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Left rear	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right center	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Left center	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower

	Comments	
Windows	No deficiencies.	
Front Doors	No deficiencies.	
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.	
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.	
Engine	No deficiencies.	
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.	
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.	
Service Doors	No deficiencies.	
Body	No deficiencies.	
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.	
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.	

2020-03 Page **50** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 4 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)		
All wheels level	□ before	□ after
Left front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Right rear	■ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower
Left rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower
Right center	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower
Left center	☐ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower

	Comments
Windows	No deficiencies.
Front Doors	No deficiencies.
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.
Engine	No deficiencies.
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.
Service Doors	No deficiencies.
Body	No deficiencies.
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.

2020-03 Page **51** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 5 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)			
All wheels level	□ before	□ after	
Left front	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right front	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left rear	■ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right center	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left center	☐ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	

	Comments
Windows	No deficiencies.
Front Doors	No deficiencies.
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.
Engine	No deficiencies.
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.
Service Doors	No deficiencies.
Body	No deficiencies.
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.

2020-03 Page **52** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 6 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)			
All wheels level	□ before	□ after	
Left front	☐ 6 in higher	■ 6 in lower	
Right front	□ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right rear	□ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right center	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left center	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	

	Comments
Windows	No deficiencies.
Front Doors	No deficiencies.
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.
Engine	No deficiencies.
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.
Service Doors	No deficiencies.
Body	No deficiencies.
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.

2020-03 Page **53** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 7 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Mhaal Dasitian, (aback and)			
Wheel Position: (check one)			
All wheels level	□ before	□ after	
Left front	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right front	☐ 6 in higher	■ 6 in lower	
Right rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right center	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left center	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	

	Comments	
Windows	No deficiencies.	
Front Doors	No deficiencies.	
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.	
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.	
Engine	No deficiencies.	
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.	
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.	
Service Doors	No deficiencies.	
Body	No deficiencies.	
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.	
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.	

2020-03 Page **54** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)

Page 8 of 10	

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)			
All wheels level	□ before	□ after	
Left front	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right front	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right rear	☐ 6 in higher	■ 6 in lower	
Left rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Right center	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left center	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	

	Comments		
Windows	No deficiencies.		
Front Doors	No deficiencies.		
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.		
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.		
Engine	No deficiencies.		
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.		
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.		
Service Doors	No deficiencies.		
Body	No deficiencies.		
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.		
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.		

2020-03 Page **55** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 9 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)			
All wheels level	□ before	□ after	
Left front	☐ 6 in higher ☐ 6 in lower		
Right front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	
Right rear	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	
Left rear	□ 6 in higher	■ 6 in lower	
Right center	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	
Left center	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	

	Comments		
Windows	No deficiencies.		
Front Doors	No deficiencies.		
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.		
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.		
Engine	No deficiencies.		
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.		
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.		
Service Doors	No deficiencies.		
Body	No deficiencies.		
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.		
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.		

2020-03 Page **56** of **101**

(Note: Ten copies of this data sheet are required)
Page 10 of 10

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/07/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & T.G.	Temperature(°F): 80

Wheel Position: (check one)			
All wheels level	□ before	■ after	
Left front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	
Right front	□ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	
Right rear	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left rear	☐ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	
Right center	☐ 6 in higher	☐ 6 in lower	
Left center	☐ 6 in higher	□ 6 in lower	

	Comments		
Windows	No deficiencies.		
Front Doors	No deficiencies.		
Rear Doors	No deficiencies.		
Escape Mechanisms/ Roof Vents	No deficiencies.		
Engine	No deficiencies.		
ADA Accessible/ Special Seating	No deficiencies.		
Undercarriage	No deficiencies.		
Service Doors	No deficiencies.		
Body	No deficiencies.		
Windows/ Body Leakage	No deficiencies.		
Steering Mechanism	No deficiencies.		

2020-03 Page **57** of **101**

5.2 STRUCTURAL DISTORTION TEST



LEFT FRONT WHEEL SIX INCHES HIGHER



RIGHT FRONT WHEEL SIX INCHES LOWER

2020-03 Page **58** of **101**

5.3 STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS - STATIC TOWING TEST

5.3-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to determine the characteristics of the bus towing mechanisms under static loading conditions.

5.3-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

Utilizing a load-distributing yoke, a hydraulic cylinder was used to apply a static tension load equal to 1.2 times the bus curb weight. The load was applied to both the front and rear, if applicable, towing fixtures at an angle of 20 degrees with the longitudinal axis of the bus, first to one side then the other in the horizontal plane, and then upward and downward in the vertical plane. Any permanent deformation or damage to the tow eyes or adjoining structure was recorded.

5.3-III. DISCUSSION

The test bus submitted for testing was not equipped with any type of tow eyes or tow hooks. Therefore, the static towing test was not performed. This bus is deemed to pass this section of the test, but no points were allotted for this section.

2020-03 Page **59** of **101**

5.4 STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS - DYNAMIC TOWING TEST

5.4-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to verify the integrity of the towing fixtures and determine the feasibility of towing the bus under manufacturer specified procedures.

5.4-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

This test required the bus to be towed at curb weight using the specified equipment and instructions provided by the manufacturer and a heavy-duty wrecker. The bus was towed for 5 miles at a speed of 20 mph for each recommended towing configuration. After releasing the bus from the wrecker, the bus was visually inspected for any structural damage or permanent deformation. All doors, windows and passenger escape mechanisms were inspected for proper operation.

5.4-III. DISCUSSION

The bus was towed using a heavy-duty wrecker. The towing interface was accomplished by incorporating a hydraulic under-lift. A front lift tow was performed. No problems, deformation, or damage was noted during testing. This bus passed this section of the test.

2020-03 Page **60** of **101**

DYNAMIC TOWING TEST DATA FORM

Page 1 of 1


Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 08/13/2020			
Personnel: S.R. & E.D.				
Temperature (°F): 82				
Wind Direction: South	Wind Speed (mph): 2			
Inspect tow equipment-bus interfa	ce.			
Comments: An adequate connection				
Inspect tow equipment-wrecker in	terface.			
Comments: An adequate connection between tow equipment and wrecker was				
noted.				
Towing Comments: A full tow was accomplished.				
Description and location of any structural damage: None noted.				
General Comments: None noted.				

2020-03 Page **61** of **101**

5.4 DYNAMIC TOWING TEST



TOWING INTERFACE



TEST BUS IN TOW

2020-03 Page **62** of **101**

5.5 STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS – JACKING TEST

5.5-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to inspect for damage due to the deflated tire and determine the feasibility of jacking the bus with a portable hydraulic jack to a height sufficient to replace a deflated tire.

5.5-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

With the bus at curb weight, the tire(s) at one corner of the bus were replaced with deflated tire(s) of the appropriate type. A portable hydraulic floor jack was then positioned in a manner and location specified by the manufacturer and used to raise the bus to a height sufficient to provide 3-in clearance between the floor and an inflated tire. The deflated tire(s) were replaced with the original tire(s) and the jack was lowered. Any structural damage or permanent deformation was recorded on the test data sheet. This procedure was repeated for each corner of the bus.

5.5-III. DISCUSSION

During the deflated portion of the test, the jacking point clearances ranged from 4.9 inches to 7.3 inches. No deformation or damage was observed during testing. A complete listing of jacking point clearances is provided in the Jacking Test Data Form. This bus passed this section of the test.

JACKING CLEARANCE SUMMARY

Condition	Frame Point Clearance
Front axle – one tire flat	5.1
Rear axle – one tire flat	6.6
Rear axle – two tires flat	N/A

2020-03 Page **63** of **101**

JACKING TEST DATA FORM

Page 1 of 1

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 03/20/20
Personnel: S.R. & E.D.	Temperature (°F): 69

Record any permanent deformation or damage to bus as well as any difficulty encountered during jacking procedure.

I= Inflated D= Deflated

I- IIIIlated D- Dellated			
Deflated Tire	Jacking Pad Clearance Body/Frame (in)	Jacking Pad Clearance Axle/Suspension (in)	Comments
Right front	7.4" I 5.4" D	8.1" I 6.5" D	Body & Suspension
Left front	7.3" I 5.1" D	8.1" I 6.2" D	Body & Suspension
Right rear	8.5" I 6.6" D	6.5" I 4.9" D	Body & Suspension
Left rear	9.0" I 7.3" D	6.7" I 5.2" D	Body & Suspension
Left rear—outside	N/A	N/A	N/A
Left rear—both	N/A	N/A	N/A
Right middle or tag—outside	N/A	N/A	N/A
Right middle or tag—both	N/A	N/A	N/A
Left middle or tag— outside	N/A	N/A	N/A
Left middle or tag— both	N/A	N/A	N/A
Additional comments of any deformation or difficulty during jacking:			
None noted.			

2020-03 Page **64** of **101**

5.5 JACKING TEST



FRONT JACK IN PLACE



REAR JACK IN PLACE

2020-03 Page **65** of **101**

5.6 STRUCTURAL STRENGTH AND DISTORTION TESTS - HOISTING TEST

5.6-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to determine possible damage or deformation caused by the jack/stands.

5.6-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

With the bus at curb weight, the front end of the bus was raised to a height sufficient to allow manufacturer-specified placement of jack stands under the axles or jacking pads independent of the hoist system. The bus was checked for stability on the jack stands and for any damage to the jacking pads or bulkheads. The procedure was repeated for the tag/middle axles (if equipped), and rear end of the bus. The procedure was then repeated for the front, tag/middle (if equipped) axles, and rear simultaneously.

5.6-III. DISCUSSION

The test was conducted using four posts of a six-post electric lift and 19-inch jack stands. The bus was hoisted from the front wheels, then from the rear wheels, and then from the front and rear wheels simultaneously and placed on jack stands.

The bus accommodated the placement of the vehicle lifts and jack stands and the procedure was performed without any instability noted. This bus passed this section of the test.

2020-03 Page **66** of **101**

HOISTING TEST DATA FORM


Page 1 of 1

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 03/20/20
Personnel: E.D. & E.L.	Temperature (°F): 69

Comments of any structural damage to the jacking pads or axles while both the front wheels are supported by the jack stands:
None noted.
Comments of any structural damage to the jacking pads or axles while both the rear wheels are supported by the jack stands:
None noted.
Comments of any structural damage to the jacking pads or axles while both the tag axle wheels are supported by the jack stands:
N/A
Comments of any structural damage to the jacking pads or axles while the front, tag axle and rear wheels are supported by the jack stands:
None noted.
Comments of any problems or interference placing wheel hoists under wheels:
None noted.

2020-03 Page **67** of **101**

5.6 HOISTING TEST



FRONT JACK STANDS IN PLACE



FRONT AND REAR JACK STANDS IN PLACE

2020-03 Page **68** of **101**

5.7 STRUCTURAL DURABILITY TEST

5.7-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to perform an accelerated durability test that approximates 25 percent of the service life of the vehicle.

5.7-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

The test vehicle was driven a total of 3,800 miles; approximately 2,500 miles on the LTI Durability Test Track and approximately 1,300 miscellaneous other miles. The test was conducted with the bus operated under three different loading conditions. The first segment consisted of approximately 1,700 miles with the bus operated at GVW. The second segment consisted of approximately 600 miles with the bus operated at SLW. The remainder of the test, approximately 1,500 miles, was conducted with the bus loaded to CW. The loads on both axles and GVW were within their ratings with the bus loaded as specified by the manufacturer. All subsystems were running during these tests in their normal operating modes. All manufacturer-recommended servicing was followed and noted on the vehicle maintainability log. Servicing items accelerated by the durability tests were compressed by 10:1; all others were done on a 1:1 mi/mi basis. Unscheduled breakdowns and repairs were recorded on the same log as are any unusual occurrences as noted by the driver. Once a week the test vehicle was washed down and thoroughly inspected for any signs of failure.

5.7-III. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

The Structural Durability Test was started on July 13, 2020 and was conducted until October 2, 2020. The first 1,700 miles were performed at a GVW of 5,830 lb. and completed on July 20, 2020. The next 600-mile SLW segment was performed at 5,830 lb. and completed on July 22, 2020 and the final 1,500-mile segment was performed at a CW of 4,460 lb. and completed on October 2, 2020.

The following mileage summary presents the accumulation of miles during the Structural Durability Test. The driving schedule is included, showing the operating duty cycle. A detailed plan view of the LTI Test Track Facility and Durability Test Track are attached for reference. Also, a durability element profile detail shows all the measurements of the different conditions. Finally, photographs illustrating some of the failures that were encountered during the Structural Durability Test are included. This bus passed this section of the test, as there were no uncorrected Class 1 or Class 2 failures, and the unscheduled maintenance of 0.25 hours was less than 125 hours.

2020-03 Page **69** of **101**

BraunAbility / The Braun Corporation Bus # 2020-03 MILEAGE DRIVEN/RECORDED FROM DRIVER'S LOGS

DATE	TOTAL DURABILITY TRACK	TOTAL OTHER MILES	TOTAL
07/13/20 TO	1000.00	440.00	1440.00
07/19/20			
07/20/20 TO	1025.00	394.00	1419.00
07/26/20			
07/27/20 TO	475.00	179.00	654.00
08/02/20			
08/03/20 TO	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/09/20			
08/10/20 TO	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/16/20			
08/17/20 TO	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/23/20			
08/24/20 TO	0.00	0.00	0.00
08/30/20			
08/31/20 TO	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/06/20			
09/07/20 TO	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/13/20			
09/14/20 TO	0.00	0.00	0.00
9/20/2020			
09/21/20 TO	0.00	0.00	0.00
09/27/20			
09/28/20 TO	0.00	309.00	309.00
10/4/2020			
Total	2500.00	1322.00	3822.00

2020-03 Page **70** of **101**

Driving Schedule for Bus Operation on the Durability Test Track.

STANDARD OPERATING SCHEDULE

daw	- 000	h.	through	webn	More
	Ηп	n.	throug	ndav	MOR


	HOUR	ACTION
Shift 1	midnight	D
	1:40 am	C
	1:50 am	В
	2:00 am	D
	3:35 am	C
	3:45 am	В
	4:05 am	D
	5:40 am	C
	5:50 am	В
	6:00 am	D
	7:40 am	C
	7:50 am	F
Shift 2	8:00 am	D
	9:40 am	C
	9:50 am	В
	10:00 am	D
	11:35 am	C
	11:45 am	В
	12:05 pm	D
	1:40 pm	C
	1:50 pm	В
	2:00 pm	D
	3:40 pm	C
	3:50 pm	F
Shift 3	4:00 pm	D
	5:40 pm	C
	5:50 pm	В
	6:00 pm	D
	7:40 pm	С
	7:50 pm	В
	8:05 pm	D
	9:40 pm	C
	9:50 pm	В
	10:00 pm	D
	11:40 pm	C
	11:50 pm	F

B-Break


Page 71 of 101 2020-03

C---Cycle all systems five times, visual inspection, driver's log entries D---Drive bus as specified by procedure

F----Fuel bus, complete driver's log shift entries




2020-03 Page **72** of **101**



Plan View

Vehicle Durability Test Track
Track 1 (Track 2 has similar layout)
The Larson Transportation Institute
Penn State

2020-03 Page **73** of **101**



Durability Element Profiles

The Pennsylvania Transportation Institute Penn State


2020-03 Page **74** of **101**

Unscheduled Maintenance BraunAbility / The Braun Corporation Bus# 2020-03 (Page 1 of 1)

Class	က							
Ö								
Sub- system	Electrical							
Labor Hours	0.25							
Action	Vehicle was taken to local dealership where it was found that there was a blown 30 amp fuse. The fuse was replaced.							
Issue	"Stop/Start" light and "Inactive Stability Control" lights are on.							
Test	742 To 3,459							
Date	07/15/20 To 07/31/20							

2020-03 Page **75** of **101**

UNSCHEDULED MAINT.



"STOP/START" LIGHT AND "INACTIVE STABILITY CONTROL" LIGHTS ON (742 - 3,459 TEST MILES)

2020-03 Page **76** of **101**

6. FUEL ECONOMY TEST - A FUEL CONSUMPTION TEST USING AN APPROPRIATE OPERATING CYCLE

6-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of this test is to provide accurate comparable fuel consumption data on transit buses produced by different manufacturers. This fuel economy test bears no relation to the calculations done by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) to determine levels for the Corporate Average Fuel Economy Program. EPA's calculations are based on tests conducted under laboratory conditions intended to simulate city and highway driving. This fuel economy test, as designated here, is a measurement of the fuel expended by a vehicle traveling a specified test operating profile, under specified operating conditions that are typical of transit bus operation. The results of this test may not represent actual mileage in transit service but will provide data that can be used by FTA Grantees to compare the efficiency of buses tested using this procedure.

6-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

This test was performed in the emissions bay of the LTI Vehicle Testing Laboratory. The Laboratory is equipped with a Schenk Pegasus 300 HP, large-roll (72-inch diameter) chassis dynamometer suitable for heavy-vehicle emissions testing. The driving cycles are the Manhattan cycle, a low average speed, highly transient urban cycle (Figure 1), the Orange County Bus Cycle, a medium average speed transient urban cycle (Figure 2), and the EPA HD-UDDS Cycle, which consists of urban and highway driving segments (Figure 3). A fuel economy test was comprised of two runs for the three different driving cycles, and the average value was reported.

The test procedure for liquid-fueled buses such as this one uses a calibrated flowmeter system and/or a calibrated fuel weighing scale. The flowmeter system utilizes a precise four-piston positive displacement flow meter. The weighing scale system includes heat exchangers to maintain temperature in diesel and common-rail injection systems.

2020-03 Page **77** of **101**




Figure 1. Manhattan Driving Cycle (duration 1089 sec, Maximum speed 25.4 mph, average speed 6.8 mph)




Figure 2. Orange County Bus Cycle (Duration 1909 Sec, Maximum Speed 41 mph, Average Speed 12 mph).

2020-03 Page **78** of **101**




Figure 3. HD-UDDS Cycle (duration 1060 seconds, Maximum Speed 58 mph, Average Speed 18.86 mph).

6-III. DISCUSSION

The driving cycle consists of three simulated transit driving cycles: Manhattan, Orange County Bus Cycle and the HD-UDDS, as described in 6-II. The fuel consumption for each driving cycle and idle was measured.

An extensive pretest maintenance check was made including the replacement of all lubrication fluids. The details of the pretest maintenance are given in the first three Pretest Maintenance Forms. The fourth sheet shows the Pretest Inspection Form. Finally, the summary sheet provides the average fuel consumption for the three test cycles and for a 20-minute idle. The average fuel consumption for the Manhattan, OCBC and the HD-UDDS were 10.2 mpg, 13.0 mpg and 15.0 mpg, respectively. For idle, the fuel consumption was 0.35 gal/hr.

2020-03 Page **79** of **101**

FUEL ECONOMY PRE-TEST MAINTENANCE FORM

Page 1 of 3

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/31/2020	SLW (lb.): 5,830
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & P.D.		

OK
✓
✓
✓
✓
OK
✓
✓
✓
✓
OK
✓
✓

2020-03 Page **80** of **101**

FUEL ECONOMY PRE-TEST MAINTENANCE FORM

Page 2 of 3

Date: 07/31/2020
Date: 07/31/2020
OK
✓
✓
✓
✓
OK
N/A
N/A
✓
N/A
✓
OK
✓
✓
✓
✓
✓
N/A
N/A
N/A

2020-03 Page **81** of **101**

FUEL ECONOMY PRE-TEST MAINTENANCE FORM

Page 3 of 3

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/31/2020
Personnel: S.R., E.L. & P.D.	
EXHAUST/EMISSION SYSTEM	OK
Check for exhaust leaks	✓
Remarks: None noted.	
ENGINE	OK
Replace air filter	N/A
Inspect air compressor and air system	N/A
Inspect vacuum system, if applicable	N/A
Check and adjust all drive belts	✓
Check cold start assist, if applicable	N/A
Remarks: None noted.	
STEERING SYSTEM	OK
Check power steering hoses and connectors	✓
Service fluid level	N/A
Check power steering operation	✓
Remarks: Fluid level ok.	
	OK
Ballast bus to seated load weight	✓
TEST DRIVE	ОК
Check brake operation	✓
Check transmission operation	✓
Remarks: None noted.	

2020-03 Page **82** of **101**

FUEL ECONOMY PRE-TEST INSPECTION FORM

Page 1 of 1

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 09/28/2020	
Personnel: S.I. & M.R.		
PRE-WARM-UP		If OK, Initial
Fuel Economy Pre-Test Maintenance Form is	s complete	T.S.
Cold tire pressure (psi): Front 36 Middle N/A	Rear <u>36</u>	T.S.
Engine oil level		T.S.
Engine coolant level		T.S.
Fuel economy instrumentation installed and v	working properly.	T.S.
Fuel line no leaks or kinks		T.S.
Bus is loaded to SLW during coast down	T.S.	
WARM-UP		If OK, Initial
Air conditioning off		M.R.
Interior and exterior lights on, evaporator fan	on	M.R.
Defroster off	M.R.	
Windows and doors closed	M.R.	
Do not drive with left foot on brake		M.R.

2020-03 Page **83** of **101**

FUEL ECONOMY DATA FORM (Gaseous and Liquid fuels) Page 1 of 1

Bus Number: 2020-03	Manufacturer: BraunAbility	Date: 09/28/2020
Fuel Type: Gasoline	Personnel: S.I. & M.R.	
Temperature (°F): 93	Humidity (%): 56	Barometric Pressure (inHg): 28.5
SLW (lb.): 5,830		

Cycle	Manhattan	Orange County	HD- UDDS	ldle
Fuel Consumption mpg	10.2	13.0	15.0	0.35 G/hr

Comments: None noted.				

2020-03 Page **84** of **101**

7. NOISE

7.1 INTERIOR NOISE AND VIBRATION TESTS

7.1-I. TEST OBJECTIVE

The objective of these tests is to measure and record interior noise levels and check for audible vibration under various operating conditions.

7.1-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

During this series of tests, the interior noise level was measured at several locations with the bus operating under the following three conditions:

- With the bus stationary, a white noise generating system provided a uniform sound pressure level equal to 80 dB(A) on the left, exterior side of the bus. The engine and all accessories were switched off and all openings including doors and windows were closed. This test was performed at the LTI Test Track Facility.
- 2. The bus was accelerated at full throttle from a standing start to 35 mph on a level pavement. All openings were closed and all accessories were operating during the test. This test was performed on the track at the LTI Test Track Facility.
- 3. The bus was operated at various speeds from 0 to 55 mph with and without the air conditioning and accessories on. Any audible vibration or rattles were noted. This test was performed on the test segment between the LTI Test Track and the Bus Testing Center.

All tests were performed in an area free from extraneous sound-making sources or reflecting surfaces. The ambient sound level as well as the surrounding weather conditions were recorded in the test data

7.1-III. DISCUSSION

For the first part, the overall average of the six measurements was 43.0 dB(A); ranging from 41.4 dB(A) at the driver's seat to 44.4 dB(A) at the rear passenger seats. The interior ambient noise level for this test was less than 30 dB(A).

For the second part, the interior noise level ranged from 76.9 dB(A) at the middle passenger seats to 79.8 dB(A) at the driver's seat and front passenger seats. The overall average was 78.6 dB(A). The interior ambient noise level for this test was less than 30 dB(A).

No vibrations or rattles were noted during the third part of this test. This bus passed this section of the test.

2020-03 Page **85** of **101**

INTERIOR NOISE TEST DATA FORM Test Condition 1: 80 dB(A) Stationary White Noise Page 1 of 3

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/28/2020			
Personnel: E.D., S.R., T.S. & T.G.				
Temperature (°F): 73	Humidity (%): 83			
Wind Speed (mph): 5	Wind Direction: W			
Barometric Pressure (inHg): 29.93				
Interior Ambient Noise Level dB(A): Less than 30	Exterior Ambient Noise Level dB(A): 38.9			
Microphone Height During Testing (in): 53				

Reading Location	Measured Sound Level dB(A)		
Driver's Seat	41.4		
Front Passenger Seats	41.7		
In Line with Front Speaker	42.1		
In Line with Middle Speaker	44.2		
In Line with Rear Speaker	44.3		
Rear Passenger Seats	44.4		

Comments: None noted.			

2020-03 Page **86** of **101**

INTERIOR NOISE TEST DATA FORM Test Condition 2: 0 to 35 mph Acceleration Test Page 2 of 3

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/28/2020
Personnel: E.D. & S.R.	
Temperature (°F): 78	Humidity (%): 65
Wind Speed (mph): 3	Wind Direction: NW
Barometric Pressure (inHg): 29.94	
Interior Ambient Noise Level dB(A): Less than 30	Exterior Ambient Noise Level dB(A): 38.8
Microphone Height During Testing (in): 53	

Reading Location	Measured Sound Level dB(A)	
Driver's Seat	79.8	
Front Passenger Seats	79.8	
Middle Passenger Seats	76.9	
Rear Passenger Seats	77.9	

Comments: None noted.			

2020-03 Page **87** of **101**

INTERIOR NOISE TEST DATA FORM Test Condition 3: Audible Vibration Test

Page 3 of 3

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/20/2020		
Personnel: E.D., T.G., F.T.			
Temperature (°F): 85			

Describe the following possible sources of noise and give the relative location on the bus.

Source of Noise	Location	Description of Noise
Engine and Accessories	N/A	None noted.
Windows and Doors	N/A	None noted.
Seats and Wheelchair lifts	N/A	None noted.
Other	N/A	None noted.

Comment on any other vibration or noise source which may have occurred		
that is not described above: None noted.		
Comments: None noted.		

2020-03 Page **88** of **101**

7.1 INTERIOR NOISE TEST



TEST BUS SET-UP FOR 80 dB(A)
INTERIOR NOISE TEST

2020-03 Page **89** of **101**

7.2 EXTERIOR NOISE TESTS

7.2-I. <u>TEST OBJECTIVE</u>

The objective of this test is to record exterior noise levels when a bus is operated under various conditions.

7.2-II. TEST DESCRIPTION

In the exterior noise tests, the bus was operated at a SLW in three different conditions using a smooth, straight and level roadway:

- 1. Accelerating at full throttle from a constant speed starting from 35 mph.
- 2. Accelerating at full throttle from standstill.
- Stationary, with the engine at low idle, high idle, and wide-open throttle, where applicable. In addition, the bus was tested with and without the air conditioning operating.

The test site is at the Larson Transportation Institute Test Track and the test procedures were performed in accordance with SAE Standards SAE J366b, Exterior Sound Level for Heavy Trucks and Buses. The test site is an open space free of large reflecting surfaces. A noise meter placed at a specified location outside the bus was used to measure the noise level.

During the test, special attention was paid to:

- 1. The test site characteristics regarding parked vehicles, signboards, buildings, or other sound-reflecting surfaces
- 2. Proper usage of all test equipment including set-up and calibration
- 3. The ambient sound level

7.2-III. <u>DISCUSSION</u>

The Exterior Noise Test determines the noise level generated by the vehicle under different driving conditions and at stationary low and high idle, with and without air conditioning and accessories operating. The test site is a large, level, bituminous paved area with no reflecting surfaces nearby.

With an outside ambient noise level of 43.9 dB(A), the average of the two highest readings obtained while accelerating from a constant speed was 67.3 dB(A) on the right side and 67.2 dB(A) on the left side.

2020-03 Page **90** of **101**

When accelerating from a standstill with an exterior ambient noise level of 43.9 dB(A), the average of the two highest readings obtained were 76.7 dB(A) on the right side and 76.9 dB(A) on the left side.

With the vehicle stationary and the engine, accessories, and air conditioning on, the measurements averaged 47.1 dB(A) at low idle and 61.2 dB(A) at wide open throttle. With the accessories and air conditioning off, the readings averaged 44.8 dB(A) at low idle and 61.4 dB(A) at wide open throttle. The exterior ambient noise level measured during this test was 36.6 dB(A). This bus passed this section of the test.

2020-03 Page **91** of **101**

EXTERIOR NOISE TEST DATA FORM Accelerating from Constant Speed Page 1 of 3

Page 1 of 3				
Bus Number: 2020-03 Date: 07/20/20				
Personnel: E.D., T.G. & F.T.				
Temperature (°F):	84	Humidity (%): 51		
Wind Speed (mph): 9	Wind Direction: \	West	
Barometric Pressu	ure (inHg): 29.99			
	hone height is 4 feet, wir tween 30°F and 90°F: ■	•	an 12 mph and ambient	
Initial Sound Leve	l Meter Calibration: 93.4	dB(A)		
Exterior Ambient I	Noise Level: 43.9 dB(A	A)		
Accelerating from Constant Speed Curb (Right) Side			Accelerating from Constant Speed Street (Left) Side	
Run #	Measured Noise Level dB(A)	Run # Measured Noise L dB(A)		
1	66.4	1	67.2	
2	66.9	2	66.2	
3	67.1	3 66.0		
4	67.5	4 67.2		
5	67.1	5 66.5		
6	N/A	6	N/A	
7	N/A	7	N/A	
8	N/A	8 N/A		
9	N/A	9	N/A	
10 N/A 10 N/A		N/A		
Average of two highest actual noise levels = 67.3 dB(A) Average of two highest actual noise levels = 67.2 dB(A)				
Final Sound Level Meter Calibration Check: 93.4 dB(A)				
Comments: None noted.				

2020-03 Page **92** of **101**

EXTERIOR NOISE TEST DATA FORM Accelerating from Standstill Page 2 of 3

Bus Number: 2020-03	Date: 07/20/2020				
Personnel: E.D., T.G. F.T.	Personnel: E.D., T.G. F.T.				
Temperature (°F): 84	Humidity (%): 51				
Wind Speed (mph): 10	Wind Direction: West				
Barometric Pressure (inHg): 29.99					
Verify that microphone height is 4 feet, wind speed is less than 12 mph and ambient temperature is between 30°F and 90°F: ■					
Initial Sound Level Meter Calibration: 93.4 dB(A)					
Exterior Ambient Noise Level: 43.9 dB(A)					

Accelerating from Standstill Curb (Right) Side		Accelerating from Standstill Street (Left) Side	
Run #	Measured Noise Level dB(A)	Run #	Measured Noise Level dB(A)
1	76.1	1	75.3
2	76.7	2	76.1
3	76.7	3	75.5
4	76.4	4	76.7
5	76.6	5	77.0
6	N/A	6	N/A
7	N/A	7	N/A
8	N/A	8	N/A
9	N/A	9	N/A
10	N/A	10	N/A
Average of two highest actual noise levels = 76.7 dB(A)		Average of two highest actual noise levels = 76.9 dB(A)	

Final Sound Level Meter Calibration Check: 93.4 dB(A)

Comments: None noted.

Page 93 of 101 2020-03

EXTERIOR NOISE TEST DATA FORM Stationary Page 3 of 3

Page 3 of 3				
Bus Number: 2020-03 Date: 07/28/2020				
Personnel: E.D., T.G. & F.T.				
Temperature (°F): 82		Humidity (%): 55	Humidity (%): 55	
Wind Speed (mph): 5		Wind Direction: NW	I	
Barometric Pressure (i	nHg): 29.93			
Initial Sound Level Me	ter Calibration: 93	.6 dB(A)		
Exterior Ambient Noise	e Level: 36.6 dB(A)			
	Air Cond	litioning ON		
Throttle Position	Engine RPM	Curb (Right) Side dB(A)	Street (Left) Side db(A)	
		Measured	Measured	
Low Idle	600	47.9	46.3	
High Idle	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Wide Open Throttle	3000	62.1	60.3	
	Air Cond	itioning OFF		
Throttle Position	Engine RPM	Curb (Right) Side dB(A)	Street (Left) Side db(A)	
		Measured	Measured	
Low Idle	600	44.9	44.6	
High Idle	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Wide Open Throttle	3000	62.8	60.0	
Final Sound Level Meter Calibration Check: 93.7 dB(A)				
Comments: None noted.				

2020-03 Page 94 of 101

7.2 EXTERIOR NOISE TESTS



TEST BUS UNDERGOING EXTERIOR NOISE TESTING

2020-03 Page **95** of **101**

8.0 EMISSIONS TEST – DYNAMOMETER-BASED EMISSIONS TEST USING TRANSIT DRIVING CYCLES

8-I. <u>TEST OBJECTIVE</u>

The objective of this test is to provide comparable emissions data on transit buses produced by different manufacturers. This chassis-based emissions test bears no relation to engine certification testing performed for compliance with the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) regulation. EPA's certification tests are performed on an engine by itself on a dynamometer operating under the Federal Test Protocol.

The Bus Testing Center emissions test is a measurement of the gaseous engine emissions CO, CO2, NOx, HC and particulates (diesel vehicles) produced by a complete vehicle operating on a large-roll chassis dynamometer. The test is performed for three differed driving cycles intended to simulate a range of transit operating environments. The test is performed under laboratory conditions in compliance with EPA 1065 and SAE J2711. The results of this test may not represent actual in-service vehicle emissions but will provide data that can be used by recipients to compare the emissions of buses tested under a range of consistent operating conditions.

8-II. <u>TEST DESCRIPTION</u>

This test was performed in the emissions bay of the LTI Vehicle Testing Laboratory. The Laboratory is equipped with a Schenk Pegasus 300 HP, large-roll (72-inch diameter) chassis dynamometer suitable for heavy-vehicle emissions testing. The emissions laboratory provides capability for testing heavy-duty diesel, gasoline, and alternative-fueled buses for a variety of tailpipe emissions including particulate matter, oxides of nitrogen, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and hydrocarbons. It is equipped with a Horiba full-scale dilution tunnel and a constant volume sampling (CVS) emissions measurement system. The system includes Horiba Mexa 7400 Series gas analyzers and a Horiba HF47 Particulate Sampling System. Test operation is automated using Horiba CDTCS software. The computer-controlled dynamometer is capable of simulating over-the-road operation for a variety of vehicles and driving cycles.

The emissions test was performed as soon as practical after the completion of the GVW portion of the structural durability test. The driving cycles are the Manhattan cycle, a low average speed, highly transient urban cycle (Figure 1), the Orange County Bus Cycle, a medium average speed transient urban cycle (Figure 2), and the EPA HD-UDDS Cycle, which consists of urban and highway driving segments (Figure 3). An emissions test was comprised of two runs for each of the three different driving cycles, and the average values were reported. Test results reported include the average grams per mile value for each of the gaseous emissions of carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, oxides of nitrogen, total hydrocarbons and non-methane hydrocarbons. In addition,

2020-03 Page **96** of **101**

emissions of particulate matter will also be reported for diesel fuel buses. Testing is performed in accordance with EPA CFR49, Part 1065 and SAE J2711 as practically determined by the FTA Emissions Testing Protocol developed by West Virginia University and Penn State University.




Figure 8.1. Manhattan Driving Cycle (Duration 1089 sec, Maximum Speed 25.4 mph, Average Speed 6.8 mph)




Figure 8.2. Orange County Bus Cycle (Duration 1909 Sec, Maximum Speed 41 mph, Average Speed 12 mph)

2020-03 Page **97** of **101**




Figure 8.3. HD-UDDS Cycle (Duration 1060 seconds, Maximum Speed 58 mph,

Average Speed 18.86 mph)

8-III. TEST ARTICLE

The test article is a BraunAbility / The Braun Corporation, Chrysler Pacifica / Voyager Commercial Wheelchair Accessible Vehicle w/Side-Entry Manual Foldout Ramp model transit bus equipped with a gasoline fueled Mopar FUA US LLC / 3.6L motor. The bus was tested on 09/28/2020 with the odometer reading 3,551 miles.

8-IV. TEST EQUIPMENT

Testing was performed in the LTI Vehicle Testing Laboratory emissions testing bay. The test bay is equipped with a Schenk Pegasus 72-inch, large-roll chassis dynamometer. The dynamometer is electronically controlled to account for vehicle road-load characteristics and for simulating the inertia characteristics of the vehicle. Power to the roller is supplied and absorbed through an electronically controlled 3-phase ac motor. Absorbed power is returned to the electrical grid.

Vehicle exhaust is collected by a Horiba CVS, full-flow dilution tunnel. The system has separate tunnels for diesel and gasoline/natural gas fueled vehicles. In the case of diesel vehicles, particulate emissions are measured gravimetrically using 47mm Teflon filters. These filters are housed in a Horiba HF47 particulate sampler, per EPA 1065 test procedures. Heated gaseous emissions of hydrocarbons and NOx are sampled by Horiba heated oven analyzers.

Gaseous emissions for CO, CO2 and cold NOx are measured using a Horiba Mexa 7400 series gas analyzer. System operation, including the operation of the chassis dynamometer, and all calculations are controlled by a Dell workstation running Horiba

2020-03 Page **98** of **101**

CDCTS test control software. Particulate Filters are weighed in a glove box using a Sartorius microbalance accurate to 1 microgram.

8-V. TEST PREPARATION AND PROCEDURES

The test bus was prepared for emissions testing in accordance with the Fuel Economy Pre-Test Maintenance Form. (In the event that fuel economy test was performed immediately prior to emissions testing this step does not have to be repeated.) This is done to ensure that the bus is tested in optimum operating condition. The manufacturer-specified preventive maintenance shall be performed before this test. The ABS system is disabled for operation on the chassis dynamometer. Any manufacturer-recommended changes to the pre-test maintenance procedure must be noted on the revision sheet. The Fuel Economy Pre-Test Inspection Form will also be completed before performing the Emissions test. Both the Fuel Economy Pre-Test Maintenance Form and the Fuel Economy Pre-Test Inspection Form are found in section 6, Fuel Economy Test.

Prior to performing the emissions test, each bus is evaluated to determine its road-load characteristics using coast-down techniques in accordance with SAE J1263. This data is used to program the chassis dynamometer to accurately simulate over-the-road operation of the bus.

Warm-up consisted of driving the bus for 20 minutes at approximately 40 mph on the chassis dynamometer. During emissions testing, the test driver followed the prescribed driving cycle by watching the speed trace and instructions on the Horiba Drivers-Aid monitor which is placed in front of the windshield. The CDCTS computer monitored the test and collected data for calculation of emissions at the end of the test.

This bus was tested for emissions at seated load weight. The emissions data was obtained at the following conditions:

- 1. Air conditioning off
- 2. Heater off
- Defroster off
- Exterior and interior lights on
- Windows and Doors closed
- Seated load weight

The test tanks or the bus fuel tank(s) were filled prior to the fuel economy test with gasoline.

2020-03 Page **99** of **101**

8-VI. DISCUSSION

Table 8.1 provides the emissions testing results on a grams per mile basis for each of the exhaust constituents measured and for each driving cycle performed.

<u>TABLE 8.1 Emissions Test Results</u>

Test Completed at SLW: 5,830 lb.				
Driving Cycle	Manhattan	Orange County Bus	UDDS	
CO ₂ , gm/mi	789	640	553	
CO, gm/mi	1.35	1.04	1.1	
THC, gm/mi	0.18	0.20	0.20	
NMHC, gm/mi	0.06	0.04	0.05	
NO _x , gm/mi	0	0	0	
Particulates. gm/mi	N/A	N/A	N/A	

2020-03 Page **100** of **101**

8. EMISSIONS TEST



BUS TESTED ON CHASSIS DYNAMOMETER FOR PERFORMANCE, FUEL ECONOMY AND EMISSIONS

2020-03 Page **101** of **101**