

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

TIME: 10:33 A.M.

Held at

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

2525 North 7th Street

CoPA HUB, Eaton Conference Room

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

as well as

VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS

April 8, 2024

State Board of Psychology
April 8, 2024

BOARD MEMBERS:

Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair
Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
Professional and Occupational Affairs
Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Vice Chair
Katherine Bradley, Ph.D., Secretary
LaJewel Harrison, MBA, Public Member
Dean C. Maynard, Ed.D., Public Member

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel
Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel
Heather J. McCarthy, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor
Adam J. Williams, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison
Patrick Greene, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Berk V. Demiral, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Kelsey B. Ashworth, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Thomas Leech II, Board Administrator
Carolyn A. DeLaurentis, Esquire, Executive Deputy
Chief Counsel, Department of State
Carlton Smith, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution
Division
Michael McDonald, Policy Director, Department of
State
Andrew LaFratte, MPA, Deputy Policy Director,
Department of State
Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief
Counsel, Counsel Division, Department of State
Holly Hoffman, Law Clerk, Department of State

ALSO PRESENT:

Johanna Byrd, ACSW, IOM, CAE, Executive Director,
National Association of Social Workers,
Pennsylvania Chapter
Michael Pogue-Geile, Ph.D., Clinical Program Chair,
Psychology Professor, Department of Psychology,
University of Pittsburgh
Rachael Baturin, MHP, JD, Pennsylvania Psychological
Association

State Board of Psychology
April 8, 2024

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Molly Cowan, Psy.D., Director of Professional
Affairs, Pennsylvania Psychological Association
Katie Merritt, LSW, Director of Policy and Planning,
Pennsylvania Insurance Department
Joshua Neyhart, Deputy Director of External Affairs,
Office of Governor Shapiro
R. Perry Monastero, Ed.D., Coordinator, The Trevor
Project
Will Simons
Rachel Wilbur-Adams, Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

1 ***

2 State Board of Psychology

3 April 8, 2024

4 ***

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,
6 at 9:30 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
7 with Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel,
8 on behalf of Jared Hinsey, Esquire, Board Counsel,
9 for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial
10 deliberations on a number of matters that are
11 currently pending before the Board and to receive the
12 advice of counsel. The Board returned to open
13 session at 10:30 a.m.]

14 ***

15 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
16 Board of Psychology was held on Monday, April 8,
17 2024. Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, called the
18 meeting to order at 10:33 a.m.

19 ***

20 Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
21 [Thomas Leech II, Board Administrator, provided an
22 introduction of Board members and attendees. A Board
23 quorum was present.]

24 ***

25 [Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Senior Board Counsel,

1 reminded everyone that the meeting was being
2 recorded, and voluntary participation constituted
3 consent to be recorded.

4 Ms. Walter also noted the Board entered into
5 quasi-judicial deliberations to receive the advice of
6 counsel.]

7 ***

8 Approval of minutes of the February 5, 2024 meeting
9 CHAIR ERICKSON:

10 Approval of minutes. I didn't see any
11 issues. Any discussion regarding the
12 approval of minutes?

13 Do I hear a motion regarding the
14 approval of minutes?

15 MS. WALTER:

16 I believe the Board would entertain a
17 motion to approve the February 5, 2024
18 minutes?

19 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

20 So moved.

21 DR. MCALEER:

22 Second.

23 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

24 We need a roll call vote.

25

1 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
2 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
3 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
4 Maynard, abstain.

5 [The motion carried. Dean Maynard abstained from
6 voting on the motion.]

7 ***

8 Report of Board Prosecution - No Report

9 ***

10 Report of Board Counsel - No Report

11 ***

12 Report of Regulatory Counsel - Status of Regulations
13 [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
14 referred to the Status of Regulations Report and
15 informed Board members that she would provide a
16 report to outline the status of the regulations at
17 every Board meeting.]

18 ***

19 Report of Regulatory Counsel - Statement of Policy
20 Against Conversion Therapy

21 [Cynthia K. Montgomery, Esquire, Deputy Chief
22 Counsel, Counsel Division, Department of State, noted
23 the Governor's Office recently requested relevant
24 boards to consider adopting a Statement of Policy
25 that would condemn the discredited practice of

1 conversion therapy, also known as reparative therapy
2 or sexual orientation change efforts, specifically
3 with regard to minors.

4 Ms. Montgomery mentioned that Ms. Wolfgang
5 drafted the Statement of Policy presented for
6 consideration, which notifies the public and the
7 regulated community of the Board's stance on
8 conversion therapy, and engaging in conversion
9 therapy with minors may lead to disciplinary actions
10 for licensees.

11 Chair Erickson commented that it was his
12 understanding that it was an unenforceable policy but
13 may now lead to an enforcement action.

14 Ms. Montgomery noted that a Statement of Policy
15 is only binding on the Board that promulgates it.
16 She stated it is essentially saying that the Board
17 considers conversion therapy to be unprofessional
18 conduct and may result in discipline. She mentioned
19 that the prosecution division would have to bring a
20 case before the Board for the Board to determine
21 whether the situation merited disciplinary action.

22 Ms. Montgomery stated it is basically a public
23 statement from the Board condemning conversion
24 therapy and letting the public and licensees know
25 that engaging in this practice may lead to a

1 disciplinary action.

2 Dr. McAleer asked whether the policy would then
3 be cited as evidence of their infraction if someone
4 was brought before the Board because of a question
5 concerning their practice of this technique or series
6 of techniques.

7 Ms. Montgomery explained that it would not be
8 considered evidence and is a statement of the Board
9 condemning the practice and letting people know that
10 they could be charged. She stated the charges would
11 be most likely unprofessional conduct or unethical
12 conduct, and the prosecution division would have to
13 bring evidence before the Board, including an expert
14 witness to testify as to why conversion therapy is
15 below the standard of care or that it constitutes
16 unprofessional or unethical conduct.

17 Dr. McAleer commented that the statement itself
18 would not be considered evidence of a failure to meet
19 the standard, but the person being investigated would
20 have to follow the standard of care of the
21 profession, which is established by the American
22 Psychological Association (APA).

23 Ms. Montgomery noted Dr. McAleer to be correct,
24 noting the APA has specifically condemned the
25 practice as well.

1 Chair Erickson commented that it would be
2 enforceable then.

3 Ms. Montgomery stated it would be enforceable to
4 the degree that they would actually be enforcing the
5 statute and the regulations of the Board regarding
6 disciplinary action for unprofessional or unethical
7 conduct.

8 Chair Erickson wanted to make it clear that there
9 is pending federal litigation regarding these types
10 of bans across the country and a current circuit
11 split based on First Amendment concerns.

12 Ms. Walter mentioned that the cases pending
13 involve a local ordinance and one may be legislation.
14 She noted this is a policy statement, and the burden
15 would be on prosecution to show that the licensee
16 violated the provisions of the Board's regulations
17 for the act through expert testimony. She stated it
18 would ultimately be the Board's decision whether or
19 not to impose a disciplinary action.

20 Ms. Wolfgang suggested the Board review the
21 Statement of Policy as a notice to licensees
22 regarding what the Board would do if a case were to
23 come before it. She mentioned that it is just
24 putting it out to licensees so that they understand
25 how the Board would handle a case like this.

1 Dr. McAleer asked whether Ms. Wolfgang is saying
2 that this is considered an advisory opinion.

3 Ms. Wolfgang stated it is not an advisory opinion
4 but is more of a notice of what the Board would do.

5 Chair Erickson asked what type of discipline the
6 Board would do and under what authority would the
7 Board have for disciplining those psychologists.

8 Ms. Walter stated it would be looked at as any
9 other standard of care or unprofessional conduct
10 case, where expert witnesses would be brought in and
11 the circumstances of each individual case, where both
12 the patient and the licensee would be examined
13 individually.

14 Chair Erickson commented that it would be under
15 the standard of care unprofessional conduct provision
16 in the regulations and would be enforceable.

17 Ms. Wolfgang noted that it is enforceable to the
18 extent that a case is brought under those provisions
19 and sufficient evidence is presented. The Board
20 would then make that decision. She mentioned that it
21 is notifying individuals that if a licensee is
22 providing conversion therapy that the Board would
23 find it to be a violation of its professional and
24 ethical regulations. She commented that the
25 Statement of Policy is not enforceable but their

1 regulations are enforceable.

2 Chair Erickson wanted to make it clear that the
3 policy is a content-based restriction on speech.

4 Ms. Wolfgang mentioned that it should not be
5 looked at as a ban, but a notice informing their
6 licensee population of how the Board would handle
7 such a case that comes before it. She mentioned that
8 it says may discipline, so the Board still retains
9 its ability to look at each case individually.

10 Mr. Anderson stated the Department of Health put
11 out prescribing guidelines in certain circumstances
12 several years ago. He noted the boards adopted them,
13 and they tend to be more of an example of
14 notification to the members of their profession,
15 indicating the best practice or standard of care in
16 their profession.

17 Mr. Anderson noted the concept of the policy
18 statements would be similar, where it opines the
19 appropriate level of practice in their profession.
20 He stated prosecution bringing a case that they
21 believed was disciplinable would have to be
22 considered unprofessional conduct by being
23 substandard or not ethical.

24 Mr. Anderson noted that things are not
25 specifically itemized in their regulations, including

1 this portion, and an expert would have to explain
2 that it does not meet the appropriate standard of
3 care of the professional, is outside of what would
4 normally be practiced in the profession, and is
5 either unethical or unprofessional.

6 Mr. Anderson mentioned it is not a specific
7 statement that it is automatically a violation, but
8 is a statement more so of what they consider to be
9 best practices, where it may be prosecuted but would
10 have to be reviewed and opined by an expert. The
11 Board would be able to consider whether it believed
12 it to be a true violation.

13 Chair Erickson commented that it is generally the
14 case that charges are brought forward when a
15 psychologist performs below the standard of care
16 given an expert's opinion to prosecution.

17 Mr. Anderson stated prosecution has prosecutorial
18 discretion, where they can review the case to
19 determine whether it is or not. He mentioned that
20 prosecution can take it to an expert for review if
21 they have suspicions, where the expert on many
22 occasions could say, no, it does not fall below the
23 standard of care or outside the level of practice,
24 and then the Board would never see the case.

25 Mr. Anderson stated prosecution has to have

1 sufficient evidence and belief that they could
2 actually prosecute and win before bringing a case
3 before the Board. He noted the Board would not see a
4 case if an expert did not indicate the prosecution
5 had sufficient evidence. He mentioned that it would
6 be up to the Board to decide if it believed the
7 credibility of the expert and if the case was
8 disciplinable.

9 Ms. Harrison commented that all of the things
10 being said about someone bringing a case against a
11 clinician can still occur outside of this policy
12 moving forward, where the policy has no effect on how
13 people will govern themselves moving forward.

14 Mr. Anderson noted that to be correct. He again
15 referred to the Department of Health (DOH)
16 prescribing guidelines. He stated they were
17 primarily already considered best practices in the
18 profession. He explained that DOH, because of the
19 opioid epidemic, was trying to make it more open to
20 the profession and members of the general public so
21 they could be more informed.]

22 MS. WALTER:

23 I believe the Board would entertain a
24 motion to adopt the Statement of Policy
25 against conversion therapy and proceed

1 with publication.

2 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

3 So moved.

4 DR. MCALEER:

5 Second.

6 MR. LEECH:

7 Dr. Erickson, nay; Commissioner
8 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
9 Bradley, abstain; Ms. Harrison, aye;
10 Dr. Maynard, aye.

11 [The motion carried. Steven Erickson opposed the
12 motion. Katherine Bradley abstained from voting on
13 the motion, noting that she stands in opposition of
14 conversion therapy but wanted additional time to
15 review *Otto v. City Boca Raton* and to explore the
16 limits of what would be covered as conversion
17 therapy. She stated in theory she stands in
18 opposition, but in practicality, she wanted to make
19 sure she has all of the information.]

20 ***

21 Report of Regulatory Counsel - Review of Current
22 Stakeholder List

23 [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
24 informed Board members that she would be placing the
25 list of stakeholders on the agenda periodically. She

1 mentioned that the list of stakeholders is important
2 in terms of regulations when exposure drafts are
3 distributed.

4 Ms. Wolfgang explained that it is a list of
5 associations and individuals who wish to receive
6 notice in terms of regulations. She provided the
7 list for Board member comments and consideration to
8 make sure all of the appropriate individuals were
9 included and offered to add others to the list if
10 Board members had any suggestions.]

11 ***

12 Report of Regulatory Counsel - 16A-6327

13 (Accreditation-PCSAS)

14 [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
15 noted the Board voted to send the annex for 16A-6327
16 regarding the Psychological Clinical Science
17 Accreditation System (PCSAS) out as an exposure draft
18 the last time and reported receiving no comments
19 after the 30-day comment period.

20 Ms. Wolfgang provided the preamble for the
21 Board's review and consideration and asked for a vote
22 to approve the regulation and move it through the
23 regulatory process.]

24 MS. WALTER:

25 I believe the Board would entertain a

1 motion to approve the proposed
2 rulemaking at 16A-6327 and move the
3 regulation through the regulatory
4 process.

5 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

6 So moved.

7 DR. MCALEER:

8 Second.

9 MR. LEECH:

10 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
11 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
12 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
13 Maynard, aye.

14 [The motion carried unanimously.]

15 ***

16 Report of Regulatory Counsel - 16A-6317 (Continuing
17 Education)

18 [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
19 referred to 16A-6317 regarding the continuing
20 education regulation discussed at the last meeting.
21 She reminded everybody how to read the annex, where
22 anything underlined is new proposed language and
23 anything with brackets would be deleted.

24 Ms. Wolfgang reported making all of the revisions
25 discussed at the last meeting. She wanted to clarify

1 a few issues. She noted the addition of the
2 definition of synchronous and asynchronous to the
3 definition of distance education. She asked whether
4 the Board would like to continue with the standard it
5 has for home study and have that same standard,
6 noting the standard is in § 41.59(e). She also noted
7 highlighted text shows changes made based on prior
8 discussions.

9 Ms. Wolfgang noted the addition of the carryover
10 provision to page four of the annex. She referred to
11 § 41.59, noting the Board is proposing that contact
12 hours for continuing education courses used to
13 reactivate a license in accordance with subsection
14 (i) may not thereafter be used to satisfy the
15 requirements for biennial renewal. She asked whether
16 they should also be talking about reinstatement, and
17 Board members agreed.

18 Ms. Wolfgang referred to § 41.59(e) regarding
19 distance education, noting the term home study would
20 be changed to distance education. She mentioned that
21 the exposure draft that went out did not include the
22 100% online continuing education concept and asked
23 whether the Board would be in favor of an additional
24 exposure draft to make sure they expose that concept
25 to the stakeholders, and Board members agreed.

1 Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (f) paragraph
2 four, where the Board is adding, a sponsor shall
3 maintain documentation substantiating the teacher or
4 instructor's education and experience. She asked for
5 insight as to why Board members would need to
6 maintain the teacher's qualifications.

7 Dr. McAleer believed it had to do with being a
8 continuing education sponsor and being consistent
9 with the guidelines of the American Psychological
10 Association. He noted there are requirements from
11 the American Psychological Association about the
12 qualifications of the presenter, where additional
13 language was added, including being able to
14 demonstrate research supporting what they will be
15 discussing.

16 Molly Cowan, Psy.D., Director of Professional
17 Affairs, Pennsylvania Psychological Association,
18 believed Dr. McAleer's inference is correct and would
19 be her interpretation as well.

20 Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (i) and asked
21 whether the Board wanted to require suspended and
22 revoked individuals to complete continuing education
23 (CE) while they are suspended or revoked.

24 Ms. Wolfgang noted it sounds like they are
25 completing CE while suspended or revoked and asked

1 whether it should read that they complete the
2 education that would have been required under
3 subsection (b) had the individual maintained current
4 licensure as opposed to completing CE while they are
5 suspended or revoked. She suggested language instead
6 of what is in the current annex.

7 Dr. Maynard stated the Board requires CE to be
8 met in order for reinstatement and not during
9 suspension. He noted the suggested the language
10 change was fine.

11 Ms. Wolfgang referred to subsection (e) and asked
12 whether the Board agreed with the concept of having
13 the same standard for both synchronous and
14 asynchronous, which would be a psychologist may
15 accrue all of the required contact hours in distance
16 education courses offered by approved sponsors as
17 long as the course has specific learning objectives
18 and the sponsor evaluates the extent of learning that
19 has taken place. Board members agreed.

20 Ms. Wolfgang informed Board members that she
21 would make the minor revisions discussed, but wanted
22 to send an exposure draft between now and the next
23 Board meeting. She asked for a vote to approve the
24 annex for distribution as an exposure draft with
25 those amendments.]

1 MS. WALTER:

2 I believe the Board would entertain a
3 motion to approve the annex for
4 distribution of an exposure draft with
5 the minor amendments that were
6 discussed today during public session.

7 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

8 So moved.

9 DR. MCALEER:

10 Second.

11 MR. LEECH:

12 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
13 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
14 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
15 Maynard, aye.

16 [The motion carried unanimously.]

17 ***

18 [Jacqueline A. Wolfgang, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel,
19 noted the Board voted on the accreditation
20 regulation, and she still needed to prepare a
21 Regulatory Analysis Form for the Independent
22 Regulatory Review Commission (IRRC). She mentioned
23 it needed a little more work but hoped to get that
24 out by the next meeting.

25 Ms. Walter referred to agenda item 14 regarding

1 review of supervisory requirements. She noted Board
2 members would like to explore modernizing the
3 supervision requirements and making them consistent
4 with the practices in other states, which would
5 require a regulatory change.

6 Ms. Wolfgang believed that the matter was
7 addressed in the general revisions. She mentioned
8 needing to do more work before presenting it to the
9 Board and offered to provide information for the
10 following meeting.

11 Dr. McAleer stated he wanted to look at some of
12 the requirements, like the mandate that information
13 come in a signed envelope, sessions having to be
14 reviewed, actual audio or video or verbatim
15 transcripts, 2 hours of individual versus 2 hours of
16 other types of combinations of supervision, and
17 quarterly reports versus twice a year.

18 Dr. McAleer mentioned that all of those would be
19 important updates to their regulations, noting they
20 are behind other jurisdictions and adding extra
21 burdens on trainees.

22 Ms. Wolfgang explained that those issues could
23 definitely be addressed in the proposed annex.]

24 ***

25 Report of Board Chairperson

1 [Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, noted that he also
2 serves as the Psychology Interjurisdictional Compact
3 (PSYPACT) Commissioner, and the latest PSYPACT
4 Newsletter mentioned that the Commonwealth of
5 Pennsylvania has the most Authorization to Practice
6 Interjurisdictional Telepsychology (APIT) credentials
7 of any jurisdiction at 1,017 with Texas being the
8 next closest jurisdiction.]

9

10 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report

11

12 Report of Board Administrator - Application to
13 Practice Psychology for Persons Licensed in Other
14 States

15 MR. LEECH:

16

17

18

19

20

For an Application to Practice
Psychology for People Licensed in Other
States, we have item 7, Timothy Saar,
Ph.D. The first Applicant from West
Virginia for Act 41.

21 MS. WALTER:

22

23

24

I believe the Board would entertain a
motion to grant the Application of Dr.
Saar for licensure.

25 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

1 So moved.

2 DR. MCALEER:

3 Second.

4 MR. LEECH:

5 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
6 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
7 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
8 Maynard, aye.

9 [The motion carried unanimously.]

10 ***

11 MR. LEECH:

12 Next, we have item 8, Christina
13 Volstad, Psy.D.

14 MS. WALTER:

15 I believe the Board would entertain a
16 motion to grant a Provisional License
17 to Dr. Volstad to obtain the experience
18 required to demonstrate competence.

19 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

20 So moved.

21 DR. MCALEER:

22 Second.

23 MR. LEECH:

24 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
25 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.

1 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
2 Maynard, aye.

3 [The motion carried unanimously.]

4 ***

5 Report of Board Administrator - Review of Supervised
6 Experience

7 MR. LEECH:

8 Review of Supervised Experience at item
9 9, Kirsten Curtis, Psy.D.

10 MS. WALTER:

11 I believe the Board would entertain a
12 motion to table the Application to
13 receive more information regarding Dr.
14 Curtis' supervision and grant counsel
15 the authority to grant the application
16 if appropriate information is received.

17 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

18 So moved.

19 DR. MCALEER:

20 Second.

21 MR. LEECH:

22 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
23 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
24 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
25 Maynard, aye.

1 [The motion carried unanimously.]

2 ***

3 MR. LEECH:

4 Item 10, Sabrina Marie Pasparage
5 Polischak, Ph.D.

6 MS. WALTER:

7 I believe the Board would entertain a
8 motion to rescind the provisional
9 denial of the Application for Licensure
10 and grant the Application for Licensure
11 as a Psychologists.

12 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

13 So moved.

14 DR. MCALEER:

15 Second.

16 MR. LEECH:

17 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
18 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
19 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
20 Maynard, aye.

21 [The motion carried unanimously.]

22 ***

23 Report of Board Administrator - Application for
24 Approval of Corporate or Fictitious Name

25 MR. LEECH:

1 Application for Approval of Corporate
2 or Fictitious Name at item 11, Jimini
3 Health Psychotherapy, P.C.

4 MS. WALTER:

5 I believe the Board would entertain a
6 motion to approve the Application of
7 the Corporate Name of Jimini Health
8 Psychotherapy, P.C.

9 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

10 So moved.

11 DR. MCALEER:

12 Second.

13 MR. LEECH:

14 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
15 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
16 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
17 Maynard, aye.

18 [The motion carried unanimously.]

19 ***

20 Report of Board Administrator - Sponsor/Provider
21 Renewal Application

22 MR. LEECH:

23 Sponsor/Provider Renewal Application,
24 item 12, Lake Erie College of
25 Osteopathic Medicine.

1 MS. WALTER:

2 I believe the Board would entertain a
3 motion to provisionally deny the
4 Application of Lake Erie College of
5 Osteopathic Medicine.

6 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

7 So moved.

8 DR. MCALEER:

9 Second.

10 MR. LEECH:

11 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
12 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
13 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
14 Maynard, aye.

15 [The motion carried unanimously.]

16 ***

17 Discussion Items - Florida Letter to ASPPB Regarding
18 EPPP-2

19 [Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, referred to the
20 Florida Letter to the Association of State and
21 Provincial Psychology Boards (ASPPB) regarding the
22 Examination for Professional Practice in Psychology
23 (EPPP) Part 2 for the Board's review.]

24 ***

25 Discussion Items - Review of Supervisory Requirements

1 [Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, mentioned that the
2 review of supervisory requirements were covered
3 earlier during the regulatory discussion.]

4 ***

5 Correspondence

6 MS. WALTER:

7 Number 15. I believe the Board would
8 entertain a motion to deny the PPLE
9 Examination Waiver Request of
10 Christopher Guarino, Ph.D.

11 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

12 So moved.

13 DR. MCALEER:

14 Second.

15 MR. LEECH:

16 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
17 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
18 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
19 Maynard, aye.

20 [The motion carried unanimously.]

21 ***

22 MS. WALTER:

23 Number 16. I believe the Board would
24 entertain a motion to deny the 10-Year
25 Experience Waiver Request of William

1 Schneider, Ph.D.

2 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

3 So moved.

4 DR. MCALEER:

5 Second.

6 MR. LEECH:

7 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
8 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.
9 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
10 Maynard, aye.

11 [The motion carried unanimously.]

12 ***

13 MS. WALTER:

14 Number 17. I believe the Board would
15 entertain a motion to table the
16 Accommodation Request of Sarah
17 Edmiston, Psy.D., to allow the Board to
18 obtain additional information.

19 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

20 So moved.

21 DR. MCALEER:

22 Second.

23 MR. LEECH:

24 Dr. Erickson, aye; Commissioner
25 Claggett, aye; Dr. McAleer, aye; Dr.

1 Bradley, aye; Ms. Harrison, aye; Dr.
2 Maynard, aye.

3 [The motion carried unanimously.]

4 ***

5 FYI Items

6 [Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Vice Chair, announced that
7 he and Chair Erickson will make a short presentation
8 at the upcoming Pennsylvania Psychological
9 Association Convention. He mentioned the
10 presentation material requires Board Counsel's
11 review, and he awaited information for his
12 presentation regarding prosecution statistics.]

13 ***

14 Upcoming Meeting Dates

15 [Steven K. Erickson, Ph.D., Chair, noted the next
16 Board meeting date is June 3, 2024, and the remaining
17 2024 meeting dates are August 5, October 7, and
18 December 2. He also noted the 2025 Board meeting
19 dates are February 10, April 14, June 16, August 18,
20 October 20, and December 15.]

21 ***

22 Adjournment

23 CHAIR ERICKSON:

24 Is there a motion to adjourn?

25 ACTING COMMISSIONER CLAGGETT:

1 So moved.

2 DR. MCALEER:

3 Second.

4 CHAIR ERICKSON:

5 We are adjourned.

6 ***

7 [There being no further business, the State Board of
8 Psychology Meeting adjourned at 11:20 a.m.]

9 ***

10

11

CERTIFICATE

12

13 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
14 minutes of the State Board of Psychology meeting, was
15 reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and
16 that the minutes accurately summarize the substance
17 of the State Board of Psychology meeting.

18

19

20



21

Rachel Wilbur-Adams,

22

Minute Clerk

23

Sargent's Court Reporting

24

Service, Inc.

25

26

STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY
REFERENCE INDEX

April 8, 2024

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50

TIME	AGENDA
9:30	Executive Session
10:30	Return to Open Session
10:33	Official Call to Order
10:34	Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
10:37	Approval of Minutes
10:38	Report of Regulatory Counsel
11:10	Report of Board Chair
11:11	Report of Board Administrator
11:16	Discussion Items
11:17	Correspondence
11:19	FYI Items
11:20	Upcoming Meeting Dates
11:20	Adjournment