2 State Board of Veterinary Medicine 2 January 17, 2025 3 45 BOARD MEMBERS: 6 Thomas Garg, D.V.M., Chair 7 Matthew Eaton, Deputy Commissioner, Designee for Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and 9 Occupational Affairs 10 Anjilla Cooley, DVM 11 Clement George, Public Member 12 Apryle Horbal, D.V.M., Secretary - Absent 13 Valerie Kehoe, CVT 14 Hilary Vesell, Esquire, Public Member 15 16 BUREAU PERSONNEL: 17 18 Dana Archer, Esquire, Board Counsel 19 Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Regulatory Board Counsel 20 Carlton Smith, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel 21 Department of State 22 Paul Jarabeck, Senior Prosecutor, Department of State Greg Liero, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 23 Mark Zogby, Esquire, Board Prosecutor 24 25 Sarah Buhite, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison 26 Denise Szekely, Esquire, Board Prosecutor Timothy Henderson, Board Prosecutor 27 Michelle Roberts, Board Administrator 28 29 Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director, 30 Department of State Thomas Leech II, Administrative Officer 2 31 32 Deena Parmalee, Legal Office Administrator 1 33 34 35 ALSO PRESENT: 36 37 Jamie Lenzi, Esquire 38 Beth Venit, D.V.M. 39 Joseph S. Bender D.V.M. 40 Chris Pinney, D.V.M. Derek Richmond, Sargent's Court Reporting Service, 41 42 Inc. 43 44 45

2 State Board of Veterinary Medicine 3 January 17, 2025

* * *

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, 6 at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session 7 with Dana Archer, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the 8 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations 9 and to receive the advice of counsel. The Board 10 returned to open session at 10:31 a.m.]

11 ***

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Veterinary Medicine was held on Friday,

January 17, 2025. Thomas Garg, V.M.D., Chair, called
the meeting to order at 10:31 a.m.

* *

[Dana Archer, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the Board met in Executive Session for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on the matters under the Report of Prosecutors and the Report of Board Counsel.

Ms. Archer also noted the meeting was being recorded, and those who continued to participate were giving their consent to be recorded.]

* * *

```
4
   Roll Call
1
2
   [Thomas Garq, Board Chair, conducted a roll call of
3
   the Board members. A quorum of Board members was
4
   present.]
5
                              * * *
   Introduction of Attendees
6
7
   [Michelle Roberts, Board Administrator, provided an
   introduction of attendees.]
9
10
   Approval of Minutes of the November 22, 2024 meeting
11
   CHAIR GARG:
12
                  The first item on the agenda is
13
                  approval of the minutes from the
14
                  previous meeting. Are there any
15
                  concerns with regards to those minutes?
                       In that case, if there are no
16
17
                  concerns, would anybody like to make a
18
                  motion to accept the minutes?
   MS. KEHOE:
19
20
                  I'll make the motion.
21
   DR. COOLEY:
22
                  I second.
23
   CHAIR GARG:
24
                  Any further discussion? I'll call
25
                  roll.
```

5 1 2 Mr. Eaton, abstain; Dr. Cooley, yes; 3 Mr. George, abstain; Ms. Kehoe, yes; 4 Ms. Vesell, aye; Tom Garg, aye. 5 [The motion carried. Matthew Eaton and Clement George abstained from voting on the motion.] 6 7 * * * 8 Report of Prosecution [Greg Liero, Esquire, Department of State, presented 10 the Consent Agreement for Case No. 21-57-0009756.] * * * 11 12 [Mark Zogby, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, presented the 13 Consent Agreements for Case Nos. 20-57-009869 and 20-14 57-013266; and Case No. 22-57-010085.] 15 16 [Paul Jarabeck, Senior Prosecutor, Department of 17 State, presented the Consent Agreement for Case No. 18 22-57-018431.] * * * 19 20 [Sarah Buhite, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, presented 21 the Consent Agreement for Case No. 23-57-008275.] 22 MS. ARCHER: 23 For agenda items, 3,4,6 and 7, I24 believe the Board would entertain a 25 motion to accept the Consent Agreements

6 following these numbers: Case No. 21-1 2 57-0009756, Case No. 20-57-013266, Case 3 No. 22-57-018431 and Case No. 23-57-4 008275. 5 MS. KEHOE: 6 I'll make the motion. 7 DR. COOLEY: 8 I second. 9 CHAIR GARG: 10 Any further discussion? I'll call roll. 11 12 13 Mr. Eaton, aye; Dr. Cooley, yes; Mr. 14 George, yes; Ms. Kehoe, yes; Ms. 15 Vesell, aye; Tom Garg, aye. 16 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent's name at Case No. 57-0009756 is Tanya M. Artman, DVM. 17 18 The Respondent's name at Case No. 20-57-013266 is F. 19 Thomas Miller, DVM. The Respondent's name at Case 20 No. 22-57-018431 Heather Irene Pressdee, CVT. 21 Respondent's name at Case No. 23-57-008275 is Conrado 22 Roblejo, DVM.] 23 24 MS. ARCHER: 25 Moving back to Agenda Item 5, I believe

```
the Board would entertain a motion to
 1
 2
                   deny the Consent Agreement at Case No.
 3
                   22-57-010085 as being too harsh.
 4
                      Is there a motion?
 5
   MS. KEHOE:
                   I'll make the motion.
 6
 7
   DR. COOOLEY:
 8
                   I second.
 9
   CHAIR GARG:
10
                  Any further discussion? I'll call
11
                   roll.
12
13
                  Mr. Eaton, yes; Dr. Cooley, yes; Mr.
14
                   George, yes; Ms. Kehoe, yes; Ms.
15
                   Vesell, aye; Tom Garg, aye.
16
    [The motion carried unanimously.]
                               * * *
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

8 1 2 3 4 5 6 Annual Report of Prosecution 7 [Carlton Smith, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel for the Prosecution, Department of State, presented the annual report to the Board. He stated, in 2024, 10 there were a total of 22,900 cases that were 11 processed through the prosecution division across all 12 boards. This number, he stated, is up from the year 13 2023 in which approximately 19,000 cases were 14 processed. 15 Mr. Smith then presented the the respective 16 prosecutorial numbers for the Board. He stated, at 17 the time the Annual Report was completed, there were 18 9,090 active licensees. 268 cases were opened with 19 the Board in 2024, which is slightly up from the year 20 2023 in which 264 cases were open. Currently, there 21 are 369 cases opened with 220 cases closed for 2024. 22 Mr. Smith conceded that he felt some improvement 23 was needed in the timing of closing cases, and on 24 average, it takes about 374 days to close a case. Не

informed the Board that he and Mr. Jarabeck were

working together to decrease that timing. He noted there are several factors affecting the time spent prosecuting and closing a case. A more complex case may take longer to close. He added that with any case involving a standard of care violation, expert witness testimony is needed as evidence, which often results in a longer period of time investigating a case.

Mr. Smith was questioned if every complaint received by the prosecution was an open case.

Mr. Smith stated most complaints received resulted in open cases. Mr. Smith explained issues with a lack of jurisdiction or a complaint filed without meeting the burden of proof needed to prosecute a case would result in a case being closed without prosecution. Mr. Smith had no specific information as to the percentage of complaints that were prosecuted.

Mr. Smith informed the Board that that were 11 instances in 2023 of fines being issued and 10 instances of same for 2024. One Act 48 fine citation was issued in 2024, and two were issued in 2023. Costs were assessed to the respondent in conjunction with a complaint three times in 2024. He added that there were some cases that required mandatory

continuing education and other cases wherein suspensions from practicing were issued.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Smith advised the Board that the largest category of complaints received by the prosecution division are referred to as prosecution not warranted cases, meaning that no prosecution took place. stated in 2024 there were 142 complaints where prosecution was not warranted. Mr. Smith explained factors resulting in a non-prosecutable case might include a lack of evidence by the prosecution to support the alleged violation on a complaint. Another factor that determines a prosecution, not warranted case involves expert witness testimony that may offer no proof that a breach existed in a standard of care case. Lastly, jurisdictional issues ultimately determine whether the prosecution can investigate and/or prosecute an alleged violation. If the prosecution has no jurisdiction over the allegation, then no investigation is warranted.

biggest category of prosecution, not warranted cases. In 2024, there were 25 warnings issued by the prosecution. Mr. Smith advised as to certain factors considered when issuing a warning, including the seriousness of the allegation, the history of

Warnings, Mr. Smith continued, were the next

```
11
   discipline, or lack thereof, by the Board upon the
1
2
   alleged violator, and expert opinions. If a warning
3
   letter is issued to the respondent, the complainant
   will be notified that such a letter was sent.
4
5
        Mr. Smith concluded the presentation by referring
6
   to a total of three individuals entering the VRP
7
   (Voluntary Recovery Program) in 2024 and one being
   discharged for same year.]
9
10
   Report of Board Counsel
11
   MS. ARCHER:
12
                  Agenda Item No. 8, I believe the Board
13
                  would entertain a motion to deny
14
                  settlement authority in the matter of
15
                  Karin-Susan Breitlauch, Commonwealth
                  Court of Appeal 1468, CD 2024, Case No.
16
                  21-57-003768.
17
18
   MS. KEHOE:
                  I'll make the motion.
19
20
   DR. COOLEY:
21
                  I second.
22
   CHAIR GARG:
23
                  Any further discussion? I'll call
24
                  roll.
25
```

12 1 Mr. Eaton, yes; Dr. Cooley, yes; Mr. 2 George, yes; Ms. Kehoe, yes; Ms. 3 Vesell, aye; Tom Garg, yes. 4 [The motion carried unanimously.] 5 6 MS. ARCHER: 7 8 Moving down to Agenda Item No. 9, I 9 believe the Board would entertain a 10 motion to grant the Motion to Deem 11 Facts admitted for Andrew John Church, VMD. That's Case No. 20-57-011112 and 12 to direct Board Counsel to draft the 13 final Adjudication and Order as 14 15 discussed in Executive Session. 16 MS. KEHOE: I'll make the motion. 17 DR. COOLEY: 18 19 I second. 20 CHAIR GARG: 21 Any further discussion? I'll call 22 roll. 23 24 Mr. Eaton, yes; Dr. Cooley, yes; Mr. 25 George, yes; Ms. Kehoe, yes; Ms.

Vesell, yes; Tom Garg, yes.

1 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 Annual Sunshine Act/Recusal Presentation

4 | [Dana Archer, Esquire, Pennsylvania Department of

5 | State, presented a review of the Pennsylvania

6 Sunshine Act to the Board.

Ms. Archer stated the purpose of the Sunshine Act is to ensure that the public has access to any and all agency meetings. This Act, Ms. Archer noted, encourages the public to attend open agency meetings to observe how agency policies and decisions are formulated, thereby guaranteeing the continuing success of the democratic process in action.

Meetings are required to be held in public forums.

Ms. Archer also noted that anytime agencies are discussing agency business, including but not limited to, agency recommendations of statute work pursuant to Executive Order, establishment of policy decisions, voting on motions or proposals, et cetera, is considered to be official agency business and, therefore, subject to issuing public notice of the meeting for same. Public notice is to be posted no less than three days before the scheduled meeting. Public notice of a special meeting or a reschedule meeting is to be given no less than 24 hours in

advance thereof. Lastly, public notice must be posted for the first regular agency meeting of each year and not less than three days prior to the meeting.

Ms. Archer continued by stating that proper public notice consists of the date, place and time of the meeting. Public notice must be on prominent display within the agency itself. Newspaper publication is required as well. However, public notice need not be given in the case of an emergency meeting or conference.

Ms. Archer also conveyed that notice of the meeting agenda for the meeting must be placed on a public website at least 24 hours prior to the meeting. She stated the meeting agenda must include the location of the meeting and the principal office of the agency making the agenda. Ms. Archer further commented that any matter discussed or subject for deliberation at a meeting or executive session must be on the meeting agenda.

Ms. Archer stated meeting minutes, both recorded and written, are required for each meeting. The minutes should contain a record of any votes that are publicly passed in the course of agency business.

Meeting minutes must reflect the date of the meeting,

time, place, and the names of the members present at the meeting. Additional requirements for meeting minutes would include the recording of any official action deliberated or taken by the agency and a recognition of any individual members responsive to the voting process of that deliberation. The names of the public citizens officially present and subject to their testimony must also be included. conference is the one exception to the rule of allowing public access to agency meetings in that it is considered a part of the executive session.

Executive sessions are held primarily to review and discuss agency business but also for members to discuss personnel issues, litigation issues or strategy related to agency action in relation to identifiable complaints or charges. Executive sessions may be held either before, during or after an open meeting. Executive sessions occurring either just prior to or subsequent to an open meeting may be announced as such.

Ms. Archer stated legal challenges to the Sunshine Act need to be filed within 30 days of meeting in question or within 30 days of discovering a potential violation in the meeting. The statute of limitations on filing any legal challenge to the

Sunshine Act is one year. Penalties for violating
the Act can include criminal sanctions or summary
offense. Board members may discuss official action,
deliberations, agency business, et cetera, in Board
meetings only.

Ms. Archer then addressed the subject of recusal. Any Board member or voting member of an agency that may have a direct interest in the outcome of an agency decision, whether it be financial, personal or otherwise, must recuse themselves from the right to participate in that agency's decision-making process. Board members in a prosecutorial role must recuse themselves as well. Board members who are uncertain about recusing themselves from an agency deliberation as it applies to their own personal circumstances are advised to speak with counsel.

Ms. Archer next presented the topic of abstention versus recusal. An abstention, she stated, is the action of a member withholding a vote one time, either because they were absent at a prior agency meeting or have questions about the accuracy of prior meeting minutes that need to be addressed. Recusals are required when a member's inability to be fair or impartial in official agency deliberations is removed from the voting process altogether.

1 Lastly, Ms. Archer commented on possible 2 conflicts of interest with regard to becoming a Board 3 member. Examples of ineligibility for Board 4 membership would apply to any member of a licensed 5 board or commission that is designated as 6 representing the public. A public member cannot be a 7 member of any professional or occupational profession regulated by the board or commission. One cannot be related to an immediate family member of the 10 professional occupation; a public member is truly a 11 public member.] 12 13 Report of Regulatory Counsel 14 [Dana Archer, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the 15 written report provided by Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, 16 Regulatory Board Counsel, for the Board's review. 17 Ms. Archer stated the fee package is currently undergoing amendments based on the November 2024

undergoing amendments based on the November 2024
presentation.]

20 ***

21 Report of Board Chairperson - No Report

22 ***

23 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

24 ***

25 Upcoming Meeting Dates

26 [Thomas Garg, V.M.D., Chair, noted the upcoming 2025

```
meeting dates are March 28, May 23, July 25,
1
2
   September 5, and November 21. He also stated, since
3
   May 23rd, 2025 is the Friday before Memorial Day
   weekend, Board members should consider whether this
4
5
   is a date they would be available.]
                               * * *
6
7
   Adjournment
8
   CHAIR GARG:
9
                  Are there any other items for today?
10
                  I'll make a motion to adjourn.
11
   MS. KEHOE:
12
                  Motion to adjourn.
13
                               * * *
14
    [There being no further business, the State Board of
15
   Veterinary Medicine Meeting adjourned at 11:10 a.m.]
                               * * *
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
```


CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Veterinary Medicine meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Veterinary Medicine Meeting.

Derek Richmond,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.