

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA  
DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

**F I N A L M I N U T E S**

MEETING OF:

**STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED  
REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS**

TIME: 10:30 A.M.

Held at

**PENNSYLVANIA DEPARTMENT OF STATE**

2525 North 7th Street

CoPA HUB, Eaton Conference Room

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17110

as well as

**VIA MICROSOFT TEAMS**

February 19, 2026



State Board of Certified  
Real Estate Appraisers  
February 19, 2026

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

ALSO PRESENT (CONT.):

Tracy Matroni, Director of Member Services and  
Professional Development, Lancaster County  
Association of Realtors, Executive Director,  
Keystone Chapter of the Appraisal Institute  
John D. Russell, State Harmonization Task Force, The  
Appraisal Foundation  
Laurie Elliott, Sargent's Court Reporting Service,  
Inc.

1 \*\*\*

2 State Board of Certified  
3 Real Estate Appraisers  
4 February 19, 2026

5 \*\*\*

6 [Pursuant to Section 708(a)(5) of the Sunshine Act,  
7 at 9:00 a.m., the Board entered into Executive  
8 Session with Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel,  
9 to have attorney-client consultations and for the  
10 purpose of conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.  
11 The Board returned to open session at 10:35 a.m.]

12 \*\*\*

13 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, informed  
14 everyone that the meeting of the State Board of  
15 Certified Real Estate Appraisers was being held in a  
16 hybrid format, both in person and by livestream  
17 teleconference, pursuant to Act 100 of 2021, which  
18 requires boards to use a virtual platform to conduct  
19 business when a public meeting is held.

20 Mr. Rouse noted the meeting was being recorded  
21 and voluntary participation constituted consent to be  
22 recorded.

23 Mr. Rouse also noted the Board entered into  
24 Executive Session with Board Counsel to have  
25 attorney-client consultations and for the purpose of

1 conducting quasi-judicial deliberations.]

2 \*\*\*

3 The regularly scheduled meeting of the State  
4 Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers was held on  
5 Thursday, February 19, 2026. Mark Smeltzer,  
6 Chairman, Professional Member, officially called the  
7 meeting to order at 10:35 a.m.

8 \*\*\*

9 Roll Call

10 [Mark V. Smeltzer Sr., Chairman, Professional Member,  
11 requested a roll call of Board members. A quorum was  
12 present.]

13 \*\*\*

14 Introduction of Attendees

15 [Kristel Hennessy Hemler, Board Administrator,  
16 provided an introduction of attendees.]

17 \*\*\*

18 Approval of Minutes - January 15, 2026

19 CHAIR SMELTZER:

20 The next order of business is an  
21 approval of the minutes from the  
22 meeting on January 15, 2026. Has  
23 everyone had a chance to look those  
24 over and are there any questions or  
25 issues with the minutes?

1                   If there are none, the Chair would  
2                   entertain a motion to approve the  
3                   minutes.

4 MR. STORRLE:

5                   Bill Stoerrle. I make a motion to  
6                   approve.

7 MS. MAST:

8                   Chandra Mast, I second.

9 CHAIR SMELTZER:

10                   And can we have a vote to approve them?

11

12                   Mark Smeltzer, aye; William Stoerrle,  
13                   aye; Chandra Mast, aye; John Ausherman,  
14                   aye; Jonathan Schuck, aye; Martha  
15                   Brown, abstain; Laura Pittini, aye;  
16                   Paul Wentzel, aye.

17 [The motion carried. Martha Brown abstained from  
18 voting on the matter.]

19

\*\*\*

20 Report of Prosecutorial Division

21 [Timothy Fritsch, Esquire, Board Prosecutor,  
22 presented the Consent Agreement for Agenda Item No.  
23 2, Case No. 24-70-016605.]

24

\*\*\*

25 MR. ROUSE:

1 Any other discussion?

2 Hearing none, regarding the  
3 Consent Agreement at Item No. 2 on the  
4 Agenda, Case No. 24-70-016605, after  
5 discussion in Executive Session, I  
6 believe the Chair would entertain a  
7 motion to adopt the Consent Agreement.

8 CHAIR SMELTZER:

9 The Chair would entertain such a  
10 motion.

11 MR. AUSERMAN:

12 John Auserman, I so move.

13 MR. STOERRLE:

14 Bill Stoerrle, second.

15  
16 Mark Smeltzer, aye; William Stoerrle,  
17 aye; Chandra Mast, aye; John Auserman,  
18 aye; Jonathan Schuck, aye; Martha  
19 Brown, aye; Laura Pittini, aye; Paul  
20 Wentzel, aye.

21 [The motion carried unanimously. The Respondent at  
22 Agenda Item No. 2, Case No. 24-70-016605, is Joseph  
23 G. Bannon.]

24 \*\*\*

25 Report of Board Counsel

1 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, reported  
2 Agenda Items 3, 4, and 5 were reminders regarding  
3 Appraiser Qualification Board's (AQB) first exposure  
4 draft proposing significant criteria changes as well  
5 as the AQB concept paper regarding an examination  
6 only pathway and AQB concept paper regarding the  
7 skills-based pathway. He reminded everyone written  
8 comments were due by March 3, 2026 and could be  
9 submitted online to aqb@appraisalfoundation.org.

10 Chairman Smeltzer suggested everyone take a close  
11 look at the draft and papers as AQB is looking to  
12 make major changes and the Board will need to be  
13 aware of the changes.]

14

\*\*\*

15 Regulations/Statute - Regulatory Report

16 [Ronald Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, discussed the  
17 Regulatory Report. He stated Regulation 16A-7032, CE  
18 for Certified Pennsylvania Evaluators, is under  
19 internal review.

20 Mr. Rouse stated Regulation 16A-7026, General  
21 Revisions, had been submitted to the Office of  
22 Attorney General for review. If approved, the  
23 proposed rulemaking would be submitted to the  
24 legislature and Independent Regulatory Review  
25 Commission (IRRC), as well as having a 30-day public

1 review period for comments.

2 Regulation 16A-7025 regarding fees would be  
3 discussed in conjunction with the Bureau of Finance  
4 and Procurement (BFP) presentation.

5 Regulation 16A-7024, Licensure by Endorsement,  
6 was submitted to OGC, Budget and Policy on February  
7 5, 2026.

8 Regulation 16A-7028 regarding surety bonds needs  
9 additional research before the Annex can be presented  
10 to the Board.

11 Lastly, Regulation 16A-7016, Schedule of Civil  
12 Penalties, is under review to be updated.]

13 \*\*\*

14 Regulations/Statute - Regulation 16A-7027 - Broker  
15 Price Opinions (BPO)

16 [Ronald Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, presented the  
17 draft Annex for Regulation 16A-7027, regarding Broker  
18 Price Opinions (BPO). He stated the Annex was  
19 drafted due to the Real Estate Licensing and  
20 Registration Act (RELRA) being amended in 2018 with  
21 amendments regarding BPO. He noted RELRA is the  
22 Practice Act which governs the Real Estate  
23 Commission. The amendment allows for BPOs to be  
24 performed by licensed brokers, associate brokers, and  
25 real estate salespersons. The Act also talks about

1 how appraisal management companies (AMC) can recruit,  
2 engage, and negotiate fees with a broker to prepare  
3 BPOs and AMCs may receive orders and submit BPOs to  
4 clients.

5 He started with the definition section for the  
6 Board's AMC regulations at Section 36.201. He noted  
7 the definition regarding comparative market analysis  
8 was amended to correspond with Section 201 of RELRA.

9 Under Section 36.434 of the Board's AMC  
10 regulations, subsection A was amended to match the  
11 language of Section 608.69(H) of RELRA. Subsection  
12 (a)(1) states a broker price opinion must comply with  
13 the requirements of Section 608.6 of RELRA and  
14 Sections 35.501 to 35.503 relating to broker price  
15 opinion, use of broker price opinion, and broker  
16 price opinion education. Mr. Rouse explained  
17 Sections 35.501 to 35.503 are regulations for the  
18 Real Estate Commission that deal with the BPO  
19 requirements.

20 Mr. Rouse summarized the changes to Section  
21 36.434(a)(2) by noting the section explains the BPO,  
22 if in compliance with the Real Estate Commission's  
23 requirements, will be considered a comparative market  
24 analysis and is not deemed an appraisal if the person  
25 complies with the requirements.

1           Subsection B of 36.434 deletes language about  
2 AMCs not soliciting orders or offering to solicit  
3 BPOs for use in a federally-related transaction.  
4 Subsection (b.1) was added to say a BPO may not be  
5 used as an appraisal or in lieu of an appraisal in a  
6 federally-related transaction. Subsection (b.2) was  
7 added because of the federal limitations on BPOs and  
8 states "in conjunction with the purchase of a  
9 consumer's principal dwelling, a broker price opinion  
10 may not be used as the primary basis to determine the  
11 value of real property for the purpose of a loan  
12 origination of a residential mortgage loan secured by  
13 that property." Mr. Rouse noted, under federal  
14 requirements, it is 12 U.S.C.A. Section 33.55(a) that  
15 has certain prohibitions concerning BPOs.

16           He concluded his review by noting subsection D  
17 had language bracketed off as deleted and considered  
18 reserved due to the language added in subsection A.

19           Mr. Wentzel requested confirmation for the  
20 changes to be consistent with the statute.

21           Mr. Rouse confirmed the reason for the changes.  
22 He noted there is cross-reference between the  
23 Appraisal Management Company Registration Act and  
24 RELRA.

25           Chair Smeltzer asked, if a broker or sales agent

1 were to complete evaluation for something not  
2 permitted under RELRA for an AMC, would the broker or  
3 salesperson fall under the Real Estate Commission  
4 while the AMC would fall under the Board's purview.  
5 Mr. Rouse confirmed Chair Smeltzer was correct in  
6 that when it comes to the Board, it was about the AMC  
7 being able to recruit, engage, and negotiate fees  
8 with a broker.

9 Ray J. Michalowski, Esquire, Senior Board  
10 Prosecutor and Prosecution Liaison, added, when it  
11 comes to the real estate licensee, the Prosecution  
12 Division would make the call on the location for  
13 jurisdiction. The facts would be looked at on a case-  
14 by-case basis. If the licensee did a bad BPO, then it  
15 would likely fall under the Real Estate Commission.  
16 However, if the licensee did the unlicensed practice  
17 of appraising, then it would fall under the Board.]

18 \*\*\*

19 MR. ROUSE:

20 Chairman, would you consider a motion  
21 to direct Board Counsel to release this  
22 Annex as an Exposure Draft to receive  
23 comments from the regulated community?

24 CHAIR SMELTZER:

25 I would entertain, if we can get a

1 motion to do this from anyone?

2 MS. BROWN:

3 This is Martha Brown. I make a motion  
4 to release Regulation 16A-7027, Broker  
5 Price Opinions as an Exposure Draft.

6 MR. STOERRLE:

7 Bill Stoerrle, second.

8

9 Mark Smeltzer, aye; William Stoerrle,  
10 aye; Chandra Mast, aye; John Ausherman,  
11 aye; Jonathan Schuck, aye; Martha  
12 Brown, aye; Laura Pittini, aye; Paul  
13 Wentzel, aye.

14 [The motion carried unanimously.]

15

\*\*\*

16 Appointment - Proposed Fee Increase Presentation  
17 [Taylor Koch, Fiscal Chief, Bureau of Finance and  
18 Procurement, briefly recapped the fiscal presentation  
19 given at the previous meeting. He noted BFP reran  
20 the license counts between the meetings, and the  
21 Board had gained 13 licensees. The increase in  
22 licensees was factored into future anticipated  
23 revenue. Mr. Koch presented charts showing the  
24 breakdowns of the history of total revenue by source,  
25 the current anticipated renewal revenue by licensee

1 class, and the current anticipated application  
2 revenue by licensee class. He noted the Board had  
3 just renewed in June 2025 and was looking at \$1.5  
4 million for the current biennium of revenue.

5 Ms. Brown asked how investigations brought in  
6 money as per the chart. Mr. Fritsch stated the money  
7 would come from Consent Agreements and cost of  
8 investigations.

9 Mr. Koch next reviewed the expenses, noting BFP  
10 did not make any changes to anticipated expenses for  
11 FY2025. Reviewing the revenues and expenditures  
12 together, he noted the expenditures were exceeding  
13 the revenues. He stated the Board was running a  
14 deficit which was bleeding into the restricted  
15 revenue account balance. BFP was projecting the  
16 restricted account to be a negative \$146,000 for the  
17 current biennium. He explained BFP was projecting  
18 relatively even spending with most of the front-  
19 loaded licensure modernization project costs loaded  
20 into the current fiscal year. Future projections  
21 include maintenance costs and cost of living  
22 expenses.

23 Mr. Koch explained how BFP looks at fee  
24 increases. He stated applications are looked at  
25 first. He showed the Board the current fees by

1 application type and proposed fees for the June 2027  
2 renewal. He also explained BFP works with board  
3 administrators, board counsels and other parties to  
4 determine the actual costs associated with processing  
5 applications. The fees presented are the actual  
6 costs associated with processing an application. He  
7 pointed out the fees were not designed to make any  
8 kind of profit. The proposed application fees will  
9 generate an additional \$71,000 by just raising the  
10 fees to the current processing costs. He noted BFP  
11 factored in a five percent increase in the June 2029  
12 and June 2031 renewals to offset the overall cost of  
13 living increases, which helps prevent the Board from  
14 falling behind.

15 Mr. Koch explained, if increasing the application  
16 fees is not enough to solve the problem, BFP next  
17 examines the renewal fees. He presented the Board  
18 with the current renewal fees and the proposed  
19 renewal fees. BFP proposed a 50 percent increase for  
20 all licensee classes except for appraiser management  
21 companies, which had a 100 percent increase. He  
22 added BFP looked at AMC fees in neighboring states  
23 and found that only Delaware and Ohio had lower AMC  
24 renewal fees. The proposed AMC renewal fee remains  
25 competitive but is still in the middle of the

1 surrounding states. He added the June 2029 and June  
2 2031 renewals have a ten percent increase factored  
3 for cost-of-living increases.

4 Mr. Koch presented a new projected outlook with  
5 the increased fees factored in. He explained FY27  
6 was where the changes would really be seen. He noted  
7 the Board will start to dig out of the hole by the  
8 end of the 2026 - 2027 biennium.

9 Mr. Ausherman asked what percentage was factored  
10 in of licensees leaving the profession. Mr. Koch  
11 explained a three to five percent drop-off in  
12 licensees is baked into BFP projections. He noted,  
13 while there was not a crystal ball to know exactly  
14 who was going to leave, BFP tries to safeguard by  
15 using historical data.

16 Mr. Rouse noted a five percent decrease was  
17 factored into each of the next three biennium for an  
18 aggregate of 15 percent. He added the percentage  
19 factored in Board discussions where they had  
20 projected a ten percent decrease in the licensee  
21 population. He added the only license count not  
22 projected with a five percent decrease was AMCs. Mr.  
23 Rouse also noted the prepared Annex presents the  
24 breakdown and the Preamble explains where the numbers  
25 came from.

1 Ms. Brown asked, if the fee package would set the  
2 fees for the next renewal fees, would there be a way  
3 to revisit the fees based on licensee drop offs. Mr.  
4 Rouse stated another package could be prepared if  
5 necessary.

6 Chair Smeltzer stated it was good to keep trainee  
7 application fees down to encourage trainees to enter  
8 the profession. He also noted the application fees  
9 for AMCs was not increasing by much.

10 Mr. Rouse noted the application fees were  
11 strictly based on how much it costs to actually  
12 process an application.

13 Mr. Ausherman questioned the projected number of  
14 certified broker appraisers. He noted, by 2030, when  
15 the projected number is 158, the median age of broker  
16 appraisers will be in their 80s. He thought the  
17 projected licensee number too high.

18 Chair Smeltzer clarified Mr. Ausherman's  
19 statements, noting the five percent decrease across  
20 the board might not be reflective of the licensees.  
21 The Board expects a bigger drop in broker appraisers  
22 as no new ones can be licensed.

23 Mr. Ausherman explained he did not think the  
24 Board would lose many certified appraisers based on  
25 the fee increase. He expected to lose certified

1 appraisers based on the new UAD 3.6 requirements and  
2 due to the aging population.

3 Mr. Rouse reiterated the importance of getting a  
4 regulation moving and in place to stop the bleeding  
5 of the budget. He noted, if the Board wants to take  
6 the time to detail decreases in each particular  
7 license class, it will likely mean a regulation will  
8 not move in time to bring the Board back from the  
9 budgetary problem.

10 Chair Smeltzer stated the Board is saying, when  
11 the numbers are reviewed in two years, there may be  
12 differences. He acknowledged some licensee  
13 categories may not show much of a decrease, making up  
14 for losses in other categories.

15 Mr. Koch presented his last slides, reflecting  
16 the overall financial impacts of the increase. He  
17 noted the fee package was projected to generate an  
18 extra \$1.7 million in revenue over the next six  
19 years. By adopting the package, he stated the Board  
20 could expect to be out of the red by FY28. He  
21 acknowledged the concerns regarding broker appraiser  
22 counts.

23 Mr. Koch requested BFP come back to the Board  
24 more than once a year. He planned on meeting with  
25 the Board two to three times a year. He discussed

1 continuing to look at fee packages in an effort to be  
2 more proactive if licensee counts drop more than  
3 expected. He noted it generally takes two to two and  
4 a half years to get fees implemented. He added the  
5 timeline is being accelerated to implement the  
6 proposed changes for June 2027. Mr. Koch also noted  
7 it would be advantageous for the Board and BFP to  
8 have continuous conversations regarding fees,  
9 expenditures, and overall financial health. He  
10 planned to meet with the Board after June, so it  
11 could see how the current fiscal year aligns with the  
12 projections.

13 Mr. Wentzel asked when the last time fees were  
14 increased. Mr. Rouse explained the Board last  
15 increased application and registration fees in 2002  
16 and biennial renewals in 2003. AMC fees were created  
17 in 2017 and have never had an increase.

18 Board Members noted the increases were long  
19 overdue.]

20 \*\*\*

21 Regulations/Statute - Regulation 16A-7025 - Fees  
22 [Ronald K. Rouse, Esquire, Board Counsel, stated the  
23 Board had in their packet a proposed Annex and  
24 proposed Preamble for Regulation 16A-7025. The  
25 proposed Annex used the numbers presented by Mr. Koch

1 for biennial increases in application fees and  
2 renewal fees. The proposed Preamble provides an  
3 explanation of the fees, why the fee increase is  
4 needed, and the impact to the regulated community.  
5 He noted there was a tight timeline and asked if the  
6 Board had any questions before proceeding.

7 Mr. Stoerrle asked what would happen if the next  
8 renewal showed 20 or 25 percent of resident  
9 appraisers did not renew. Mr. Rouse noted potential  
10 decreases is one of the reasons BFP was planning on  
11 coming back to the Board on a more regular basis.

12 Mr. Ausherman noted BFP would need to come back  
13 in August 2027 after the next renewal.]

14 \*\*\*

15 MR. ROUSE:

16 Chairman, would you entertain a motion  
17 to adopt the proposed Annex and  
18 Preamble and direct Board Counsel to  
19 continue with the regulatory process?

20 CHAIR SMELTZER:

21 I would entertain such a motion.

22 MR. STOERRLE:

23 Bill Stoerrle, I make the motion.

24 MS. MAST:

25 Chandra Mast, I second.

1

2

Mark Smeltzer, aye; William Stoerrle,

3

aye; Chandra Mast, aye; John Ausherman,

4

aye; Jonathan Schuck, aye; Martha

5

Brown, aye; Laura Pittini, aye; Paul

6

Wentzel, aye.

7

[The motion carried unanimously.]

8

\*\*\*

9

Report of Board Chairman - No Report

10

\*\*\*

11

Report of Board Administrator - No Report

12

\*\*\*

13

Conference Reports - No Report

14

\*\*\*

15

Report of Committees - No Report

16

\*\*\*

17

Applications for Review - No Applications

18

\*\*\*

19

Review of Requests - No Requests

20

\*\*\*

21

Miscellaneous - No Report

22

\*\*\*

23

Public Comments/Discussion

24

[Andy Toy, Director, Appraisal Bias Program, City of

25

Philadelphia, explained efforts in Philadelphia to

1 create a practicum program similar to other states.  
2 He discussed promoting appraisers as a great career.  
3 He stated, with the incoming changes in technology  
4 and fees, it would be a good idea to consider adding  
5 a licensed appraiser category in addition to the  
6 certified category. He explained Melissa Bond from  
7 Mississippi has been advising him on the practicum.  
8 Ms. Bond has also been training licensed individuals,  
9 not certified individuals. She has found licensed  
10 people can do most of the work needed without the  
11 certification level. From the practicum standpoint,  
12 the certified level requires about three and a half  
13 more months and 500 additional hours of training.

14 Mr. Toy stated if Pennsylvania had an entry level  
15 appraiser license, the additional people would help  
16 generate fees and would help replace people leaving  
17 the profession. He noted, with how long  
18 implementation takes, it would be best to start the  
19 discussion now as opposed to waiting a year.]

20 \*\*\*

21 [John D. Russell, State Harmonization Task Force, The  
22 Appraisal Foundation, shared as a member of the State  
23 Harmonization Task Force he wanted to make the Board  
24 aware the task force hoped to be present at each  
25 Board meeting. He added the task force was available

1 for questions, comments, and to provide feedback for  
2 anything that is appraisal related, not just mass  
3 appraisal.

4 He added they were there to be a resource leading  
5 into the adoption of new property appraiser  
6 qualification criteria. He was encouraged to hear  
7 earlier discussion mentioning the upcoming comment  
8 period on the AQB changes. He noted AQB wants to  
9 hear from as wide a range of stakeholders as possible  
10 on the first exposure draft and concept papers. He  
11 offered to take any questions and comments back to  
12 the Appraisal Foundation.]

13

\*\*\*

14 [Chair Smeltzer asked for each of the public  
15 attendees to introduce themselves and present  
16 comments. Attendees provided introductions, but  
17 there were no additional comments.]

18

\*\*\*

19 Adjournment

20 CHAIRMAN SMELTZER:

21 I believe that's everything and we  
22 could adjourn. Do I need a motion?

23 MR. AUSERMAN:

24 John Auserman, I so move.

25 MS. MAST:

1 Chandra Mast, I second.

2 CHAIR SMELTZER:

3 Our next meeting will be held virtually  
4 and in person on April 30, 2026. Thank  
5 you for attending everybody.

6 \*\*\*

7 [There being no further business, the State Board of  
8 Certified Real Estate Appraisers Meeting adjourned at  
9 11:53 a.m.]

10 \*\*\*

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

CERTIFICATE

I hereby certify that the foregoing summary minutes of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting, was reduced to writing by me or under my supervision, and the minutes accurately summarize the substance of the State Board of Certified Real Estate Appraisers meeting.



Laurie Elliott  
Minute Clerk  
Sargent's Court Reporting  
Service, Inc.

STATE BOARD OF CERTIFIED  
REAL ESTATE APPRAISERS  
REFERENCE INDEX

February 19, 2026

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50

TIME	AGENDA
9:00	Executive Session
10:35	Return to Open Session
10:35	Official Call to Order
10:35	Roll Call
10:36	Approval of Minutes
10:37	Report of Prosecutorial Division
10:48	Report of Board Counsel
10:49	Regulations/Statute
11:09	Appointment - Proposed Fee Increase Presentation
11:44	Public Session
11:53	Adjournment