

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY

TIME: 10:37 A.M.

Held at

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

2525 North 7th Street

CoPA HUB, Eaton Conference Room

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

as well as

VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS

Wednesday, April 15, 2026

State Board of Podiatry
April 15, 2026

BOARD MEMBERS:

Deidre Bowers, Division Chief, Medical, Osteopathic,
and Podiatry Boards, designee for Arion R.
Claggett, Acting Commissioner of Professional and
Occupational Affairs
Christopher A. Seda, D.P.M., Chairman
Steven Shannon, D.P.M., Vice Chairman - Absent
Edwin Hart, D.P.M., Secretary
Eric B. Greenberg, D.P.M., J.D.
Walker Kmetz, Public Member

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ashley Keefer Jones, Esquire, Board Counsel
Addie Abelson, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel
Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Prosecutor-In-
Charge
Timothy Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison
Priscilla Turek, Board Administrator
Jason C. Giurintano, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel,
Counsel Division
Taylor Koch, Fiscal Chief, Bureau of Finance and
Procurement
Tamie Laudenslager, Fiscal Management Specialist,
Bureau of Finance and Procurement

ALSO PRESENT:

Mike Barth, Executive Director, Pennsylvania
Podiatric Medical Association
Michael Paris, D.P.M.
Laurie Elliott, Sargent's Court Reporting Service,
Inc.

State Board of Podiatry

April 15, 2026

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 10:00 a.m., the Board entered into Executive Session with Ashley Keefer Jones, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to receive the advice of counsel. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State Board of Podiatry was held on Wednesday, April 15, 2026. Christopher A. Seda, D.P.M., Chairman, called the meeting to order at 10:37 a.m.

[Ashley Keefer Jones, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act that the Board entered into Executive Session for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to receive the advice of counsel.

Ms. Keefer Jones also noted the meeting was being

1 recorded and those who continued to participate were
2 giving their consent to being recorded.]

3 ***

4 Introduction of Board Members/Attendees

5 [Priscilla Turek, Board Administrator, provided an
6 introduction of the Board members, staff, and
7 audience in attendance. A quorum of Board members
8 was present.]

9 ***

10 Approval of Minutes

11 MS. KEEFER JONES:

12 I believe the Chair would entertain a
13 motion to adopt the meeting minutes of
14 the December 17, 2025 meeting as
15 presented. Is there a motion?

16 DR. HART:

17 So moved, Hart.

18 DR. GREENBERG:

19 Second.

20
21 Christopher Seda, aye; Deidre Bowers,
22 abstain; Edwin Hart, aye; Eric
23 Greenburg, aye; Walker Kmetz, abstain.

24 [The motion carried. Ms. Bowers and Dr. Kmetz
25 abstained from voting on the motion.]

MS. KEEFER JONES:

I believe the Chair would entertain a motion to adopt the meeting minutes of the February 18, 2026 meeting as presented.

DR. HART:

So moved, Hart.

DR. GREENBERG:

Second.

Christopher Seda, aye; Deidre Bowers, abstain; Edwin Hart, abstain; Eric Greenburg, abstain; Walker Kmetz, abstain.

[The motion carried. Ms. Bowers, Dr. Hart, Dr. Greenberg and Dr. Kmetz abstained from voting on the motion.]

Appointment - Annual Financial Presentation

[Taylor Koch, Fiscal Chief, Bureau of Finance and Procurement (BFP), presented the annual financial report and fee package recommendation. He first showed a breakdown of the licensee accounts over the last six fiscal years. He noted the Board had almost

1 1,500 licensees in the prior biennium and roughly
2 1,479 licensees in the current biennium. He noted
3 the Board only has one licensed class, the doctor of
4 podiatric medicine. He added the Board renews in
5 January of odd years with the next one scheduled for
6 January 2027. The current renewal fee is \$395 and
7 the last approved fee increase was in 2002.

8 Mr. Koch presented a breakdown of revenue by
9 sources. He noted the prior FY22/23 biennium total
10 revenue and the current FY24/25 biennium projected
11 total revenue. He noted the dip in revenue was due
12 to the drop in licensee count. 97 percent of revenue
13 is projected to come from renewals and applications.

14 Mr. Koch next reviewed the expenses, noting prior
15 biennium expenses and the current biennium projected
16 expenses. He explained expenses are derived in two
17 categories, administrative and legal. Within the two
18 categories, the expenses can be charged in three
19 ways, timesheet based, direct charges, and licensee
20 population. He attributed the spike in expenses in
21 the 2025 budget to the licensing modernization
22 project. He explained the licensing modernization
23 costs. He also noted there is an overall higher cost
24 of doing business which has been factored in.

25 Dr. Hart asked what item covered the

1 modernization project.

2 Mr. Koch replied the modernization costs were
3 scattered throughout with a lot of the costs
4 allocated in Board administration and the bulk in
5 legal administration.

6 Dr. Hart questioned what licensees would see on
7 their end with the modernization.

8 Ms. Keefer Jones stated it would be best to wait
9 for Acting Commissioner Claggett as he had been
10 spearheading the project. She noted the overall user
11 experience for licensees would be easier.

12 Dr. Hart explained how New Hampshire has
13 streamlined their CME process and wondered if the
14 modernization project would include a similar
15 process.

16 Chair Seda asked how BFP handled surpluses and
17 deficits in the budget.

18 Mr. Koch explained BFP encompasses a renewal year
19 and a non-renewal year for each biennium to account
20 for ups and downs in the overall budget. He noted
21 BFP does not want to curb any boards in terms of
22 their expenses or revenues in just one specific
23 fiscal year.

24 He stated BFP planned to monitor all of the
25 numbers more frequently along with Acting

1 Commissioner Claggett, the legal team and Regulatory
2 Counsel. In addition, he planned to provide
3 additional interim reports to the Board throughout
4 the fiscal year.

5 Chair Seda noted there was a balance sheet
6 whether it was annual or biannual. He added the
7 budget will not balance exactly and questioned what
8 was the answer to his previous question.

9 Mr. Koch reiterated the budget is a snapshot in
10 time of when the report was compiled. He explained
11 the interim meetings will allow BFP to narrow down
12 projections to more align the budget with reality.
13 The BFP projected budget for FY25 at the time of the
14 report was prepared was noted; however, after June
15 30, 2026, a more concrete number would be available.

16 The financials would then be adapted based off of
17 actual numbers. He stated based on everything BFP
18 has compiled, they have presented the most accurate
19 representation possible. He stressed the importance
20 of continued monitoring and constant contact with
21 people like the legal team to ensure BFP is not
22 giving the Board inaccurate data.

23 Mr. Koch explained BFP does not want to base a
24 fee increase package on historical data that is no
25 longer relevant but wanted to look at real-time data

1 and numbers including license counts, current
2 budgets, and what may be coming down the pipe.

3 Mr. Koch next reviewed a slide showing the
4 expenses and revenues brought together. He noted the
5 figure in the beginning of FY22; however, the Board
6 had overspent, cutting into the account balance. He
7 explained the numbers shown were the most accurate
8 projection BFP could provide at the current point in
9 time. He noted future projections included cost of
10 living increases and maintenance fees for the
11 licensing modernization. He noted the consistent
12 factor in the projections is the revenue fee of \$395.

13 Dr. Greenberg asked what the vendors had the most
14 expenditures each year. Mr. Koch noted one of the
15 expenses would be the stenographer for Board
16 meetings. He noted vendors would also include the
17 various licensing modernization items, as well as
18 things like postage and travel. He noted the costs
19 may not be considered outside vendors but more
20 absorbed costs that each board has. He stated the
21 majority of the costs come from timesheet-based
22 expenses which vary by Board so a specific number
23 cannot be determined. He explained the Board is
24 operating in a deficit and by law BFP has to present
25 a fee increase.

1 Dr. Greenberg asked if there was a breakdown of
2 the timesheet costs that showed what department
3 constituted the majority of the costs.

4 Mr. Koch showed a breakdown of the expenses from
5 the prepared fee package presentation which showed
6 Prosecution accounted for the majority of costs.

7 Mr. Jarabeck explained some of the Prosecution
8 costs come from experts who review cases. In
9 addition, Prosecution attorneys are paid based on
10 years of experience and time in their position. He
11 noted the initial application fee has been set for a
12 long period of time and has not been adjusted for
13 cost of business and how individual cost for
14 employment has increased since the last fee increase.

15 Dr. Greenberg asked if there was any way the
16 Board could see the hourly fees being paid to experts
17 used by Prosecution.

18 Mr. Jarabeck explained the fees are built into
19 any formal charges filed. When the cost of
20 investigation is indicated, the cost of the expert is
21 included. He noted if a case is investigated but
22 charges are not brought forth, the expert cost would
23 be reported as part of the expenditures in the budget
24 however the costs would not be publicized since the
25 case was closed with no charges.

1 Mr. Koch next reviewed the fee package
2 recommendation from BFP. He echoed his previous
3 statement of approximately 96 percent of revenue is
4 derived from renewals and applications. He noted the
5 slide showed a breakdown of application types
6 including current fees, anticipated number of each
7 application, and the total amount derived from each
8 type.

9 Mr. Koch presented a breakdown of the proposed
10 application fee increases which were last adjusted in
11 2003. He stated the application fees should be
12 adjusted to match the actual cost of processing each
13 application type. Application fees cannot be a
14 profit builder but should capture the time spent
15 reviewing and approving applications so the Board is
16 not losing any money. The proposed increases would
17 go into effect in December 2028. He stressed the
18 Board can legally only charge what the cost is to
19 process the application. He noted the largest
20 increase was to the reactivation and licensure by
21 examination fees which would go from \$25 and \$30
22 respectively, to \$126 for both applications. He
23 noted BFP included another five percent increase for
24 the next two biennium to adjust for cost-of-living
25 increases as the economy becomes more expensive. He

1 explained the application fee increases will start to
2 help but will not be enough to get the Board out of a
3 deficit.

4 Dr. Hart questioned why the fees for CE
5 applications was not being increased.

6 Mr. Koch noted there was a future increase which
7 started in December 2030 for that biennium as part of
8 the cost-of-living adjustments. He explained
9 ultimately, the Board cannot charge more than what it
10 costs to process the application which is why the
11 current \$75 fee is not being changed initially with
12 the rest of the fees.

13 Ms. Keefer Jones noted the only CE applications
14 received by the Board are twice a year from Jefferson
15 Northeast for a total of four applications a
16 biennium.

17 Mr. Koch explained BFP was recommending a 30
18 percent increase for renewal fees which would change
19 the renewal fees from \$395 to \$514 in December 2028.
20 The two biennia after would have the same five
21 percent increase for cost-of-living increases. The
22 increases from both applications and renewals will
23 bring in enough revenue to bring the Board back into
24 the positive. He stated if the package was approved,
25 the Regulatory team would begin the process to

1 implement the fees. The process takes approximately
2 two years which is why the proposal is for December
3 2028.

4 Mr. Koch showed the Board how the revenue will
5 change based on the fee increases. He noted because
6 the Board operates independently from other boards,
7 it is imperative to keep the restricted balance at a
8 healthy amount to avoid any financial trouble. He
9 concluded by stating the Board could address any
10 future questions to the Board Administrator who can
11 pass them along. He also hoped Acting Commissioner
12 Claggett could provide the requested clarification on
13 the licensing modernization project.]

14 ***

15 MS. KEEFER JONES:

16 So I believe they are looking for a
17 motion to approve moving forward with a
18 fee increase regulatory package.

19 CHAIR SEDA:

20 So, yes, I guess I'll do a motion to do
21 the fee increase.

22 MS. KEEFER JONES:

23 Okay, so we have a motion. Do we have
24 a second?

25 DR. GREENBERG:

1 Second.

2 [There was a brief explanation that noted the motion
3 was to authorize Regulatory Counsel to draft a
4 regulation to present to the Board for discussion at
5 that time.]

6

7 Christopher Seda, aye; Deidre Bowers,
8 abstain; Edwin Hart, aye; Eric
9 Greenburg, aye; Walker Kmetz, aye.

10 [The motion carried. Ms. Bowers abstained from
11 voting on the motion.]

12 ***

13 [Mr. Koch offered to return later in the year with an
14 interim financial report to show how the current
15 fiscal year ends.]

16 ***

17 Report of Prosecutors

18 [Timothy Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecution
19 Liaison, presented the Consent Agreement for Agenda
20 Item No. 5, Case Nos. 25-44-014690 and 25-44-014691.]

21 ***

22 MS. KEEFER JONES:

23 Is there anything in Attorney
24 Henderson's presentation that will
25 cause Board Members to want to go back

1 into Executive Session?

2 Seeing none, I believe the Board
3 Chair would entertain a motion to adopt
4 the Consent Agreement and Order at Case
5 No. 25-44-014690 and Case No. 25-44-
6 014691.

7 DR. HART:

8 I make a motion.

9 DR. GREENBERG:

10 I second those motions.

11 MS. KEEFER JONES:

12 And I would just note that Dr. Seda is
13 recused.

14

15 Christopher Seda, recused; Deidre
16 Bowers, abstain; Edwin Hart, aye; Eric
17 Greenburg, aye; Walker Kmetz, aye.

18 [The motion carried. Dr. Seda was recused from
19 deliberations and voting on the matter. Ms. Bowers
20 abstained from deliberations and voting on the
21 matter. The Respondent for Agenda Item No. 5, Case
22 Nos. 25-44-014690 and 25-44-014691 is Irina Y. Gross,
23 DPM.]

24

25 Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Status Report

1 [Addie Abelson, Esquire, Regulatory Counsel explained
2 most items were continuing as previously reported
3 with no significant changes. She noted Regulation
4 16A-4420, regarding Radiologic Procedures, had been
5 delivered to Independent Regulatory Review Commission
6 (IRRC) on February 9, 2026 and opened for public
7 comment. No public comments or legislative comments
8 were received. IRRC had not yet commented but had
9 until April 22, 2026 to provide comments.

10 Ashley Keefer Jones, Esquire, Board Counsel,
11 reported on Regulation 16A-4421, General Revisions,
12 that she had provided the Board with two items to
13 look at. The first was the section of the General
14 Revisions draft previously reviewed which pertained
15 to the Standards of Professional Conduct along with
16 the American Podiatric Medical Association's Code of
17 Ethics. A suggestion had been received from Senior
18 Regulatory Counsel that the Board may want to
19 consider adopting the APMA Code of Ethics as the
20 Standards of Professional Conduct.

21 Ms. Keefer Jones stated the second point of
22 discussion was surrounding the Code of Ethics from
23 Pennsylvania Podiatric Medical Association (PPMA).
24 She noted the PPMA Code of Ethics was published in
25 September 2013 while the APMA Code of Ethics was

1 published in March 2022. She noted there are some
2 aspects of the APMA Code of Ethics which are not
3 included in the PPMA version. She recommended the
4 Board consider having a discussion regarding the two
5 versions and perhaps having Mr. Barth from PPMA
6 explain the differences for further clarification.
7 It was decided to table the discussion until the June
8 meeting and invite Mr. Barth to present.]

9 ***

10 Report of Board Counsel

11 MS. KEEFER JONES:

12 Moving on to Item No. 7 on the Agenda,
13 I believe the Chair would entertain a
14 motion to grant the Commonwealth motion
15 to Enter Default and Deem Facts
16 Admitted and authorize Counsel to draft
17 and issue an Adjudication and Order in
18 accordance with the discussions in
19 Executive Sessions for Item No. 7,
20 David Calderone, D.P.M., Case No. 24-
21 44-018816.

22 DR. HART:

23 I'll make the motion.

24 DR. GREENBERG:

25 Second the motion.

1

2

Christopher Seda, aye; Deidre Bowers,

3

abstain; Edwin Hart, aye; Eric

4

Greenburg, aye; Walker Kmetz, aye.

5

[The motion carried. Ms. Bowers abstained from

6

voting on the motion.]

7

8

MS. KEEFER JONES:

9

Moving on to Item No. 8 on the Board's

10

Agenda. This is the Proposed Report.

11

In the matter of Michael Karason,

12

D.P.M., I believe the Chair would

13

entertain a motion to adopt the Hearing

14

Examiner's Proposed Report and

15

substitute Board Order.

16

DR. HART:

17

I make a motion that we adopt the

18

Report and substitute Board Order.

19

DR. GREENBERG:

20

I second that.

21

22

Christopher Seda, aye; Deidre Bowers,

23

abstain; Edwin Hart, aye; Eric

24

Greenburg, aye; Walker Kmetz, aye.

25

[The motion carried. Ms. Bowers abstained from

1 voting on the motion.]

2 ***

3 MS. KEEFER JONES:

4 For Item Nos. 9 and 10 on the Board
5 Agenda, I believe the Chair would
6 entertain a motion to ratify the
7 approval of Jefferson Health Northeast
8 applications for CE programs.

9 DR. HART:

10 I make a motion to ratify those CE
11 programs.

12 DR. GREENBERG:

13 Second.

14
15 Christopher Seda, aye; Deidre Bowers,
16 abstain; Edwin Hart, aye; Eric
17 Greenburg, aye; Walker Kmetz, aye.

18 [The motion carried. Ms. Bowers abstained from
19 voting on the motion.]

20 ***

21 Report of Board Chair - No Report

22 ***

23 Report of Acting Commissioner - No Report.

24 ***

25 Report of Board Administrator

1 [Priscilla Turek, Board Administrator, provided an
2 update on American Podiatric Medical Licensing
3 Examination (APMLE) scores were no longer being
4 provided through the Federation of Podiatric Medical
5 Boards. All score reports are now being handled
6 through the National Board of Podiatric Medical
7 Examiners (NBPME) and their Measure Learning Connect
8 Portal. Applicants can request their scores through
9 the portal and Measure Learning sends the reports
10 directly to the Board and Applicant via PDFS by
11 email. The PDFs do not include authentication or
12 some form of tamper-proof feature. She stated the
13 question was if the Board wished to accept the scores
14 only from Measure Learning or if they would also
15 accept the PDFs directly from applicants.

16 Chair Seda noted the topic had previously been
17 discussed.

18 Ms. Keefer Jones stated the Board had been unable
19 to take a vote on the topic in February due to a lack
20 of quorum.

21 After a brief discussion, the Board agreed the
22 scores should come directly from the Measure Learning
23 Connect Portal and not from the applicant.]

24 ***

25 MS. KEEFER JONES:

1 I believe the Chair would entertain a
2 motion to accept AMPLE scores only from
3 Measure Learning via their secured
4 portal.

5 DR. HART:

6 I make the motion that we receive these
7 scores through the secured portal
8 alone.

9 DR. GREENBERG:

10 Second.

11

12 Christopher Seda, aye; Deidre Bowers,
13 abstain; Edwin Hart, aye; Eric
14 Greenburg, aye; Walker Kmetz, aye.

15 [The motion carried. Ms. Bowers abstained from
16 voting on the motion.]

17 ***

18 Report of Committees - No Report

19 ***

20 Report of Board Members Who Attended a Meeting on
21 Behalf of the Board - No Report

22 ***

23 For the Board's Information/Discussion - No Items

24 ***

25 Public Comment - No Comments

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Adjournment

CHAIR SEDA:

Our Board Meeting dates for the rest of
2026 and 2027 are listed on the Agenda
as well as on the website. Anything
else?

Motion to adjourn?

DR. HART:

Motion to adjourn, Hart.

DR. GREENBERG:

Second.

[There being no further business, the State Board of
Podiatry Meeting adjourned at 11:35 a.m.]

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Podiatry meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Podiatry meeting.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Laurie Elliott", written over a horizontal line.

Laurie Elliott

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY
REFERENCE INDEX

April 15, 2026

TIME	AGENDA
10:00	Executive Session
10:30	Return to Open Session
10:37	Official Call to Order
10:38	Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
10:38	Approval of Minutes
10:42	Appointment - Annual Prosecution Report
11:25	Regulatory Status Report
11:29	Report of Board Counsel
11:32	Report of Board Administrator
11:35	Adjournment