

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY

TIME: 10:35 A.M.

Held at

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

2525 North 7th Street

CoPA HUB, Eaton Conference Room

Harrisburg, PA 17110

as well as

VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS

April 13, 2026

State Board of Psychology

April 13, 2026

BOARD MEMBERS:

Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Chair
Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner of Professional
and Occupational Affairs
David Zehrung, Ph.D., Vice Chair
Daniel Warner, Ph.D., Secretary
Steven K. Erickson, JD, Ph.D., LLM
Jade Logan, Ph.D.

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Counsel
Sparkle Thompson, Board Administrator
Todd Kriner, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison
Patrick Greene, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Berk V. Demiral, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Jason T. Anderson, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Liliana Fisher, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
CJ Restemayer, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel,
Prosecution Division
Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Senior Counsel, PA Office
of General Counsel
Holly Hoffman, Legal Analyst, Department of State
Deena Parmelee, Legal Office Administrator
Amanda Richards, Fiscal Supervisor, Bureau of Finance
and Procurement

ALSO PRESENT:

Molly Cowan, Psy.D., Director of Professional
Affairs, Pennsylvania Psychological Association
Larissa Newlin, Psy.D., Psychologist, William Penn
School District
Rachel Baturin, MPH, JD, Deputy Executive Director
Pennsylvania Psychological Association
Nancy Kunsak, Ph.D., Psychology Specialist,
Hopemakers LLC
Jen Benzi
Jacob Hill, Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

1 ***

2 State Board of Psychology

3 April 13, 2026

4 ***

5 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,
6 at 9:00 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session
7 with Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Counsel, for
8 the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial
9 deliberations on a number of matters that are
10 currently pending before the Board and to receive the
11 advice of counsel.]

12 ***

13 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
14 Board of Psychology was held on Monday, April 13,
15 2026. Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Chair, called the
16 meeting to order at 10:35 a.m.

17 ***

18 [Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted
19 this meeting of the State Board of Psychology was
20 being held in a hybrid format, both in person and by
21 livestream teleconference, pursuant to Act 100 of
22 2021, which requires Boards to use a virtual platform
23 to conduct business when a public meeting is being
24 held.

25 Ms. Szymanski also noted the meeting was being

1 recorded, and voluntary participation constituted
2 consent to be recorded.

3 Ms. Szymanski stated the Board entered into
4 Executive Session for the purpose of conducting
5 quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters
6 that are currently pending before the Board and to
7 receive the advice of counsel.]

8 ***

9 [Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Chair, requested an
10 introduction of Board members and attendees. A
11 quorum of Board members was present.]

12 ***

13 Appointment - Annual Prosecution Report
14 [Shana M. Walter, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel,
15 Prosecution Division, explained the Bureau of
16 Professional and Occupational Affairs (BPOA) provides
17 administrative and legal support to the 29
18 professional and occupational licensing boards and
19 commissions within the department. The Office of
20 Chief Counsel Prosecution Division works with its
21 investigative, Bureau of Enforcement and
22 Investigation (BEI), and compliance arms, Policy
23 Compliance Office (PCO), who receive complaints,
24 investigate allegations, and enforce compliance with
25 the acts and regulations that govern the boards and

1 commissions. She stated the Prosecution Division
2 consists of five senior prosecuting attorneys and 34
3 prosecutors.

4 Ms. Walter stated the prosecution process always
5 begins with a complaint either from the public or by
6 Board referral. She reported on receiving
7 approximately 23,000 complaints each year. Ms.
8 Walter provided an overview of the investigative
9 process in which the complaint moves through several
10 levels of review. She noted the primary role of
11 prosecution at Board meetings is to present Consent
12 Agreements to the Board.

13 Ms. Walter explained after the investigative
14 process, the evidence is reviewed by the prosecuting
15 attorney to determine if they believe there have been
16 any violations of the act or regulations, how
17 sufficient the evidence is and determine if
18 additional files need to be opened. She stated, if a
19 violation is suspected, the available options include
20 immediate temporary suspension, filing an order to
21 show cause, automatic suspension, an order for a
22 mental or physical examination, and a petition for
23 appropriate relief if the case violates a previous
24 Board order. Avenues for discipline types are
25 revocation, suspension, probation, public reprimand,

1 civil penalty, remedial education, and cost of
2 investigation. She added all investigations are
3 confidential and not subject to right-to-know
4 requests.

5 Ms. Walters shared, in 2025, there were 17,354
6 cases opened and over 19,000 cases closed, up
7 significantly from 2024. Specifically for the Board
8 of Psychology, she reported 143 cases were opened in
9 2025 with 211 cases closed. The penalties for closed
10 cases included seven monetary, eight license
11 restrictions, and 28 warning letters. She noted, at
12 the beginning of January when the report was run, the
13 Board had 8,364 licensees with 128 open cases.

14 Chair McAleer asked if the majority of the cases
15 were closed due to limited findings or people not
16 wanting to pursue the case.

17 Ms. Walter stated the majority of the cases were
18 closed as prosecution not warranted meaning after the
19 complaint was reviewed and did not rise to the level
20 of a violation.

21 When asked, Ms. Walter explained PAR was a
22 petition for appropriate relief and could be filed by
23 a Prosecuting attorney. The example given was, if a
24 licensee is subject to a Board order putting them on
25 probation and they violate the terms of the order,

1 Prosecution can file a petition for appropriate
2 relief asking for an automatic suspension for
3 violation of probation to be imposed.]

4 ***

5 Approval of Minutes - December 15, 2025

6 CHAIR MCALEER:

7 I'd like to move forward with the
8 approval of the minutes from December
9 15th. Do I have a motion to approve
10 the minutes?

11 DR. ZEHRUNG:

12 So moved. I had one typo.

13 [The Board discussed the typo and if there were any
14 abstentions.]

15 CHAIR MCALEER:

16 We have a motion to approve the minutes
17 as amended by Dr. Zehrung. Do we have
18 a second?

19 DR. LOGAN:

20 Aye, Dr. Logan.

21 CHAIR MCALEER:

22 Can we have the roll call, please?

23

24 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
25 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

1 [The motion carried unanimously.]

2 ***

3 Report of the Prosecutorial Division

4 [Liliana Fisher, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,
5 presented the Consent Agreement and Order for Agenda
6 Item 4, Case No. 22-63-003004.]

7 ***

8 MS. SZYMANSKI:

9 Regarding the Consent Agreement at Item
10 No. 4 on your Agenda, Case No. 22-63-
11 003004.

12 After discussion in Executive
13 Session, I believe the Chair would
14 entertain a motion to adopt the Consent
15 Agreement.

16 DR. ZEHRUNG:

17 So moved.

18 DR. LOGAN:

19 Second.

20 CHAIR MCALEER:

21 Do we have the roll call?

22

23 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
24 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

25 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent at

1 Item No. 4, Case No. 22-63-003004, is Gary L. Ames.]

2

3

4 [Liliana Fisher, Esquire, Board Prosecutor, presented
5 the Consent Agreement for Agenda Item 5, Case No. 22-
6 63-010297.]

7

8 MS. SZYMANSKI:

9

10 All right. Well, seeing no questions
11 regarding the Consent Agreement at Item
12 No. 5 on your Agenda, which is Case No.
13 22-63-010297, after discussion in
14 Executive Session, I believe the Chair
15 would entertain a motion to adopt the
16 Consent Agreement.

17 DR. ZEHRUNG:

18

So moved.

19 DR. LOGAN:

20

Second.

21 CHAIR MCALEER:

22

23 Thank you. May we have the roll call
24 please.

25

McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

1 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent at
2 Item No. 5, Case No. 22-63-010297, is Emily Russell
3 Askin, Ph.D.]

4 ***

5 Appointment - Annual Financial Presentation
6 [Amanda Richards, Fiscal Supervisor, Bureau of
7 Finance and Procurement (BFP), presented the Annual
8 Financial Presentation. She reviewed the licensee
9 population, showing a six-year breakdown of the
10 licensees. The prior renewal biannual license
11 population was 6,443. The current license population
12 is 6,571 licensees, an increase of 128 licensees or
13 two percent. The current renewal fee for
14 psychologists, the only license for the Board, is
15 \$300. She noted the last fee change was in 2005, and
16 the Board waived the renewal fee in 2023.

17 Ms. Richards next presented the revenue
18 categories. She noted the prior biennium revenue was
19 \$158,000 due to waiving the renewal fees in 2023.
20 For the current biennium, the revenue was estimated
21 at about \$2 million. Applications and renewals make
22 up approximately 97.8 percent of the revenue.

23 Ms. Richards reviewed the expenses. She noted
24 the expenses are broken into two categories,
25 administrative and legal. Within the two categories,

1 the expenses hit the board in three ways, timesheet
2 based, direct charges, and licensee population. The
3 prior biennium had expenses of about \$1 million while
4 the current biennium is estimated at expenses of \$1.5
5 million. She added most of the increase is due to
6 the licensing modernization project.

7 Chair McAleer commented the slides from the prior
8 year included actual expenses, which differ from the
9 numbers shown in the current report. He asked if he
10 was correct in assuming the difference was due to
11 numbers evolving over time.

12 Ms. Richards confirmed the numbers can change
13 depending on when the budget period ends. In
14 addition, there could still be activities from prior
15 years.

16 Ms. Richards presented a page showing the
17 revenues and expenses together. She explained, when
18 doing the annual budget, BFP looks at whether the
19 expenses are exceeding the revenue. She noted the
20 Board was in a good position until 2032, so BFP was
21 not recommending a fee increase.

22 Chair McAleer commented there appeared to be a
23 \$200,000 difference in the estimated balance shown
24 last year for FY 26/27 and the current estimated
25 balance for FY 26/27.

1 Ms. Richards explained, when the budgets are
2 presented, they are based on what BFP is projecting
3 expenses to be. Expenses can shift based on a number
4 of factors, some of which can be the cost to do
5 business, pay raises, amount of time being worked, or
6 the licensing modernization project.

7 Chair McAleer also noted the Board is usually
8 provided with a running tally of the availability of
9 the restricted funds. He did not see the restricted
10 funds listed and asked if the projection could be
11 sent to the Board.

12 Ms. Richards offered to send the information to
13 the Board Administrator. She added, if the Board had
14 any additional questions, they could reach out to the
15 Board Administrator, who could pass them along to
16 BFP.]

17 ***

18 Report of Board Counsel

19 MS. SZYMANSKI:

20 Regarding the draft Final Adjudication
21 and Order at Item No. 6 on your Agenda
22 which is Jasmin Sanae Rolling, Psy.D.,
23 Case No. 24-62-012590, after discussion
24 in Executive Session, I believe the
25 Chair would entertain a motion to adopt

1 the Final Adjudication and Order.

2 DR. ZEHRUNG:

3 So moved.

4 DR. LOGAN:

5 Second.

6 CHAIR MCALEER:

7 Roll call please.

8

9 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,

10 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

11 [The motion carried unanimously.]

12 ***

13 MS. SZYMANSKI:

14 Next on the list is regarding the draft

15 Final Adjudication and Order at Item

16 No. 7 on your Agenda, Kathryn E.

17 Murphy, Psy.D., Case No. 24-63-002321.

18 After discussion in Executive

19 Session, I believe the Chair would

20 entertain a motion to adopt the Final

21 Adjudication and Order.

22 DR. ZEHRUNG:

23 So moved. We need another person to

24 second.

25 DR. ERICKSON:

1 Second.

2 CHAIR MCALEER:

3 Okay. Dr. Erickson seconded. Roll
4 call please.

5

6 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
7 aye; Erickson, aye.

8 [The motion carried. Dr. Logan was recused from
9 deliberations and voting on the matter.]

10 ***

11 MS. SZYMANSKI:

12 This is a motion for Judgement on the
13 Pleadings and to limit any Hearing to
14 mitigation. This is Item No. 8 on your
15 Agenda, Thomas Brownback, Case No. 24-
16 63-016969.

17 After discussion in Executive
18 Session, I believe the Chair would
19 entertain a motion to deny the Motion
20 for Judgement on the Pleadings in its
21 entirety and delegate the matter back
22 to a Hearing Examiner for a full
23 Hearing on the matter.

24 DR. ZEHRUNG:

25 So moved.

1 DR. LOGAN:

2 Second.

3 CHAIR MCALEER:

4 Roll call please.

5

6 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
7 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

8 [The motion carried unanimously.]

9

10 Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Report

11 [Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Counsel, reported
12 Regulatory Counsel was in the process of drafting the
13 final regulation for 16A-6317, Continuing Education.
14 She noted Regulatory Counsel intends to present the
15 final rulemaking at the next meeting. She noted 16A-
16 6324, Code of Ethics, would be the next regulation
17 worked on by Board Counsel and Regulatory Counsel.
18 Regarding 16A-6320, the General Revisions, Ms.
19 Szymanski reported she completed the regulatory
20 analysis form, which is pending internal review.
21 Once reviewed, the form will complete the proposed
22 regulatory package. Finally, she noted Regulatory
23 Counsel is drafting 16A-6323, Volunteer License.]

24

25 Report of Board Chairperson

1 [Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Chairperson, noted he would
2 be attending the Association of State and Provincial
3 Psychology Boards (ASPPB) Midwinter meeting the
4 following Wednesday with Dr. Logan. He will report
5 back at the Board's June meeting.]

6 ***

7 Report of Board Administrator

8 MS. SZYMANSKI:

9 I believe the Chair would entertain a
10 motion to provisionally deny the
11 application to sit for the psychology
12 licensing examination of Samantha
13 Zampetti, Psy.D., Item No. 10 on your
14 Agenda.

15 DR. ZEHRUNG:

16 So moved.

17 DR. LOGAN:

18 Second.

19
20 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
21 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

22 [The motion carried unanimously.]

23 ***

24 MS. SZYMANSKI:

25 I believe the Chair would entertain a

1 motion to provisionally deny the
2 application to sit for the psychology
3 licensing examination of Monica Ralph,
4 Psy.D., Item No. 11 on your Agenda.

5 DR. ZEHRUNG:

6 So moved.

7 DR. LOGAN:

8 Second.

9
10 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
11 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

12 [The motion carried unanimously.]

13 ***

14 MS. SZYMANSKI:

15 I believe the Chair would entertain a
16 motion to grant the application for Act
17 41 Licensure by Endorsement for Ayanay
18 Ferguson, Psy.D. at Item No. 12 on your
19 Agenda.

20 DR. ZEHRUNG:

21 So moved.

22 DR. LOGAN:

23 Second.

24
25 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,

1 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 ***

4 MS. SZYMANSKI:

5 The next one on your list, Item No. 13,
6 Ivan Vazques-Torres. This is two
7 parts. First is a waiver of the PPLE
8 and the second is a waiver of
9 continuing education home study.

10 I believe for the first issue with
11 the PPLE, I believe the Chair would
12 entertain a motion to deny the PPLE
13 examination waiver request of Ivan
14 Vazquez-Torres at Item No. 13 on your
15 Agenda.

16 DR. ZEHRUNG:

17 So moved.

18 DR. LOGAN:

19 Second.

20
21 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
22 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

23 [The motion carried unanimously.]

24 ***

25 MS. SZYMANSKI:

1 And we're going to do the second part
2 of Item No. 13.

3 I believe the Chair would entertain
4 a motion to deny the home study waiver
5 request of Ivan Vasquez-Torres at Item
6 No. 13 on your Agenda.

7 DR. ZEHRUNG:

8 So moved.

9 DR. LOGAN:

10 Second.

11

12 McAleer, aye; Zehrung, aye; Warner,
13 aye; Erickson, aye; Logan, aye.

14 [The motion carried unanimously.]

15 ***

16 Report of Board Members

17 [David Zehrung, Ph.D., Vice Chair, reported he
18 continues to serve in a Psychology
19 Interjurisdictional Compact (PSYPACT) workgroup
20 regarding deconflicting some of their written
21 documents and attended occasional meetings for the
22 workgroup. He also noted the Board was compliant
23 with PSYPACT regulations.]

24 ***

25 Discussion Items

1 [Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Chairperson, explained in
2 the past the Board had promulgated but not ratified a
3 thought about the necessity which currently exists
4 for having real time or live continuing education
5 (CE) as part of the requirements for the 30 CE
6 credits. The Board had proposed to eliminate the
7 requirement for real time, synchronous, or live CEs
8 as part of the requirements. He stated the
9 discussion would be opened up to continue to discuss
10 some of the concerns that are present.

11 Daniel Warner, Ph.D., Secretary, had concerns
12 with the removing the requirement for interactive CE.
13 He stated it was worthwhile for the Board to consider
14 what it would mean to have no requirement for
15 psychologists to interact with other psychologists,
16 professionally, for at least a few hours every two
17 years. He noted there had always been debates
18 regarding the value of CE in psychology. He added
19 one of the most important outcomes of CE is
20 interaction between psychologists. He commented the
21 point of CEs was not just to put knowledge in a
22 person's head but to bring professionals together
23 around psychological topics. He shared examples of
24 things he had learned at CEs including colleagues
25 having drug use and ethical violations.

1 Dr. Warner stated simply receiving a workbook in
2 the mail for CEs would cause the lose of professional
3 accountability that comes from bringing people
4 together. He added, with many psychologists working
5 virtually, even virtual interaction is important. He
6 stated he would need to hear a compelling reason as
7 to why live or synchronous CEs are not important and
8 people can just learn alone.

9 David Zehrung, Ph.D., Vice Chair, stated a
10 related issue of concern was the emerging literature
11 showing the harmful effects of passive information
12 processing such as using AI chat agents. He stated
13 there is an emerging awareness that performance
14 declines as there is reliance on passive measures
15 which outsource cognitive processing. He was not
16 aware of any research connecting passive CE and AI,
17 but AI use is a current topic to consider.

18 Dr. Warner agreed a big concern of his is the
19 idea of further isolation and that learning would be
20 AI activities at best.

21 Chair McAleer asked how far along the regulatory
22 process was for CEs. He noted the regulation was
23 distributed for public comment.

24 Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Counsel,
25 replied Regulatory Counsel was drafting the final

1 regulation and intended to present the final
2 rulemaking at the next meeting.

3 Chair McAleer noted the process was pretty far
4 along at the current point. He added there are
5 conflicting thoughts with the convivence of being
6 able to do home study CEs, even those which are
7 synchronous as opposed to those that are
8 asynchronous. Generally public comments were
9 positive with many people stating they were
10 appreciative of the regulatory change. He shared he
11 misses going to conventions and bumping into people.
12 He added learning at conventions happens not just in
13 sessions but also at lunch and hallway conversations.
14 He noted he has personal concerns with everybody
15 going virtual, but he had no evidence.

16 Dr. Warner commented that concerns regarding
17 virtual were not personal and the concerns were
18 obvious.

19 Dr. Zehrung observed, while there is an agreement
20 that there are benefits to encouraging the
21 socialization aspect of interaction including
22 informal learning, he questioned if it rose to the
23 level of compulsion versus encouragement.

24 Dr. Warner stated there is value to the Board
25 having the expectation for people to get out of their

1 bubble for a few hours every two years and interact
2 with each other. He shared frustrations with people
3 just spending a couple of nights filling out a
4 workbook. He added people are becoming more isolated
5 from the Board and more isolated from the field in
6 general.

7 Chair McAleer pointed out there is division in
8 the profession with some jurisdictions not requiring
9 CE at all. He asked if there was any evidence that
10 CE education has an impact on the infractions for
11 which it is modeled.

12 Jade Logan, Ph.D., noted she would need to see
13 research before forming an opinion on the topic in
14 either direction. She added there is still a
15 generation of psychologists who still socialize as
16 well as work virtually. She asked if there was a
17 reason to shift the regulation given how far long it
18 was.

19 Chair McAleer shared his experience has been that
20 people will say they love getting together with
21 others in the profession; however, he questioned if
22 that was evidence or just a sign people like to
23 mingle. He added, when the Board moved in the
24 direction of the CE regulation, there were not
25 compelling findings suggesting asynchronous education

1 was any more or less effective than synchronous
2 education.

3 Dr. Warner stated synchronous education is more
4 interactive and research shows interaction matters.

5 Dr. Zehrung commented his default was to respect
6 the work done by the people who reviewed the
7 regulation.

8 Chair McAleer appreciated Dr. Warner's passion on
9 the topic but added the boat had pulled out of the
10 harbor and they were just waiting on the harbor
11 master to give the direction.]

12 ***

13 [Arion Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of
14 Professional and Occupational Affairs, entered the
15 meeting at 11:29 a.m.]

16 ***

17 Correspondence

18 MS. SZYMANSKI:

19 I believe the Chair would entertain a
20 motion to ratify and approve the
21 Licensure by Endorsement of Melissa
22 London, Ph.D., at Item No. 15 on your
23 Agenda.

24 DR. ZEHRUNG:

25 So moved.

1 DR. LOGAN:

2 Second.

3

4 McAleer, aye; Claggett, aye; Zehrung,
5 aye; Warner, aye; Erickson, aye; Logan,
6 aye.

7 [The motion carried unanimously.]

8 ***

9 MS. SZYMANSKI:

10 I believe the Chair would entertain a
11 motion to ratify and approve the
12 reactivation application of Susan
13 Fralick-Ball, Psy.D. at Item No. 16 on
14 your Agenda.

15 DR. ZEHRUNG:

16 So moved.

17 DR. LOGAN:

18 Second.

19

20 McAleer, aye; Claggett, aye; Zehrung,
21 aye; Warner, aye; Erickson, aye; Logan,
22 aye.

23 [The motion carried unanimously.]

24 ***

25 MS. SZYMANSKI:

1 I believe the Chair would entertain a
2 motion to approve the exemption of
3 face-to-face continuing education for
4 license reactivation of Nancy Kunsak,
5 Ph.D. at Item No. 17 on your Agenda.

6 DR. ZEHRUNG:

7 So moved.

8 DR. LOGAN:

9 Second.

10

11 McAleer, aye; Claggett, aye; Zehrung,
12 aye; Warner, nay; Erickson, aye; Logan,
13 aye.

14 [The motion carried. Dr. Warner opposed the motion.]

15 ***

16 MS. SZYMANSKI:

17 I believe the Chair would entertain a
18 motion to approve the EPPP testing
19 accommodation request of Jennifer Gero
20 at Item No. 18 on your Agenda.

21 DR. ZEHRUNG:

22 So moved.

23 DR. LOGAN:

24 Second.

25

1 McAleer, aye; Claggett, aye; Zehrung,
2 aye; Warner, aye; Erickson, aye; Logan,
3 aye.

4 [The motion carried unanimously.]

5 ***

6 MS. SZYMANSKI:

7 I believe the Chair would entertain a
8 motion to deny the EPPP testing
9 accommodation request of Christopher
10 Stewart at Item No. 19 on your Agenda.

11 DR. ZEHRUNG:

12 So moved.

13 DR. LOGAN:

14 Second.

15
16 McAleer, aye; Claggett, nay; Zehrung,
17 aye; Warner, aye; Erickson, aye; Logan,
18 aye.

19 [The motion carried unanimously. Acting Commissioner
20 Claggett was opposed to the motion.]

21 ***

22 MS. SZYMANSKI:

23 I believe the Chair would entertain a
24 motion to approve the EPPP testing
25 accommodation request of Jodie Felder

1 at Item No. 20 on your Agenda.

2 DR. ZEHRUNG:

3 So moved.

4 DR. LOGAN:

5 Second.

6

7 McAleer, aye; Claggett, aye; Zehrung,
8 aye; Warner, aye; Erickson, aye; Logan,
9 aye.

10 [The motion carried unanimously.]

11 ***

12 MS. SZYMANSKI:

13 I believe the Chair would entertain a
14 motion to approve the EPPP testing
15 accommodation request of Henry Vinyard
16 at Item No. 21 on your Agenda.

17 DR. ZEHRUNG:

18 So moved.

19 DR. LOGAN:

20 Second.

21

22 McAleer, aye; Claggett, aye; Zehrung,
23 aye; Warner, aye; Erickson, aye; Logan,
24 aye.

25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

[Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted correspondence received from Jennifer Logue, M.A., concerning a psychologist shortage in Western Pennsylvania.]

Chair McAleer commented the Board appreciates Ms. Logue's concerns and noted her commentary about the need for increased services of licensed psychologists in Western Pennsylvania.]

Report of Acting Commissioner - No report.

FYI Items

[Lindsay Szymanski, Esquire, Board Counsel asked for comments on the PSYPACT newsletter from December 2025. She next reported all areas were compliant in regards to the PSYPACT fourth quarter compliance. There were no comments on either topic.]

Regarding the EPPP timeline and cost update, Chair McAleer noted he and Dr. Logan would learn more details at the upcoming ASPPB meeting.]

Upcoming Meeting Dates

[Donald McAleer, Psy.D., Chairperson, noted upcoming meeting dates were listed on the Agenda. He added

1 the week of June 15, 2026, was also the week of the
2 Pennsylvania Psychological Association (PPA)
3 convention. He stated the convention was completely
4 virtual. The Board would be making a presentation on
5 the Friday of the convention.

6 The Board briefly discussed who might attend the
7 convention. It was noted the presentation was still
8 being drafted and would be sent to Counsel soon for
9 review.]

10 ***

11 Public Comment

12 [Nancy Kunsack, Ph.D., Psychology Specialist,
13 Hopemakers LLC asked when her license renewal would
14 be valid.

15 Ms. Szymanski stated it would take a day or two
16 to process, but contact would be made as soon as
17 paperwork was completed.]

18 ***

19 Adjournment

20 CHAIR MCALEER:

21 All right. Well, is there a motion to
22 adjourn the meeting?

23 DR. ZERUNG:

24 So moved.

25 DR. LOGAN:

1 Second.

2 CHAIR MCALEER:

3 Okay. Meeting adjourned. Thank you
4 all and see you in June.

5 ***

6 [There being no further business, the State Board of
7 Psychology Meeting adjourned at 11:37 a.m.]

8 ***

9

10 CERTIFICATE

11

12 I hereby certify that the foregoing summary
13 minutes of the State Board of Psychology meeting, was
14 reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and
15 that the minutes accurately summarize the substance
16 of the State Board of Psychology meeting.

17

18

19



20

Jacob Hill,

21

Minute Clerk

22

Sargent's Court Reporting

23

Service, Inc.

24

25

26

STATE BOARD OF PSYCHOLOGY
REFERENCE INDEX
April 13, 2026

TIME	AGENDA
9:30	Executive Session
10:30	Return to Open Session
10:35	Official Call to Order
10:36	Roll Call
10:42	Appointment - Annual Prosecution Report
10:50	Approval of Minutes
10:52	Report of Prosecutorial Division
10:57	Appointment - Annual Financial Report
11:06	Report of Board Counsel
11:09	Regulatory Report
11:09	Report of Board Chairperson
11:10	Report of Board Administrator
11:13	Report of Board Members
11:15	Discussion Items
11:29	Correspondence
11:33	FYI Items
11:36	Public Comment
11:37	Adjournment