

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

F I N A L M I N U T E S

MEETING OF:

**STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED
REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS**

TIME: 10:30 A.M.

Held at

PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE

2525 North 7th Street

CoPA HUB, Eaton Conference Room

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

as well as

VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS

Thursday, June 12, 2025

State Board of Certified
Real Estate Appraisers
June 12, 2025

BOARD MEMBERS:

Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Chairman, Professional Member -
Absent
William T. Stoerrle Jr., Vice Chairman, Professional
Member
Chandra Mast, Secretary, Professional Member
John Ausherman, Professional Member
Michael McFarlane, Secretary, Professional Member -
Absent
Jonathan B. Schuck, Professional Member
Martha H. Brown, Esquire, Secretary of the
Commonwealth designee
Laura Pittini, Consumer Protection Agent, Office of
Attorney General Designee
Paul H. Wentzel Jr., Senior Legislative Director,
Department of Banking and Securities designee

BUREAU PERSONNEL:

Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel
Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor
and Prosecution Liaison
Ashley P. Murphy, Esquire, Board Prosecutor
Carlton Smith, Esquire, Deputy Chief Counsel,
Prosecution Division
Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator
Eyram Gbeddy, Legal Intern, Pennsylvania Governor's
Office of General Counsel

ALSO PRESENT:

Peter Fontana, State Harmonization Task Force, The
Appraisal Foundation, AARO Board member,
Cornerstone Appraisal Service
John Russell, State Harmonization Task Force, The
Appraisal Foundation, Home Appraisal Equity
Advisory Committee, City of Philadelphia
Scott Dibiasio, Director of Government Affairs, The
Appraisal Institute
Michelle Bradley, Certified General Appraiser,
Appraiser Qualifications Board Certified, Uniform
Standards of Professional Appraiser Practice
Educator

State Board of Certified
Real Estate Appraisers
June 12, 2025

ALSO PRESENT: (cont.)

Mark Shiffman, Executive Director, Real Estate
Valuation Advocacy Association
John Dingeman, Designated Member National Association
of Appraisers, Chief Appraiser Class Valuation
Jordan Petkovski, Chief Valuations Officer, Mueller
Reports
Eric Tsai, Co-founder and Growth Advisor, Asteroom
Francesca Tracy, Compliance Analyst, The CE Shop
Tracy Matroni, Chapter Executive Director Keystone
Chapter of the Appraisal Institute
Teresa Cochran, Executive Director, Assessors'
Association of Pennsylvania
Emme Reiser, Political Programs Manager Pennsylvania
Association of Realtors
Andy Toy, Pennsylvania Association of Community
Development Corporation
Erin Dixon, Certified Residential Appraiser, Analyst
Minnesota Department of Commerce
Jesse Hebert, Deputy Director, Division of Real
Estate, Florida Department of Business and
Professional Regulation
Kevin Hayes, Deputy of Appraisals, Pinellas County
Property Appraiser
Grace Reiter
Call-In 1-561-213-0545
Erin Badstuebner, Sargent's Court Reporting Service,
Inc.

State Board of Certified

Real Estate Appraisers

June 12, 2025

[Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act, at 9:00 a.m., the Board entered into Executive Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations. The Board returned to open session at 10:30 a.m.]

[Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed everyone that the meeting of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was being held in a hybrid format, in person and by livestream teleconference, pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which requires boards to use a virtual platform to conduct business when a public meeting is held.

Mr. Rouse noted the meeting was being recorded and voluntary participation constituted consent to be recorded.

Mr. Rouse also noted the Board entered into Executive Session with Board Counsel to have attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of

1 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.

2 Mr. Rouse noted that William T. Stoerrle Jr.,
3 Vice Chairman, Professional Member, would be chairing
4 the meeting in the absence of Mark V. Smeltzer Sr.,
5 Chairman, Professional Member.]

6 ***

7 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State
8 Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held on
9 Thursday, June 12, 2025. William T. Stoerrle Jr.,
10 Vice Chairman, Professional Member, officially called
11 the meeting to order at 10:30 a.m.

12 ***

13 Roll Call

14 [William T. Stoerrle Jr., Vice Chairman, Professional
15 Member requested a roll call of Board members. A
16 quorum was present.]

17 ***

18 Introduction of Attendees

19 [Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator,
20 provided an introduction of attendees.]

21 ***

22 Approval of minutes of the April 24, 2025 meeting

23 VICE-CHAIR STOERRLE:

24 At this time, everybody had an
25 opportunity to review the minutes from

1 last meeting.

2 Any discussion, changes,
3 directions? If not, I would entertain
4 a motion to accept the minutes from our
5 last meeting.

6 MR. WENTZEL:

7 So moved.

8 MR. AUSERMAN:

9 Second.

10 VICE-CHAIR STORRLE:

11 All in favor? We need a roll call.

12

13 William Storrrle, aye; Chandra Mast,
14 aye; John Auserman, aye; Jonathan
15 Schuck, aye; Martha Brown, abstain;
16 Laura Pittini, abstain; Paul Wentzel,
17 aye.

18 [The motion carried. Martha Brown and Laura Pittini
19 abstained from voting on the motion.]

20 ***

21 Report of Prosecutorial Division - No Report

22 ***

23 Report of Board Counsel - Miscellaneous

24 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, introduced
25 Agenda Item No. 2, FYI of the AQB Course Approval

1 Program (CAP) Policies and Procedures Concerning
2 Equivalent Asynchronous USPAP Update Course
3 Requirements.

4 Mr. Rouse stated the FYI particularly pertained
5 to education providers. The Appraiser Qualifications
6 Board (AQB) has retired its Functional Specifications
7 document, which was used in the development of
8 equivalent asynchronous Uniform Standards of
9 Professional Appraisal Practice (USPAP) update
10 courses.

11 He then stated equivalent USPAP course providers
12 who wish to obtain approval through the AQB's Course
13 Approval Program (CAP) must follow the CAP Policies
14 and Procedures document effective June 1, 2025.
15 Appendix six was added to the CAP policy document to
16 summarize the core instructional elements that AQB
17 retained from the previous Functional Specifications
18 document. The updated CAP policy document can be
19 found on the Appraisal Foundation's website and the
20 Board has a copy as well.]

21 ***

22 Regulations - Regulation 16A-7029 Distance Education
23 and PAREA

24 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, introduced
25 Agenda Item No. 3, the Regulation 16A-7029 Distance

1 Education and PAREA.

2 He stated he was happy to report that the final
3 rulemaking was approved by the Independent Regulatory
4 Review Commission (IRRC) on May 15, 2025, and the
5 Office of Attorney General approved for legality on
6 June 5, 2025. Therefore, the final rulemaking will
7 be published in the June 21, 2025 edition of the
8 *Pennsylvania Bulletin*. The CREA regulations have
9 been officially amended to include revisions
10 concerning distance education and Practical
11 Applications of Real Estate Appraisal (PAREA). PAREA
12 will now be accepted in the Commonwealth of
13 Pennsylvania.

14 Ms. Hemler stated for the public that PAREA would
15 be official June 21, 2025. It will be for 100%
16 residential experience and 50% for general.]

17 ***

18 Regulations - Regulatory Report

19 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, reported on
20 Agenda Item No. 4, Regulatory Report. He stated all
21 Board members have a copy of the report on the status
22 of the other regulations that are ongoing. He is
23 working with the Regulatory Counsel on moving other
24 regulations forward.]

25 ***

1 Applications for Review

2 MR. ROUSE:

3 Regarding the certified residential
4 appraiser application of Grace Reiter at
5 Item No. 9, I believe the Chair would
6 entertain a motion to grant the
7 application.

8 MS. HEMLER:

9 Grant the education portion of the
10 application?

11 MR. ROUSE:

12 Let's do it this way. I believe the
13 Chair would entertain a motion grant the
14 educational portion in the application
15 for Grace Reiter.

16 MS. BROWN:

17 Martha Brown, so moved.

18 MR. AUSERMAN:

19 John Auserman, second.

20 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

21 Any discussion? If not, I take a vote.

22
23 William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast, aye;
24 John Auserman, aye; Jonathan Schuck,
25 aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura Pittini,

1 aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 ***

4 Review of Requests - Renewal Extension Requests for
5 Licensed Appraiser Trainees

6 MR. ROUSE:

7 I believe the Chair would entertain a
8 motion to grant the licensed appraiser
9 trainee renewal extension for Diego
10 Miguel Ferrer at Item No. 10 on the
11 Agenda.

12 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

13 Do we have a motion?

14 MR. AUSERMAN:

15 John Auserman, I so move.

16 MS. MAST:

17 Chandra Mast, second.

18 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

19 Any discussion? If not.

20

21 William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast, aye;
22 John Auserman, aye; Jonathan Schuck,
23 aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura Pittini,
24 aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

25 [The motion carried unanimously.]

MR. ROUSE:

I believe the Chair would entertain a motion to grant the licensed appraiser trainee renewal extension for Cheryl Bertucci at item no. 11 on the agenda.

VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

You have a motion.

MS. MAST:

Chandra Mast, I motion.

MR. AUSERMAN:

John Auserman, second.

VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

And take a vote.

William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast, aye; John Auserman, aye; Jonathan Schuck, aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura Pittini, aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

[The motion carried unanimously.]

MR. ROUSE:

I believe the Chair will entertain a motion to grant the licensed appraiser trainee renewal extension for Elizabeth

1 Richardson at item no. 12 on the agenda.

2 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

3 Do we have a motion?

4 MR. AUSERMAN:

5 John Auserman, so move.

6 MS. MAST:

7 Chandra Mast, I second.

8 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

9 And roll call.

10

11 William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast, aye;

12 John Auserman, aye; Jonathan Schuck,

13 aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura Pittini,

14 aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

15 [The motion carried unanimously.]

16 ***

17 MR. ROUSE:

18 I believe the Chair would entertain a

19 motion to grant the licensed appraiser

20 trainee renewal extension for Amanda

21 McClane at item No. 13 on the agenda.

22 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

23 Do we have a motion?

24 MS. BROWN;

25 Martha Brown, so moved.

1 MS. PITTINI:

2 Laura Pittini, second.

3 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

4 And a vote?

5

6 William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast, aye;

7 John Ausherman, aye; Jonathan Schuck,

8 aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura Pittini,

9 aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

10 [The motion carried unanimously.]

11 ***

12 MR. ROUSE:

13 I believe the Chair would entertain a

14 motion to grant the extension to

15 reactivate the appraiser trainee license

16 of Edward Thompson at item no. 14 on the

17 agenda.

18 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

19 And do we have a motion for Mr. Thompson?

20 MS. MAST:

21 Chandra Mast, I motion.

22 MS. BROWN:

23 Martha Brown, second.

24 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

25 And can we have the vote?

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast, aye;
John Ausherman, aye; Jonathan Schuck,
aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura Pittini,
aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

[The motion carried unanimously.]

MR. ROUSE:

I believe the Chair would entertain a
motion to grant the licensed appraiser
trainee renewal extension for Brian Layne
Greenholt at item no, 15 on the agenda.

VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

And do we have a motion for Mr.
Greenholt?

MR. AUSERMAN:

John Ausherman. I so move.

MS. PITTINI:

Laura Pittini, second.

VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

And a vote.

William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast, aye;
John Ausherman, aye; Jonathan Schuck,
aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura Pittini,

1 aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

2 [The motion carried unanimously.]

3 ***

4 Miscellaneous

5 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, introduced
6 Agenda Item No. 16, the Association of Appraiser
7 Regulatory Officials (AARO) Fall Conference, October
8 5-8, 2025, in Atlanta, Georgia.

9 ***

10 SECRETARY CHANDRA MAST ASSUMED THE CHAIR.

11 ***

12 MS. BROWN:

13 I make a motion that Bill Stoerrle attend
14 on behalf of the Board.

15 MR. AUSERMAN:

16 I second, John Auserman.

17 William Stoerrle, abstain; Chandra Mast,
18 aye; John Auserman, aye; Jonathan
19 Schuck, aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura
20 Pittini, aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

21 [The motion carried. William Stoerrle abstained from
22 voting on the motion.]

23 ***

24 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE RESUMED AS CHAIR.

25 ***

1 Report of Board Administrator- Proposed 2028 Board
2 Meeting Dates

3 [Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator,
4 announced that the Board needed to vote on proposed
5 2028 Board dates.]

6 MR. ROUSE:

7 And I believe the Chair would entertain a
8 motion to approve the 2028 Board meeting
9 dates for the State Board of Certified
10 Real Estate Appraisers.

11 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

12 Do we have a motion?

13 MR. AUSERMAN:

14 I'll make a motion that the dates be
15 approved that were discussed in the
16 Executive Board meeting.

17 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

18 And do we have a second?

19 MS. PITTINI:

20 Laura Pittini, second.

21

22 William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast, aye;
23 John Auserman, aye; Jonathan Schuck,
24 aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura Pittini,
25 aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

1 [The motion carried unanimously. The meeting dates
2 for 2028 are January 20, March 9, April 20, June 1,
3 July 13, August 24, October 5 and November 16.]

4 ***

5 Report of Board Chairman - No Report

6 ***

7 Report of Board Administrator - Helpful Suggestions
8 for 2025 Renewals/Updates

9 [Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator,
10 provided an update on the renewals with the
11 Appraisers and Appraisal Management Company.

12 Ms. Hemler stated, as of June 11, 2025, the
13 renewal rates were appraisal management companies 66
14 percent; broker appraisers 54 percent; general
15 appraisers 51 percent; certified Pennsylvania
16 evaluators 52 percent; residential appraisers 59
17 percent; and licensed appraiser trainees 23 percent.
18 She reminded the Board that the deadline is June 30,
19 and licensed appraiser trainee numbers would always
20 be low because they aspire to be residential or
21 general appraiser.

22 Ms. Hemler shared her screen for the public to
23 see helpful hints on where to find the renewal
24 information. She directed all to the Department of
25 State website for CREA at www.dos.pa.gov/real. There

1 is a link under Board pages for renewal information,
2 and there are two PDFs there that give suggestions on
3 renewing certification or license for the licensee or
4 the Appraiser Management Company (AMC) showing the
5 step-by-step process. If there are any questions,
6 she stated the public can call the Board office at
7 833-DOS-BPOA.

8 Michelle Bradley asked how many broker appraiser
9 renewals remain. Ms. Hemler responded there were 219
10 left, but they do not offer that type of license any
11 longer. She stated broker appraiser certification
12 was grandfathered in, and there is only the ability
13 to reactivate or renew.

14 The question about the drop-dead date for
15 renewals was asked. Ms. Hemler responded that there
16 was no longer a grace period. If someone has not
17 renewed by June 30, then they will wake up on July 1
18 to an expired notification.

19 Mr. Ausherman inquired as to how this year's
20 renewal rate compared to last year's rate. Ms.
21 Hemler responded that it was very similar and expects
22 a push at the end of the period. She encouraged
23 everyone to get it done as it is easy, online and you
24 pay with a credit card. She stated people will have
25 their license in a matter of days as most of them are

1 auto renewed with the exception of appraisal
2 management companies which she does manually.

3 Board members expressed thanks to Ms. Hemler and
4 her staff for the tremendous job done thus far this
5 year. Vice Chair Stoerrle stated he recently spoke
6 with the Governor's Office and their major area of
7 concern was the ability to get the licenses turned
8 around.

9 Mr. Ausherman added that appraisal management
10 companies were asking for expiration notices four
11 months ago. Ms. Hemler responded she would soon
12 contact people through email that she had not heard
13 from yet as well as those who completed Appraiser
14 Subcommittee (ASC) annual registry but not
15 Pennsylvania AMC renewal. Following that, contact
16 will be made by phone. She stated they were emailed
17 three times before the phone call to make sure they
18 knew the date was June 30, 2025.

19 Vice Chair Stoerrle requested by the next July
20 meeting to be informed if the Board had gained or
21 lost licenses. Ms. Hemler will provide a report at
22 the July meeting.]

23 ***

24 Appointments- The Appraisal Foundation's State
25 Harmonization Task Force

1 [Peter Fontana, State Harmonization Task Force, The
2 Appraisal Foundation, AARO Board member, Cornerstone
3 Appraisal Service introduced the presentation topic.
4 He stated the State Harmonization Board at the
5 Appraisal Foundation is visiting states to get them
6 to be more consistent with respect to overlays within
7 the AQB criteria and so that they know that the
8 criteria are open and under examination this year and
9 there will be changes in the criteria moving forward.
10 He then turned the presentation over to Mr. Russell.

11 John Russell, State Harmonization Task Force,
12 The Appraisal Foundation, Home Appraisal Equity
13 Advisory Committee, City of Philadelphia, introduced
14 himself and stated the task force came about when the
15 Appraisal Foundation realized that out of the 55
16 states and jurisdictions that license appraisers,
17 only nine accept the AQB criteria as written. He
18 said this meant that there are 46 other state and
19 jurisdictions that are adding overlays which are
20 requirements that exceed AQB minimums as promulgated
21 by that state or jurisdiction's board.

22 Mr. Russell explained that because they did not
23 know why the overlays existed, the Appraisal
24 Foundation thought that creating a group that can be
25 a resource to facilitate conversations around the

1 added burdens would allow ways to reduce, remove or
2 streamline those extra requirements.

3 He stated the goal of the task force is to be a
4 resource for the Board regarding regulations. He
5 acknowledged that this was the first of many
6 conversations, but he wanted to spend some time
7 talking about assessors and mass appraisal
8 experience.

9 Mr. Russell explained that they were
10 anticipating a wave of exits from the appraisal
11 profession and thought that the solution to the
12 potential problem lay in the assessor population as
13 it is the most workforce ready population in the
14 United States today. He further explained that a
15 variety of reasons make the workforce of assessors a
16 good option to transition into appraisers. He also
17 stated the International Association of Assessing
18 Officers see the same opportunities that the
19 Appraisal Foundation sees in being able to transition
20 highly qualified, seasoned professionals into the
21 next step of their professional journey. Part of the
22 task force's efforts include ways to make that
23 transition as efficient as possible.

24 Mr. Russell stated the reason they anticipate
25 losing part of the current workforce sooner rather

1 than later is because of the beta adoption of the
2 Uniform Appraisal Data Set 3.6 as well as associated
3 forms and formats. Currently appraisers can use
4 pencil and paper; however, the new data set 3.6 will
5 compel the use of a mobile device for the process.
6 He gave the example that A La Mode is one of the
7 largest software providers in the country for
8 appraisal forms and practitioners, which has a mobile
9 application. However, of their users, only 22
10 percent use the application meaning that four out of
11 every five appraisers have opted out of the same
12 technology that they will be forced into using slowly
13 starting this fall. This will soon be the new
14 normal. It was conservatively estimated that they
15 will lose at least 15 percent of the appraiser
16 workforce due to the change.

17 Mr. Russell explained that the talent pool
18 existed to be able to backfill the profession so
19 there would still be efficient and continued mortgage
20 lending in the Commonwealth, as well as still having
21 a robust pool of licensees that the Board oversees.
22 He stated the good news was that the Board already
23 has mass appraisal experience listed in their
24 appraisal section.

25 Mr. Russell stated §36.11(e)(2), in the

1 regulation states that at least 75 percent of all
2 experience that is gained must be gained in the
3 completion of a real estate appraisal that includes
4 an interior and exterior inspection. He continued to
5 state that the regulation means that they are capping
6 the accepted experience from assessor professionals
7 at 25 percent, because the assessors at most get
8 exterior photos of property. He used the example of
9 an assessor with ten years of experience is then told
10 that they need 1100 hours or 1150 hours of additional
11 experience to become an appraiser.

12 Mr. Russell also stated §36.11(e.4)(ii) has
13 language as it pertains to mass appraisal experience
14 where they have to demonstrate the techniques similar
15 to appraisal in order to justify the acceptance of
16 that experience. He explained that many assessor
17 offices are already using techniques similar to or
18 adhering directly to USPAP standards five and six.
19 He further explained that if someone were to use the
20 checklist provided in the information for the meeting
21 and were to compare it to an allegedly non-conforming
22 assessor's assessment report, the Board would still
23 find that the report more or less comports with
24 standards five and six.

25 Mr. Fontana explained that the task force and

1 appraisal subcommittee looked at a series of
2 questions to ensure that the minimum requirements of
3 AQB criteria are met. He was concerned about the
4 word technique being in the regulation, because it is
5 difficult to define that even from a standard one and
6 two because there are different techniques in the
7 appraisal process that appraisers can engage in. Mr.
8 Fontana said the general term technique is
9 troublesome when verifying an application.

10 Mr. Fontana explained that this allows for the
11 Board to be assured that the applicant, though
12 education and experience, understands the process to
13 complete an appraisal compliant with standard one and
14 two. He stated, according to the ASC, it can
15 generally be assumed that the experience log, whether
16 it is all mass appraisal or whether it is a
17 combination of different experience levels, complies
18 with USPAP and Board standards. The Board can then
19 be assured that the applicant has the requisite
20 experience to practice with minimum competency and
21 enter the workforce. He said this is why they are
22 encouraging states to relook at their rules with
23 respect to mass appraisal.

24 Mr. Fontana explained that he personally started
25 with no experience and has seen that one can gain the

1 relevant experience needed. He stated standard five
2 and six appraisals come from the same process and
3 workflow as standard one and two but that the results
4 are just coming from a different type of function.

5 Mr. Fontana stated the task force is asking
6 boards to consider why they have the rules and
7 regulations that go over and above the AQB minimum
8 criteria and to perhaps have a statement of
9 reasonable necessity as to why they did it such as
10 reduction in complaints or improvement of test
11 scores. He also stated the Board should consider
12 that the pass-fail ratio for a certified residential
13 appraiser coming into the business that went through
14 the traditional mentor training process is 62
15 percent, meaning that 38 percent of first-time test
16 takers failed the test. He then explained that in
17 contrast PAREA has had all 40 graduates pass the test
18 on the first time and in Colorado they have licensed
19 16 assessor candidates with all assessment experience
20 who all passed the test. This alludes to those
21 people getting as good or far better training than
22 those using the old mentor supervisor trainee model.

23 Mr. Fontana emphasized that those examples are
24 why the task force is asking the states to look at
25 their laws, rules and regulations to see if the

1 barriers to entry are necessary or if they are a
2 hindrance to people getting into the industry. He
3 stated it is his belief that as Board members their
4 job is to protect the public trust mostly from
5 fielding complaints, making sure that appraisers act
6 accordingly, and appraisers are meeting minimum
7 requirements of USPAP and state laws. He stated they
8 should not be interfering with people getting into
9 the business when there is no information to support
10 why the overlays exist.

11 Scott Dibiasio, Director of Government Affairs,
12 The Appraisal Institute spoke about PAREA. He echoed
13 Mr. Fontana regarding PAREA test results. He used
14 the example of a recent call from a state regulator
15 in another state who had just licensed somebody who
16 had gone through the PAREA program. As part of their
17 experience review, the regulator looked at appraisals
18 the person completed as part of the program. The
19 regulator stated in all of his years, he had not seen
20 such a well developed, documented and recorded
21 appraisal. Mr. Dibiasio stated with PAREA
22 participants in Pennsylvania will be just as well
23 qualified if not more qualified once they go through
24 the program as the program is far exceeding
25 expectations and producing well qualified appraisers.

1 Mr. Russell pointed out that the market in
2 connection with mortgage lending work is asking more
3 than ever. Therefore there is a need for appraisers
4 who can understand large amounts of data and make the
5 types of defensible, credible adjustments that are
6 data supported. He stated the assessment world
7 produces professionals that live in that type of
8 data, understand how to use it and how to apply it to
9 their ultimate conclusions.

10 Mr. Russell explained that the genius of PAREA
11 is that there is broad exposure to a wide swath of
12 property types. He shared that in an assessor's
13 office they assess hundreds of parcels at a time that
14 share similar characteristics but each have their own
15 nuances. He further explained that this gives
16 assessors an advantage in the PAREA program by having
17 experience with a broader selection of properties as
18 opposed to the traditional supervisor trainee
19 experience where you are only exposed to whatever
20 property types the supervisor takes in their regular
21 course of business.

22 Mr. Wentzel questioned if it was the Government
23 Sponsored Enterprises (GSE) that were driving the
24 electronic approach and how long that had the process
25 been ongoing. Mr. Russell confirmed that the GSEs

1 are driving the electronic approach, and the process
2 had been ongoing for about eight years.

3 Mr. Wentzel asked for clarification on when the
4 electronic approach will be mandated. Mr. Russell
5 confirmed that the program will be beta this fall so
6 lenders can voluntarily adopt it and then will be
7 mandatory about 12 months later.

8 Mr. Russell added that the Board should also
9 brace themselves for the change in how layouts on
10 forms such as complaints will look different as
11 everything moves towards being electronic. He
12 emphasized that forms will no longer be a unilateral
13 layout, and while the information will remain
14 consistent, every technology vendor will have
15 different layouts.

16 Mr. Fontana stated there will be a presentation
17 at the fall AARO conference on how to understand the
18 new form and use it. He also stated there will be a
19 learning curve for everyone.

20 The question was posed about the education that
21 exists for mortgage underwriters to learn the new
22 form. Mr. Russell responded that Fannie Mae and
23 Freddie Mac are taking the lead on the education, and
24 their website has a shared portal for reference.

25 Ms. Mast thanked the presenters for the

1 information that they had shared. She shared her
2 concerns that the technology will limit technophobes
3 as well as the older generation of appraisers so it
4 is a good thing to think of the assessors as a
5 potential pool of appraisers.

6 Mr. Russell agreed with Ms. Mast and added that
7 he hoped there would be grants made to help retrain
8 the workforce. He acknowledged that the big concern
9 is how to reskill quickly enough and how to identify
10 those who come in with the right skill set. He
11 stated it was one reason why they started looking at
12 assessors since they have been using tablet
13 technology for years. He added that was part of why
14 they were trying to figure out the regulatory
15 barriers that are in place and how to support the
16 states in reducing the barriers to getting a license
17 for appraisal.

18 Ms. Hemler read the comments of Michelle
19 Bradley, Certified General Appraiser, Appraiser
20 Qualifications Board Certified, Uniform Standards of
21 Professional Appraiser Practice Educator. Ms.
22 Bradley said that the Uniform Residential Appraisal
23 Report (URAR) will begin in September and will be
24 mandatory November 2, 2026. She recognized that the
25 majority of appraisers are not only older but do not

1 readily embrace technology and encouraged the Board
2 to listen to the remarks of Mr. Fontana, Mr. Russell
3 and Mr. Dibiasio.

4 There was discussion amongst Board members and
5 Mr. Russell about the fees involved with appraisal
6 services. Concern was raised on if the banks would
7 lower or raise the fees due to the new forms being
8 used. There was also concern that assessors would
9 have to take a pay cut in order to become appraisers
10 because appraiser fees have been basically flat for
11 20 years; however, upload fees on appraisal software
12 continue to increase. Mr. Russell used an example of
13 a member on a sister task force who is a full-time
14 assessor and a part-time fee appraiser. He explained
15 that, thanks to firewalls to avoid conflicts of
16 interest, it is possible to do both jobs such as
17 being an assessor Monday through Friday and an
18 appraiser on the weekends. He acknowledged that it
19 will depend on regulations from state to state.

20 Vice Chair Stoerrle asked if people going
21 through the PAREA program tend to go straight through
22 or if they ask for extensions. Mr. Dibiasio replied
23 that while on the outset the program took longer than
24 anticipated, people are going through now in the
25 desired time frame provided they treat it like a

1 part-time or full-time job. He explained that after
2 some policy adjustments, people now have to sign an
3 agreement when they enter PAREA that limits them to
4 six months to complete the licensed residential (LR)
5 portion and an additional six months to complete the
6 certified residential (CR) portion. He said that the
7 time frames also align with the minimum hours
8 required by the AQB.

9 Ms. Hemler inquired if the CR program was
10 currently available. Mr. Dibiasio said that it is in
11 the pilot stage with ten people currently enrolled
12 and they expect to be able to open it up to general
13 enrollment in the next month or so.

14 Vice Chair Stoerrle asked about the cost
15 involved and if there were scholarships, etc. that
16 were available and if so, where could an individual
17 find out about them. Mr. Dibiasio replied that on
18 the Appraisal Foundation's website there is a section
19 dedicated to PAREA that includes a section on grants
20 and scholarships. He added that there was a \$1.2
21 million grant from the Appraisal Foundation to the
22 Appraiser Development Initiative (ADI) that has
23 significantly expanded the scholarships available.
24 He stated the ADI has broadened their program to
25 diversify the industry across all facets, and the ADI

1 will pay the full cost for someone to go all the way
2 to CR PAREA.

3 Vice Chair Stoerrle inquired as to other schools
4 or institutions that offer PAREA. Mr. Dibiasio
5 responded that there are at least one or two entities
6 that are actively developing PAREA programs.]

7 ***

8 Appointment - Property Data Collection and Hybrid
9 Appraisals

10 [Mark Shiffman, Executive Director, Real Estate
11 Valuation Advocacy Association, presented on the
12 topic of property data collection and hybrid
13 appraisals.

14 Prior to beginning his presentation, Mr.
15 Shiffman remarked on the previous appointment. He
16 pointed out that in regards to the question on
17 available grants and scholarships for PAREA, Senate
18 Bill 1635 was introduced in the U.S. Senate and has a
19 component that broadens the grants available for the
20 Appraisal subcommittee.

21 Mr. Shiffman began his remarks on property data
22 collection and hybrid appraisals and stated they were
23 not advocating at the meeting but educating. He
24 encouraged the Board to follow up on the information
25 provided with other stakeholders that are involved

1 such as the Appraisal Institute, Fannie Mae, Freddie
2 Mac and lender organizations. He explained that the
3 idea of property data collection is broader than just
4 AMCs as there are more non-AMC companies involved.

5 He stated there are 60 companies licensed by or
6 approved by the GSEs including AMCs as well as
7 technology companies. He further stated the idea of
8 property data collection grew out of modernization
9 efforts by the GSEs. Mr. Shiffman continued to state
10 that through those efforts, today Fannie Mae and
11 Freddie Mac offer a suite of options to lenders based
12 on the risk level involved in a transaction. Those
13 options range from appraisal waiver, appraisal waiver
14 plus a property data collection, a hybrid appraisal,
15 a desktop appraisal and a traditional appraisal.

16 Mr. Shiffman explained that the property data
17 collection is only used for two components of those
18 options. The first is the appraisal waiver plus
19 property data collection. In that case, the GSEs
20 determine that a waiver might be appropriate but they
21 need additional information in which the property
22 data report (PDR) is provided to determine the level
23 of risk.

24 Mr. Shiffman stated the second option would be
25 in the case of a hybrid appraisal that combines a

1 desktop appraisal with the information provided by
2 the PDR. In that situation the appraiser does not
3 have to do their own property visit.

4 Mr. Shiffman explained that the first component
5 of the PDR is the property data collector (PDC) who
6 is a trained person who visits the property and
7 collects the necessary data. The PDC has a smart
8 phone or tablet that prompts the needed photos and
9 measurements at the property. All of the information
10 is collected through an application that was created
11 to be consistent with the GSE Uniform Property
12 Dataset. He stated the PDC just gathers the
13 information which goes into the app, and then the app
14 self generates a PDR. He added that PDCs can be a
15 number of different entities. The entities were
16 identified by the GSEs and have been tested to a
17 variety of degrees.

18 Mr. Shiffman emphasized that while the PDC is
19 collecting information about the properties, they are
20 not providing any analysis and they are not
21 developing any opinions at all. They are not doing
22 appraisals or home inspections in the scope of being
23 a PDC. He stated the PDC is trained and required to
24 have a background check.

25 Mr. Shiffman stated the 60 plus property data

1 collection vendors have specifically met criteria set
2 up by the GSEs. The vendors chosen have the ability
3 to deliver the technology and manage a panel of PDCs
4 to perform the services. He used the examples of
5 Asteroom and Mueller as technology companies that are
6 not AMCs but do provide property data collection. He
7 stated lenders choose the vendor from the approved
8 list, and then the vendor carries out the assignment.

9 Mr. Shiffman explained that the vendors and
10 collectors are monitored and must follow all of the
11 guidance provided and are managed for ongoing quality
12 control. He stated the final result is the PDR that
13 encapsulates at least 120 standardized attributes, 40
14 to 60 photos, and then ANSI compliant floor plans are
15 created from the data. The final information is
16 given to the GSEs and the lender for determination.
17 Included on the report is information on who the PDC
18 was as well as information about the person.

19 John Dingeman, Designated Member National
20 Association of Appraisers, Chief Appraiser Class
21 Valuation added that in addition to the appraisal
22 waivers and hybrid appraisals, property data
23 collection can be useful for desktop appraisals. He
24 stated it allows appraisers to gain a floor plan that
25 meets their criteria that may not be available

1 through public record, MLS providers, or a builder's
2 marketing materials.

3 Ms. Mast asked for clarification on the 120 data
4 points and what the ratio of quantitative to
5 qualitative data points.

6 Jordan Petkovski, Chief Valuations Officer,
7 Mueller Reports, responded that the information being
8 collected by the PDC is strictly factual and
9 objective. He stated there is no interpretation or
10 analysis involved. He further stated he could
11 confidently say that the objective nature of the data
12 collection does not look or feel like appraisal
13 practice in any sense. He explained that the
14 collector is simply the eyes that capture the
15 information via photograph, written documentation or
16 video capture.

17 Mr. Ausherman inquired as to the training that
18 the PDCs go through. Mr. Shiffman confirmed that the
19 collectors are required to be trained and Mr.
20 Dingeman responded with the example of how his
21 organization trains the collectors. For Class
22 Valuation, they receive three days of training on the
23 technology and on the actual data collection along
24 with customer service training since they interface
25 with the point of contact for entry. He continued

1 that there is also formal training such as a five-
2 hour course from McKissick that is specific to
3 property data collection but not related to a
4 particular technology provided. He stated the next
5 step in the training consists of sample properties
6 such as their own, a family member or a neighbor.
7 They have to demonstrate that they can deliver the
8 information meeting the requirements. He also stated
9 beyond the training, the PDCs are monitored and agree
10 to a code of conduct. He added that the code of
11 conduct is the same one that appraisers agree to
12 through the selling guides if they serve the GSEs
13 which is why there are service level agreements with
14 the collectors.

15 The question was asked if the PDCs had any
16 construction experience and if they go into attics
17 and crawl spaces or determine what type of heat is in
18 the house. Mr. Dingeman responded that they are
19 meeting the requirements outlined by the GSEs for
20 which there is no requirement for attic or crawl
21 space observations. He reiterated that the
22 collectors do receive robust training. He stated
23 real estate agents make up 47 percent of their panel
24 of PDCs which is comprised of a variety of
25 professionals.

1 Vice Chair Stoerrle commented that to his
2 knowledge there are no states that currently license
3 or certify the PDCs. He asked who is responsible if
4 the information gathered is incorrect and if the
5 Board should be looking into licensing the
6 collectors.

7 Mr. Shiffman responded that it was a discussion
8 that the Board could have, but the primary workforce
9 being used is already licensed. He stated roughly 90
10 percent are either an appraiser or a real estate
11 agent. He added that it is also a great opportunity
12 for appraiser trainees who are not yet licensed. He
13 urged the Board to consider that if they call for
14 licensing the collectors, they may be excluding
15 people or workforces. He also encouraged the Board
16 to talk to the GSEs because they have the data that
17 shows the efficiency of the workforces being used.

18 Ms. Mast questioned the responsibility of
19 errors. She stated if she measures something wrong
20 or takes an incorrect photo, then she is liable for
21 it. She asked if the PDC takes the wrong information
22 would she be liable for the wrong transgression of
23 information.

24 Mr. Dingeman responded to her question and said
25 that the first certification is in the reports

1 themselves. He stated, in the report that the
2 appraiser is delivering, there is now a disclaimer
3 that states that the appraiser is not responsible for
4 any errors or omissions in the data provided by the
5 PDC. He continued to say that the appraiser can
6 determine if the data is reliable to be used for the
7 context of the intended use and scope of work. He
8 added that the second certification is that the PDCs
9 are not measuring the property, the technology is
10 doing it. He stated the technology is actually
11 creating the floor plan and measurements. He gave an
12 example of a bungalow style house that was measured
13 differently than the MLS showed because the
14 technology is set up in a particular scope based on
15 averages based on ANSI requirements.

16 Mr. Shiffman said that the bottom line is that
17 using a PDR is no different for an appraiser than
18 using other types of third-party information such as
19 the MLS, flood maps or tax assessment records.

20 Ms. Mast asked if CubiCasa was the technology
21 being used for the sketches. Mr. Shiffman said that
22 some are using CubiCasa but that is not the only one
23 being used. Mr. Petkovski added that there are a
24 number of providers in the marketplace that offer
25 floor plan rendering capabilities that are ANSI

1 compliant. He does not foresee an end to the number
2 of participants entering that space to provide that
3 service. He added that, from his perspective, the
4 technology is far superior to pulling tape or rolling
5 a wheel.

6 Mr. Rouse asked how notaries had made the list
7 of PDCs. Mr. Shiffman stated it was determined by
8 the GSEs as they were rolling out the pilot programs.

9 Vice Chair Stoerrle asked how using a PDC speeds
10 up the process of the appraisal being completed. Mr.
11 Shiffman answered that the PDR is auto generated as
12 the collector is going through the property so it
13 does not take days to complete. He also said that it
14 saves time as the appraiser is not driving from place
15 to place.

16 Mr. Petkovski added that today appraiser
17 capacity constraints are not plaguing the industry
18 therefore property data collection is relatively
19 quick. He continued to say that the PDC is usually
20 at the property the same day or day after being
21 assigned the data collection. He stated given past
22 bouts of appraiser capacity constraints, property
23 data collection adds another opportunity. He added
24 that if there were only two options in the valuation
25 spectrum for consumers, it would be assuming that all

1 loans and all consumers are relatively the same which
2 is not the case. He shared an example of his mother
3 who is a great candidate for a waiver, and in her
4 case, if there had not been a prior appraisal or one
5 in the last five years, then using property data
6 collection mitigates the risk involved with the
7 waiver and at no point would that need to involve an
8 appraiser. He added that the opportunity exists for
9 appraisers to participate in hybrid and desktops when
10 available however, the consumer of loan originator
11 can always opt for a traditional appraisal.

12 Mr. Ausherman asked if they try to send the data
13 collection or report to an appraiser in the
14 geographical area. Mr. Petkovski responded that for
15 his company, they do try to use appraisers that have
16 access and are competent to appraise the area. He
17 stated generally speaking, they prefer to have an
18 appraiser close to an area in case they have to
19 upgrade to a traditional appraisal which happens at a
20 rate of 1.4 percent. He added that in his opinion if
21 you have an appraiser that lives for six months in
22 Pennsylvania and six months in Florida, they are
23 competent in both states and could potentially do
24 desktop appraisals simultaneously in both states. He
25 further stated most lenders would not be fond of

1 that.

2 Mr. Ausherman gave an example of a report he
3 looked at where the appraiser was in New Jersey and
4 not familiar with the area of the property being
5 evaluated. The appraiser put "unknown" under zoning
6 because he did not receive a return phone call from
7 the township. Mr. Ausherman stated there is no
8 zoning in that particular township because of the
9 rural area and the report then becomes misleading.

10 Mr. Petkovski concurred and said that the
11 appraiser should be aware of their obligations under
12 USPAP and their licensing.]

13 ***

14 Report of Board Administrator - Valuation Bias and
15 Fair Housing Laws and Regulations Courses Information
16 Sheet

17 [Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator
18 presented Agenda Item No. 6, information on the
19 Valuation Bias and Fair Housing Laws and Regulations
20 Courses. She reminded the Board that this topic will
21 continue to be on the Agenda because as of January
22 2026 it will be a new requirement for appraisers. If
23 they are already a certified or licensed, they will
24 have to do the four or seven hour continuing
25 education (CE) course. If they are getting into

1 their certification, they will need to do the
2 qualifying education (QE) course. Ms. Hemler shared
3 that under Announcements on the website
4 (www.dos.pa.gov/real) information can be found
5 chronologically where first thing listed is the
6 information sheet.

7 Ms. Hemler stated as of January 1, 2026 for the
8 June 30, 2027 renewal, current certificate holders
9 and current licensed appraiser trainees will have to
10 take the seven-hour CE course to renew which will
11 count towards their required 28 CE hours. For new
12 licensed appraiser trainee applicants, the QE course
13 of seven hours plus a one-hour exam will be added to
14 their required QE bringing their hours from 79 to 87.
15 For the residential appraiser, the required eight-
16 hour course will be included in the required 200 QE
17 hours. For general real estate appraiser, their
18 hours will remain at 300 QE hours with the course
19 included in the hours.

20 Ms. Hemler stated for renewals, there are
21 providers offering the seven-hour course now so if a
22 current certificate holder or licensed trainee wanted
23 to take the course now, it would count for the next
24 renewal period. She added that once someone takes
25 the seven-hour course, the following renewal period

1 it will become a required four-hour course from then
2 on in their certification years.

3 Ms. Hemler stated Lisa Desmarais at The
4 Appraisal Foundation had responded to her regarding
5 the question of what happens if an applicant applies
6 in October 2025, but the Board does not approve the
7 applicant to sit for their exam until January 2026.
8 She continued that they would follow the pre-2026
9 guidelines because that is when the application was
10 submitted.

11 Ms. Desmarais did suggest to Ms. Hemler that the
12 Board decide on what to do if someone has their
13 eligibility letter which is for one year. At the
14 current time if a person does not pass their exam and
15 have to reapply, they get a new background check and
16 the office transfers over all of their documents from
17 the old application to the new application. However,
18 if that person failed to pass their exam within the
19 one year and had to reapply, Ms. Hemler questioned
20 what does the person then do concerning the QE for
21 the new course.

22 Mr. Rouse clarified that the person would be
23 submitting a new application and, if that happened,
24 the easiest thing for the person to do would be to
25 take the eight-hour course.

1 Ms. Hemler stated the office wanted the process
2 to be less burdensome on the applicant so one of the
3 suggestions in the office was to have the applicant
4 put in the new application but also provide the
5 certificate for the eight-hour course and a new
6 background check. They would not need to resubmit
7 the rest of the prior application; the office would
8 move it all over. It was stated the Board needed to
9 discuss how to deal with the applicants who had an
10 application in 2025.

11 Ms. Brown stated it seemed like a bright line
12 rule to her. She added that the requirements have
13 changed and basically any application received after
14 January 1, 2026 is required to have the eight-hour
15 course.

16 Ms. Hemler clarified that if someone applied in
17 fall 2025 and then passed the exam, they would now
18 have to take the seven-hour course upon renewal.
19 However, if they fail the exam, their next
20 application would be within the AQB requirements for
21 the new 8-hour valuation bias and fair housing course
22 for qualifying education.

23 Vice Chair Stoerrle asked if providers have been
24 approved to teach the course. Ms. Hemler stated
25 there are providers for the seven-hour CE course, and

1 information is on the website under Board Resources
2 and Documents. She was asked what would happen if
3 someone took the CE course in advance right now. Ms.
4 Hemler responded that the course would count for the
5 2027 renewal and then the four-hour course would be
6 needed for the 2028 renewal.]

7 ***

8 MR. ROUSE:

9 So what I'm hearing is there is the
10 motion for applicants who have filed
11 an application in 2025 and do not pass
12 in 2026 to file a new application with
13 a new criminal history check and
14 documentation that they've completed
15 the eight hour Valuation Bias and Fair
16 Housing Laws and Regulations.

17 MS. HEMLER:

18 Yes, that is correct. That's what
19 we're asking.

20 MS. BROWN:

21 I'll be happy to make that motion.

22 MS. MAST:

23 Chandra Mast. Second.

24

25 William Stoerrle, aye; Chandra Mast,

1 aye; John Ausherman, aye; Jonathan
2 Schuck, aye; Martha Brown, aye; Laura
3 Pittini, aye; Paul Wentzel, aye.

4 [The motion carried unanimously.]

5 ***

6 [Mr. Rouse thanked the Board for the vote so that all
7 applications are addressed in the same manner.

8 Vice Chair Stoerrle asked what would happen if
9 the state does not have an eight-hour course
10 approved. Ms. Hemler responded that they are
11 currently working on it, and many providers are going
12 through the CAP program and AQB approval process.
13 She stated if for some reason, they decided not to go
14 through with the eight-hour program, the Board can
15 look and see if they meet the AQB qualifications to
16 offer the seven-hour course. She added that she has
17 been told that due to the importance of the eight and
18 seven, they will be receiving a lot more for both CE
19 and QE in the fall. She confirmed that the main
20 difference is the one-hour exam.

21 Ms. Hemler also added that if there is a
22 residential appraiser that wants to become a general
23 appraiser, they might want to go ahead and take the
24 eight-hour course since it will be a requirement for
25 qualifying education.]

Conference Report

[Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator presented Agenda Item No. 8, the AARO virtual conference that occurred April 19- May 1, 2025. She stated the spring AARO conference will be held in San Diego April 27-29, 2026.

She stated for Appraisal Foundation updates, there were two concept papers, one on artificial intelligence due May 31, 2025 and one on additional experience options due May 9, 2025. There was a question and answer paper on Sofia Learning as well.

All of that information can be found on The Appraisal Foundation's website.

Ms. Hemler shared that national examination rates were gone over. The pass fail rate for residential appraiser's is 62 percent and for general appraiser is 54 percent. She added that Pennsylvania falls in line with those rates.

Ms. Hemler conveyed that there was discussion of PAREA, and over 4,000 individuals have expressed interest in the program. It was also mentioned that the farm credit system is in the development of a base practicum module for general appraisers concentrating on rural areas.

1 Ms. Hemler said that there would be no USPAP
2 update for January 1, 2026, just the seven-hour
3 national USPAP update course for the 2026-2027
4 period.

5 She also shared that the new URAR software for
6 appraisal modernization was being soft launched in
7 September 2025 and would be available in January
8 2026. She informed the Board that she has reached out
9 to the software company for an education copy so that
10 staff can start looking at it. She also informed the
11 Board that all staff members for CREA take the 15-
12 hour USPAP course and are updated every year by
13 Chairman Smeltzer.

14 Vice Chair Stoerrle shared that at AARO Fannie
15 Mae stated their tips are complaints. Before Fannie
16 Mae left it up to the states and Pennsylvania did
17 treat tips as complaints.

18 He stated a lot of emphasis was put on the 3.6
19 new form that is coming out and making sure those who
20 do the reviews are educated on the form and how to
21 interpret it. He added that there will be a learning
22 curve for not only appraisers and the Board but also
23 the investigators for the Board.]

24 ***

25 Public Discussion

1 [Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board Prosecutor
2 and Prosecution Liaison asked to refer back to Agenda
3 Item No. 16 and wanted to know if, given the
4 information received during the meeting, if it was
5 possible to vote to send one member of prosecution to
6 AARO in Georgia. It was decided the budget office
7 would be contacted on the matter.]

8 ***

9 Adjournment

10 VICE CHAIR STOERRLE:

11 Any other members or people of the
12 public, etcetera, with any questions?
13 Comments? If not, I think I have all
14 my checkmarks and if I didn't miss
15 anything we can adjourn.

16 MS. BROWN:

17 Motion to adjourn.

18 MS. MAST:

19 Second.

20 ***

21 [There being no further business, the State Board of
22 Certified Real Estate Appraisers Meeting adjourned at
23 11:36 a.m.]

24 ***

25

26

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting.



Erin Badstuebner,

Minute Clerk

Sargent's Court Reporting
Service, Inc.

STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED
REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS
REFERENCE INDEX

June 12, 2025

TIME	AGENDA
9:00	Executive Session
10:30	Return to Open Session
10:30	Official Call to Order
10:31	Roll Call
10:31	Introduction of Attendees
10:32	Approval of Minutes
10:33	Report of Prosecutorial Division
10:33	Report of Board Counsel
10:38	Applications for Review
10:39	Review of Requests
10:43	Miscellaneous
10:45	Report of Board Administrator
10:46	Report of Chairman
10:47	Report of Board Administrator (cont.)
10:53	Appointment- The Appraisal Foundation's State Harmonization Task Force
11:30	Appointment- Property Data Collection and Hybrid Proposals
12:06	Report of Board Administrator (cont.)
12:25	Conference Report
12:31	Public Session
12:34	Adjournment