State Board of Podiatry February 19, 2025

2 3 4 5 6

BOARD MEMBERS:

Arion R. Claggett, Acting Commissioner, Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs
Eric B. Greenberg, D.P.M., J.D., Chairman
Christopher A. Seda, D.P.M., Vice Chairman
William D. Fetchik, D.O., Secretary
Edwin Hart, DPM
Steven Shannon, D.P.M.
Walker Kmetz, Public Member

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ashley D. Keefer, Esquire, Board Counsel Thomas M. Davis, Esquire, Regulatory Board Counsel Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor Timothy J. Henderson, Esquire, Board Prosecution Liaison

Carlton Smith, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution Division Priscilla Turek, Board Administrator

ALSO PRESENT:

Jessica Ashman, Sargent's Court Reporting Service, Inc.

* * *

1

3

4

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2 State Board of Podiatry

February 19, 2025

* * *

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:50 a.m. the Board entered into Executive Session with Ashley D. Keefer, Esquire, Board Counsel, for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to receive the advice of counsel. The Board returned to open session at 10:31 a.m.]

13 ***

The regularly scheduled meeting of the State

Board of Podiatry was held on Wednesday, February 19,

2025. Eric B. Greenberg, D.P.M., J.D., Chairman,

called the meeting to order at 10:31 a.m.

* * *

[Ashley D. Keefer, Esquire, Board Counsel, noted the meeting was being recorded and voluntary participation constituted consent to be recorded.

Ms. Keefer also noted that the Board entered into Executive Session for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations on a number of matters that are currently pending before the Board and to

```
4
   receive the advice of counsel.]
1
2
3
   Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
4
   [Priscilla Turek, Board Administrator, provided an
5
   introduction of the Board members, staff, and
6
   audience in attendance. A quorum of Board members
7
   was present.]
8
                              * * *
9
   Approval of minutes of the December 16, 2024 meeting
10
   CHAIRMAN GREENBERG:
11
                 Let's move on to approval of the minutes
12
                 from the December 16, 2024 meeting.
13
   DR. HART:
14
                 Motion to approve.
15
   CHAIRMAN GREENBERG:
16
                 Second?
   DR. SEDA:
17
18
                 Second.
19
   CHAIRMAN GREENBERG:
20
                 Roll call.
21
22
                 Arion Claggett, aye; Eric Greenberg,
23
                 approved; Christopher Seda, approved;
                 William Fetchik, approve; Edwin Hart,
24
25
                 approved; Steven Shannon, approved;
```

1 Walker Kmetz, approved.

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

4 | Appointment - Annual Prosecution Report

5 | [Carlton Smith, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel,

6 Prosecution Division, presented the Annual

7 Prosecution Report.

Chair Greenberg first asked Mr. Smith for screenshots as part of the record and was advised by Mr. Smith that he would forward a copy of same to the Board.

Mr. Smith explained there were 1,588 active licensees under the Podiatry Board. He then advised that the prosecutorial division had opened 63 cases in 2024, ten less than 2023. He stated that 62 cases were closed in 2024, and the number of current cases open was 89.

Chair Greenberg asked Mr. Smith how he thought the Podiatry Board was doing as a profession compared to other medical boards. Mr. Smith responded that the annual report with this information had been published and was available. He noted that other medical boards had similar numbers. Ms. Keefer advised that a copy of the annual report had been sent to the Board members.

Mr. Smith advised the Board that it took the prosecutorial division an average of about 396 days to close a case, which was an improvement over the 461 days it took them in 2023.

He also explained the process that could determine the length of time for a case to close. He stated the initial complaint would first go to BEI (Bureau of Enforcement and Investigation), and they would interview the licensees and witnesses to the complaint. When a final report by BEI was completed, it is sent to the prosecutorial division who would decide what charges, if any, should be filed.

Mr. Smith stated that if a complaint is alleging a below standard of care violation by a licensee, an expert opinion report would be needed to prove or dis-prove the claim. Obtaining an expert's opinion or report on a potential breach of care violation can be time consuming.

Mr. Smith indicated that for those cases or allegations that are less serious violations, warning letters, reprimands, fines or probation can be utilized to address the violation. When a warning letter is sent out, both the complainant and the licensee will get a copy of the letter. A warning letter is not considered to be an official form of

discipline.

Mr. Smith explained some cases are categorized as prosecution not warranted cases, meaning that no charges are filed against the alleged violator. This could be due to a lack of evidence to support the allegation, a lack of cooperating witnesses, no medical records to support the complaint, etc. Mr. Smith stated there were 39 prosecution not warranted cases in 2024.

Chair Greenberg then asked Mr. Smith what the policy was for the prosecutorial division when both an active investigation and civil litigation might be taking place concurrently. Mr. Smith advised that ongoing civil litigation would not necessarily hinder an investigation from moving forward, but a civil litigation lawsuit that commences prior to an investigation could raise obstacles, such as a non-disclosure agreement as part of the resolution or instructions by their attorneys not to speak to Board attorneys while the civil lawsuit is pending.

Chair Greenberg then asked about the policy of the Prosecution Division regarding interviewing licensees during or before civil litigation.

Paul J. Jarabeck, Esquire, Senior Board

Prosecutor, replied by stating, if an investigation

```
had already begun before the commencement of a civil
1
2
   suit, there was no duty by the prosecution division
3
   to halt the investigation on that basis. He added
   that the alleged violator could choose not to speak
4
5
   with them once a civil suit begins. Mr. Jarabeck
6
   also advised that the subject of the investigation
7
   could be required by law to release medical records
   from their practice for the investigation.
       Mr. Smith asked for questions or comments.
10
   Hearing none, he concluded the presentation.]
11
12
   Report of Prosecutors - No report.
13
14
    Report of Board Counsel - The Sunshine Act and
15
       Recusal
   [Ashley Keefer, Esquire, Board Counsel, presented on
16
17
   The Sunshine Act and Recusal.
18
       Ms. Keefer began by stating to the Board that The
19
   Sunshine Act ensures transparency and affords the
20
   public the right to be present at agency meetings.
21
   She further noted that any agency business or
   establishment of policy voting is considered an
22
23
   official action that the public must be privy to.
24
   The public must have at least three days' notice of
25
   the upcoming meeting with the date, time, location
```

and agenda for same.

Ms. Keefer stated that each vote in a meeting has to be recorded and publicly passed. Meeting minutes are also to be accurately recorded and approved by the Board.

She commented that certain meeting activities by the Board do not need to be publicly held. Activities such as conferences, seminars and Executive Sessions need not give notice to the public. Executive sessions are used to consult with Board Counsel and may take place either before, during or after a public session.

Ms. Keefer noted committees are a part of the Board and are there to help advance the business of same.

Ms. Keefer advised that there are penalties for violating The Sunshine Act. Any violation of The Sunshine Act during a meeting could result in negating the results of same as well as having fines imposed for the violation..

Ms. Keefer spoke about recusal, noting any Board member having a personal financial interest in the outcome of a case is required to remove themselves from the case. Further, any Board member that might have a personal relationship with an individual

directly involved in a case must recuse themselves
from the case as well. Discretionary recusals can be
utilized for any case where an implicit bias might
exist within the mind of a member that could
potentially cause them to vote unfairly in a case.

Ms. Keefer stressed the need for integrity by the Board so that it would not be seen as creating the appearance of impropriety in any of its' official actions. Every voting member has the right to seek counsel in an Executive Session if they have uncertainty or concern about their role in a particular official matter. They also have an obligation to recuse themselves from that matter should they feel uncertain about it.

The distinction between Abstention and Recusal were noted. For the purposes of becoming a Board member, conflicts of interest were identified and clarified.

19 ***

Report of Board Counsel - Regulatory Report

[Thomas Davis, Esquire, Regulatory Board Counsel, is working on a number of regulations, and counsel is also continuing to move ahead with the Act 41 regulations.]

25 ***

11 1 Report of Board Chair - No report 2 3 Report of Acting Commissioner - No report 4 5 Report of Board Administrator -[Ms. Priscilla Turek reminded the Board that the 6 7 statement of financial interest needed to be completed by May 1, 2025.] 9 10 For Applications for Licensure - Continuing Education 11 Program Approval - DOH Accreditation Review MS. KEEFER: 12 13 Based on the discussions in Executive 14 Session I believe the Chair would 15 entertain the motion to grant the CE 16 course application for the Department of 17 Health for its' Opioid CE program. 18 CHAIR GREENBERG: Motion. 19 20 DR. SEDA: 21 Motion to approve. 22 CHAIR GREENBERG: 23 Second and a roll call. 24 25 Arion Claggett, aye; Eric Greenberg, aye;

```
12
1
                 Christopher Seda, aye; William Fetchik,
2
                 aye; Edwin Hart, aye; Steven Shannon,
3
                 aye; Walker Kmetz, aye.
4
   [The motion carried unanimously.]
                              * * *
5
   For the Boards Information / Discussion - Board
6
7
       Meeting Dates for 2025 and 2026
   [Chairman Greenberg stated that the Board already had
   their meeting dates for the remainder of 2025: April
10
   23, June 18, August 20, October 22 and December 17.
   Court dates for 2026 are: February 18, April 15, June
11
12
   17, August 19, October 28 and December 16.]
13
14
   Adjournment
15
   CHAIRMAN GREENBERG:
                 This meeting for the State Board of
16
17
                 Podiatry of February 19, 2025 is now
18
                 concluded.
                             Thank you.
                              * * *
19
20
   [There being no further business, the State Board of
21
   Podiatry Meeting adjourned at 11:05 a.m.]
22
23
24
25
```


CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Podiatry meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and that the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Podiatry meeting.

Juna Aslma

Jessica Ashman,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

		14
$\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 2 & 3 & 3$		STATE BOARD OF PODIATRY REFERENCE INDEX
		February 19, 2025
	TIME	AGENDA
	9:50	Executive Session
	10:30	Return to Open Session
	10:30	Official Call to Order
	10:31	Introduction of Board Members/Attendees
	10:32	Approval of Minutes
	10:33	Appointment - Carlton Smith, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel, Prosecution
	10:49	Report of Board Counsel -
	11:01	Report of Board Administrator
	11:02	Applications - for Licensure Continuing Education Program Approval
	11:03	For the Board's Information/Discussion
	11:04	Adjournment